

Witness present and duly sworn on 21/01/2025.

Further Cross Examination by advocate for Claimant no.2, 4 to 6.

3. I heard that my grand father Baiyanna was doing carpentry work. Baiyanna is the son of Venkatarama. Venkatarama had only son Baiyanna who is my grand father. I do not know what Venkatarama was doing during his life time. I do not know whether aforesaid Venkatarama was doing carpentry or doing cultivation.

4. It is false to suggest that the property has been purchased by Venkatarama. The witness volunteers that the property acquired by his grand mother. It is false to suggest that after the death of Venkatarama my grand father Baiyanna succeeded to the estate of Venkatarama. My grand mother was not a Government Employee. The witness volunteers that his grand mother was doing Kooli work and house hold work. I do not have any document to show that my mother used to go for Kooli work or house hold work. The witness further states that his grand father drunker. My grand mother Thippamma had no rental income. When it is questioned to the witness that whether Thippamma has acquired any property from her

Parents ; the witness said no, but they used to held her. Thippamma was died in the year 2004. Thippamma had a brother and a sister. It is false to suggest that Thippamma's matrimonial home was in better financial condition than her parental house. I do not know what Thippamma's father was doing during his life time. It is false to suggest that as the parents of Thippamma was in difficult financial conditions, Baiyanna himself met the expenses of marriage with Thippamma. I can not say the particulars regarding the place, expenses of marriage of Thippamma with Baiyanna. I do not know who was performed the the marriage and who has borne out the marriage expenses of Thippamma. It is false to suggest that if the financial conditions of Thippamma's family and Baiyanna's family compared, the financial condition of Baiyanna is was better.

5. It is false to suggest that property bearing no. 2 of Doddakallasandra Village was acquired by Baiyanna's father Venkatarama. It is false to suggest that there were no income whatsoever for Thippamma, so that she can purchase the property. When it is questioned to the witness that do you know about your grand father Baiyanna ; the witness said his

grand mother used to tell her that Baiyanna was addicted to Alcohol. It is false to suggest that Baiyanna has executed the nominal sale deed in the name of Thippamma as he was yielded to bad vices. I can not say from whom Thippamma purchased the property. I do not whether I have produced any document to show that Thippamma has purchased the property. When the marked documents has been confronted to the witness and questioned that you have not produced any documents before the court to show that the property has been purchased by Thippamma ; the witness said he can not say about the same by looking in to the documents, but he has given the document to his advocate.

**Further cross examination : Deferred on request.**

**(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).**

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)  
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions  
Judge, and Spl. Judge,  
Bangalore City.