

**IN THE COURT OF THE IX ADDL.CITY CIVIL &
SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE. (CCH-5)**

O.S.NO.5256/2015

Plaintiff : Adi Hemanth Kumar
 / Vs /
Defendants : Gangamma and others

I S S U E S

1. Whether plaintiff proves that Schedule properties are estate of late. Masthi Gowda @ Chikkanna?
2. Does he prove that late Masthi Gowda @ Chikkanna has purchased schedule properties nominally in the name of 1st defendant?
3. Does he prove that schedule properties are joint family properties of plaintiff and defendants?
4. Does he prove his joint possession of schedule properties?
5. Does he entitle for 1/6th share in the schedule properties by metes and bounds?
6. Whether defendants 1 to 5 prove that suit is hit by sec.14 of the Hindu Succession Act, 1996 as contended?
7. Do they prove that suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties as contended in para 4 of their written statement?
8. Whether 1st defendant proves that suit items 1 to 4 of schedule 'E' properties are not belonging to her as contended in para 17 of her written statement?

9. Whether court fee paid on the plaint u/sec.35(2) of KCF and SV Act, 1958 is correct?
10. Whether plaintiff is entitle for relief sough for?
11. What order and decree?

Bangalore,
Dated:22.08.2016

(Krishnamurthy B.Sangannavar)
IX ACC & SJ, Bangalore