

Witness present and duly sworn on 18/08/2025.

Witness is recalled as per the order passed by Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P. No. 14736/2025 dated 06-06-2025.

Further Cross Examination by advocate for Claimant No.1.

8. I have not verified the mother deed of the sale deed dated 19-01-1994 from 13-08-2024 till this date. It is false to suggest that I aware that Kalingamma has not acquired the right over the property as such I have not any right over the acquired property.

9. I know that claimant no.4 – Sri. B.K. Kempaiah filed a suit in O.S. 166/2018 on the file Civil Judge, Magadi Court. In the said case I have filed a written statement. I have produced the said copy of written statement in this case. I have given particulars to my advocate to file written statement. I can not say on what basis I have stated in my written statement in O.S. 166/2018 that Kempakadaraiah and Nagamma died as issueless. I do not know that claimant no.4 – Sri. B.K. Kempaiah is the son of Kempakadaraiah and Nagamma. It is false to suggest that B.K. Kempaiah was in possession of the property till the property has been acquired. I have produced the documents/ Krishi Book to show that I was in possession of the property when the property has been acquired. I do not know that the claimant no.4 - Kempaiah challenged judgment

in O.S. 166/2018 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in RSA No. 1426/2022. It is false to suggest that I am not entitled for the compensation involved in this case. It is false to suggest that claimant no.4 being the owner of acquired property and he is entitled for compensation.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions
Judge, and Spl. Judge,
Bangalore City.