

Witness present and duly sworn on 05/06/2025.

Cross Examination by advocate for Respondent.

3. My mother – Smt. Muninarasamma purchased the property in survey no. 25/2B. I have produced the original sale deed to the court. When it is questioned to the witness that revenue sites have been found in property bearing survey no. 25/2B ; the witness said no revenue sites have been formed in their property. The suit in O.S. 5336/2005 which was pending during the acquisition proceedings had been now disposed off. When it is questioned to the witness that the order passed in O.S. 5336/2005 had been challenged before the High Court ; the witness said even the RFA had been disposed off. It is true that as per Ex.P. 12 RFA No. 647/2010 had been dismissed as on 31-07-2013 that has been filed by mother. When it is questioned to the witness that claimant no. 5 to 42 are the site owners of the sites formed in survey no. 25/2 of Kommaghatta Village ; the witness said no sites have been formed in their property. It is false to suggest that the claimant no.1 has not filed any objection before the LAO claiming that we are entitle for higher compensation than awarded by the LAO. It is false to suggest that we have not

filed any case challenging the order of LAO claiming enhanced compensation. I do not remember the case number that has been filed for enhancement of compensation. It is false to suggest that though I have not filed any such case before the LAO for enhancement of compensation. I am falsely claiming such application has been filed.

Further cross examination : Deferred on request of claimant no.2 & 3 advocate.

(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions
Judge, and Spl. Judge,
Bangalore City.