

**AS PER ORDER ON IA NO. 1 DATED 21-11-2017, WITNESS
RECALLED AND DULY SWORN ON 19-07-2018**

**CROSS EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF FOR CLAIMANT
NO. 2 AND 3:** ASK for KTD on behalf of claimant No. 2
and 3 prays time, prayer rjected and cross examination of
witness on their behalf taken as Nil.

RE-EXAMINATION: NIL

(Typed as per my dictation in the Open Court)

R.O.I & A.C.

(I. F. BIDARI)

II ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE
AND SPL. JUDGE, BANGALORE CITY.

**AS PER ORDER ON IAs NO. 4 AND 5 BOTH DATED
08-08-2018, WITNESS RECALLED AND DULY SWORN ON
17-09-2018**

**CROSS EXAMINATION BY SRI. Y.E. ADVOCATE FOR
CLAIMANTS NO. 2 AND 3:**

To some extent, I can read and write in Kannada
language. I do not know to read and write in English

language. I have produced the authorisation given by claimants No. 38(A), 39 and 40 in my favour. It is false that I have not produced such authorisation in this case. My husband claimant No. 6-Venkatesh has died about four years ago. It is false that revenue records of the acquired lands were/are not standing in the name of claimant No. 6-Venkatesh. It is false that I have not produced documents to show that my husband deceased claimant No. 6-Venkatesh was a son of late. Venkataramanappa @ Nanjappa. It is false that I have not produced any documents to show that my husband deceased claimant No. 6-Venkatesh was a son of Venkataramanappa. It is false that till acquisition of the acquired land bearing Sy. No. 25/2 of Kommaghatta Village, never the name of my husband claimant No. 6-Venkatesh was recorded in the records of the said land. It is false that as per Ex.P.1, claimant No. 1-Muninarasamma and her husband are the owners of the land to the extent of 02 acres in Sy. No. 25/2 of Kommaghatta Village. Presently, I am not properly remembering the contents of para Nos. 1 to 7 of my affidavit filed in lieu of my chief examination. It is false that neither my deceased husband claimant No. 6-Venkatesh, myself including claimants No. 38(A), 39 and 40 had/have no manner of right, title and interest in the acquired land. It is

false that there is no relationship between Venkataramanappa and deceased claimant No. 6-Venkatesh, myself and claimants No. 38(A), 39 and 40. It is false that I have not produced any documents to show our relationship with late. Venkataramanappa. It is false that claimants No. 2 and 3 have right to the extent 02 acres in acquired land bearing Sy. No. 25/2 of Kommaghatta Village. I have not read and understood the claim statements of claimants No. 1 to 3 and their defence setup in this reference in respect of acquired land. It is false that I have filed false affidavit in lieu of my chief examination and deposing false before the court with an intention to harass the claimants No. 2 and 3. It is false that as per Ex.P.1, claimants No. 2 and 3 have right to the extent of 02 acres in acquired land.

CROSS EXAMINATION FOR CLAIMANTS NO. 14, 31, 33 AND 34: Deferred at request of Sri. HSU Advocate for Sri. KV Advocate.

(Typed as per my dictation in the Open Court)

R.O.I & A.C.

(I. F. BIDARI)

II ADDL. CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS JUDGE
AND SPL. JUDGE, BANGALORE CITY.