

KABC010093202026



**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL CITY CIVIL AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, AT BENGALURU**

Dated this the 17th day of July, 2026

Present: Shri K.S. Bharath Kumar,
B.A., LL.B. (Hons)
Principal City Civil and Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru.

Misc. No. 411/2026

Petitioners : M/s. Alpha Design Technologies
Private Limited,
A Private Limited Company Registered
under The Companies Act, 1956,
Having its Registered office at
Plot No.535/540, 4th Phase,
Peenya Industrial Area,
Bengaluru – 560 058.
Represented by its
Authorized Signatory
Mr. Nagaraj Rawut.

(By Prashanth.B.K & Associates),

-V/s-

Respondent : Alpha Design Technologies
Employees Union,
No.1201, 4th Cross,
Beside St. Lawrence English School,
Kalyananagara, T.Dasarahalli,
Bengaluru – 560 057.
Represented by its

General Secretary
Mr. Hanumantha.B.P.

Also at:

No.43, M.I.G, 2nd Stage,
K.H.B Colony, Basweshwaranagar,
Bengaluru – 560 079.

(By M/s. Subba Rao and Company)

ORDER

The instant petition is filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of O.S. No. 6711/2025 pending before CCH-28 to CCH-8, where O.S. Nos. 5550/2025, 5909/2025 and 6800/2025 are pending for disposal in accordance with law.

2. It is stated by the Petitioner that the Petitioner has instituted the suit in O.S. No. 6711/2025 pending before CCH-28 against the Respondent Union for the relief of permanent injunction. It is the case of the Petitioner that it has instituted four suits against the Respondent Union namely O.S. Nos.5550/2025, 5909/2025, 6711/2025 and 6800/2025, in respect of different premises, seeking similar reliefs of permanent injunction and temporary injunction

restraining the Respondent from obstructing the ingress and egress of the respective suit schedule properties. It is submitted that except O.S. No.6711/2025, which is pending before CCH-28, all the other suits are pending before CCH-8. It is further contended that interim orders of temporary injunction have been granted in the said suits and that contempt proceedings in Misc. No.1387/2025 are also pending before CCH-8 on account of the alleged disobedience of the interim order passed in O.S. No.5550/2025.

The Petitioner further contends that the disputes arose consequent upon the closure of one of its factory units situated at Peenya Industrial Estate with effect from 31.07.2025, following shortage of orders and expiry of the lease period and that the Respondent Union allegedly obstructed the ingress and egress of the factory premises, compelling the Petitioner to institute the aforesaid suits. It is submitted that the parties in all the suits are the same, the nature of the disputes and the reliefs claimed are substantially identical and therefore, to avoid multiplicity of proceedings, conflicting decisions and inconvenience to the

parties, O.S. No.6711/2025 deserves to be transferred from CCH-28 to CCH-8 for being heard along with the connected suits.

3. After filing of this petition, notice was issued to the Respondent. Pursuant to the same, the Respondent has appeared through its Counsel and submitted that it has no objection to allow the petition.

4. Heard the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and the learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent.

5. Perused the petition and the materials available on record.

6. The Points that arise for my consideration are:

1. Whether the Petitioner has made out valid grounds to allow the petition?
2. What Order?

7. My findings to be above Points are as under:

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| Point No.1:- | In the Affirmative; |
| Point No.2:- | As per final order;
for the following: |

REASONS

8. **Point No.1:** The learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner submits that all the four suits instituted by the Petitioner are between the same parties, arise out of the same set of circumstances and involve substantially similar issues and reliefs. He contended that, except O.S. No.6711/2025, all the connected suits are pending before CCH-8 and are at different stages of trial. It was further argued that transfer of O.S. No.6711/2025 from CCH-28 to CCH-8 would facilitate a common and effective adjudication of the connected matters, avoid multiplicity of proceedings and conflicting judgments, and serve the ends of justice. Hence, he prayed to allow the petition.

11. I have carefully perused the materials available on record. The Petitioner has produced certified copies of the petitions in all the cases. The material on record discloses that the Petitioner has instituted four suits against the same Respondent Union, namely, O.S. Nos.5550/2025, 5909/2025, 6711/2025 and 6800/2025, seeking

substantially similar reliefs of permanent injunction in respect of different premises. It is also evident that, except O.S. No.6711/2025, all the other suits are pending before CCH-8. The disputes in all the suits arise out of the same series of events and involve common questions of fact and law between the same parties.

12. When connected suits involving identical parties and substantially similar issues are pending before different Courts, it is always desirable that they are tried by one Court. Such a course would avoid multiplicity of proceedings, prevent the possibility of conflicting or inconsistent findings, facilitate appreciation of common evidence and ensure expeditious and effective adjudication. Since three connected suits are already pending before CCH-8, it would be appropriate that O.S. No.6711/2025, presently pending before CCH-28, is also made over to the said Court.

13. Further, the Respondent has not opposed the present petition and has categorically submitted that it has no objection to the transfer sought by the Petitioner. In the

absence of any prejudice being shown to the Respondent and having regard to the convenience of the parties as well as the interest of justice, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercise of powers under Section 24 of CPC. Accordingly, Point No. 1 is answered in the **Affirmative**.

14. **Point No.2**: In view of the reasons mentioned in Point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

The petition filed by the Petitioner under Section 24 of C.P.C., is hereby allowed.

O.S. No. 6711/2025 pending on the file of CCH-28 is ordered to be withdrawn and transferred to CCH-8 where O.S. Nos.5550/2025, 5909/2025 and 6800/2025 are pending for disposal of the same in accordance with law.

Send a copy of the Order to concerned Courts for information and necessary further action.

(Dictated to the Stenographer Grade-II directly on the computer, typed by her, then corrected and pronounced by me in the Open Court on this the 17th day of July, 2026).

(K.S. Bharath Kumar)
Principal City Civil & Sessions Judge,
Bengaluru.