

Witness present and duly sworn on 06/03/2026.

Further Cross Examination by advocate for Respondent.

5. It is true that Ex.P. 18 is the application filed by me to the LAO to rectify my name as Mariraju bin S. Virupaksha Odeyar in place of V. Muniraju. It is true that Ex.P. 18 application submitted by me to the LAO, after the LAO sent reference to the court. I have given the 18 application as the notice received by me in the year 2012. The witness further states was correctly mentioned in the earlier notice for enquiry and in the subsequent / award notice name was mentioned as V. Muniraju instead of Mariraju. I have produced both the notices issued by the LAO through the court. The witness identified the said notices marked at Ex.P.21 to 24. It is true that Ex.P. 21 to 24 notices were received by me are dated 02-05-2012, 05-09-2013, 26-05-2014 and 15-10-2015. I have issued the notice to the LAO at Ex.P 18 on 24-04-2025. It is true there is no impediment to me to give application to the LAO regarding the correction of my name as claimed in Ex.P. 18 immediately after the receipt of notices at Ex.P. 21 to 24. It is false to suggest that I have created Ex.P. 18 notice in order to claim the compensation in this case.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions
Judge, and Spl. Judge,
Bangalore City.