

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL CITY CIVIL &
SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU CITY****S.C.No.1177/2014****CW- 1 PW-2****Witness duly sworn on 23.12.2021**

Deposition of	Praveen B.S.
Father's name	B. Sampangi Chetty
Age	48 years
Occupation	Advocate
Residential address	Adugodi, Bengaluru

Examination-in-chief by P.P. for the State :

I know PW- 1 and Sundar. I have introduced Sundar to PW- 1 and requested for accommodating him in the shed in land bearing Sy.No.50 and he has accommodated him to reside in the said shed. The accused now appearing through VC was also staying with Sundar in the said shed.

2. On 9.6.2014 Sundar who was undertaking catering work had asked me to awaken him at 4.30a.m., since he is required to go for catering work. I went to the shed where Sundar was residing at 5.15a.m., since he was not responding to the phone call. When I had gone to the place I found Sundar

was lying in a pool of blood. Thereafter I informed PW- 1 who came along with his son to the spot. I have filed the complaint to the police. I now see the complaint shown to me and identify my signature. The complaint is marked as Ex.P- 1. Signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P- 1(a).

3. Thereafter police came to the spot and panchanama was prepared in my presence and the panch witnesses at the place shown by me. I now see the panchanama shown to me and identify my signature. The panchanama is marked as Ex.P- 2 and signature of the witness is marked as Ex.P- 2(a). (The sealed cloth cover is opened in the open court in presence P.P. and accused.) The blood stain sample fallen on the ground collected in a plastic container, sample mud, blood stained shirt, blood stained pant, blood stained bed sheet, towel, mat are seized under the panchanama. The articles referred above identified by the witness are marked as M.O.No. 1 to 7.

4. I have given my further statement to the police after coming to know that the accused has committed murder of Sundar Raj on account of dispute over lifting scrap material. I have identified the accused appearing through V.C in the police station.

Cross-examination by advocate for accused:

Counsel for accused called out, absent. No grounds to grant time. Hence it is treated that there is no cross examination to the witness.

Re-examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the open Court)

R O I & A C.,

(ANIL BHEEMSEN KATTI)
PRL.CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE
BENGALURU CITY