

Witness present and duly sworn on 21/11/2025.

Cross Examination by advocate for Respondent.

3. It is false to suggest that we have filed the petition u/Sec 18(3)(b) deliberately showing the respondent as Land Acquisition Officer, BDA, T. Chowdaiah Road, Kumara Park West , Bengaluru -560020. I do not know that the notice of the application filed for correction of the address of respondent in the cause title to the Housing Board.

4. We have received Rs.5,07,79,879/- as a compensation in respect of property in survey no.21/1 of Chunchankuppa Village. It is false to suggest that we have received the compensation by filing application. It is false to suggest that we are received the compensation amount after filing the application and producing the documents to the Housing Board. The address and signature now shown to me in the **Certified copy of the application dated 31-01-2019 is my address and signature, as the witness admitted the address and signature that has been marked Ex.R.1.** The witness volunteers that Ex.R. 1 not prepared by him. I am not aware of the contents of Ex.R. 1. It is true that property in survey no. 21/1 Khata change to the name of me and my brothers after

the death of my mother as our sister consented for the same. Certified copy of the notice dated 15-12-2015 served on my brother. As the witness admitted the service of **notice** to his brother, the said document is marked **Ex.R. 2**. The documents now shown to me is the **letter written by me to the LAO, as the witness admitted the said document, that has been marked Ex.R. 3**. The witness volunteers that he has written the letter seeking extension of time to produce the documents. It is true that Ex.R.3 submitted by me as on 16-01-2016. It is true that there is a reference of award notice dated 15-12-2015 in the Ex.R. 3. It is false to suggest that I have not filed application u/Sec 18(1) of the Land Acquisition Act seeking reference to the court. It is true that application u/Sec 18(1) submitted to the LAO dated 14-03-2016. As the witness admitted the **Certified copy of the application dated 14-03-2016 that has been marked as Ex.R. 4**. The signatures now shown to me bears the signatures of me and my brothers. Hence, the said document is marked **Ex.R. 5**. The witness volunteers that signatures have been obtained by the respondents while before making the payment and he do not know the contents of Ex.R.5 affidavit and further states that they were signed on

the say of officials of respondent. **The signatures now shown to me in the consent affidavit are the signatures of me and my brothers. Hence, the said document is marked Ex.R. 6.** The witness volunteers that signatures have been obtained by the respondents while before making the payment and he do not know the contents of Ex.R.6 consent affidavit and further states that they were signed on the say of officials of respondent and contents of said affidavit were not read-over to them and the officials have taken signatures on several documents. **The signatures now shown to me in the affidavit form of agreement are the signatures of me and my brothers. Hence, the said document is marked Ex.R. 7 and 8.** The witness volunteers that signatures have been obtained by the respondents while before making the payment and he do not know the contents of Ex.R.7 and 8 affidavit and further states that they were signed on the say of officials of respondent and contents of said documents were not read-over to them and the officials have taken signatures on several documents. The witness further states that officials obtained the signatures stating that, the signatures are required to prepare the cheque. Hence, they have signed. When it is questioned to the witness

that all the stamp papers for preparing Ex.R. 5 to 8 have been purchased by you and your brothers ; the witness said the respondents have prepared the said documents. It is true that the stamp papers at Ex.R. 5 to 8 discloses that the said stamp papers have been purchased by me and my brothers ; the witness said they have not purchased the said stamp papers. When it is questioned to the witness that stamp papers at Ex.R. 5 to 8 discloses that consideration of said stamp papers have been paid by me and my brothers ; the witness said that they have not paid the said consideration amount for the said stamp papers. The said documents at Ex.R. 5 to 8 have been signed by the witnesses namely Sri. M.C. Muniraju and Sri. Ramesh S. It is true that I know the said witnesses. The witness volunteers that the respondent insisted the witnesses to be signed before issuing of the cheque. Hence, witnesses have signed. It is true that I have brought the said witnesses. The witnesses volunteers that he brought the witnesses on the say of the officials of respondent. It is true that the aforesaid witnesses are well known to me. It is true that Ex.R. 5 to 8 are executed before the Notary. The witness volunteers he has not notarized the documents at Ex.R. 5 to 8.

5. I came to know about the producing of the copies of Ex.R. 5 to 8 when they have been produced to the court along with objection statement of the respondent. After knowing about the Ex.R. 5 to 8, I have not filed any complaint against respondents or the notary that the documents at Ex.R. 5 to 8 have been obtained fraudulently.

Further cross examination: Deferred.

(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions
Judge, and Spl. Judge,
Bangalore City.