

04/06/2019

ORDERS ON IA No.IV

The applicant/ plaintiff No.2 has filed this application under Order XXII Rule 3 CPC to bring LRs of defendant No.3(c) stating that during the pendency of suit, defendant No.3(c) expired on 17/09/2018 leaving behind his wife Smt.Savithamma, therefore in order to complete and effectual adjudication to bring the LRs of defendant No.3(c) is necessary. The records reveal that despite service of notice of IA No.IV through RPAD returned as intimation delivered and not claimed by the defendant No.3(c)(a). Accordingly, the LRs of defendant No.3(c) remained absent and objections to IA taken as not filed.

2. Heard and perused the material on record. Therefore, considering the nature of suit and relief claimed by the plaintiff, I am of the opinion that IA No.IV is deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, I proceed to pass the following :

ORDER

I.A. No. IV filed by applicant/plaintiff is hereby allowed.

For amendment and to furnish amended plaint call on 02/08/2019.

(C.D.KAROSHI)
V ADDL.CITY CIVIL JUDGE
BENGALURU

