

Witness present and duly sworn on 13/08/2024.

Further Cross Examination by advocate for Claimant No.1.

3. It is false to suggest that the claimant no.1 – Sri. B.K. Kempaiah is well known to me. I do not know that the property in survey no. 59/3 measuring 25 guntas and survey no. 59/5 measuring 17 guntas situates at Byalalu Village . It is false to suggest that Kempa Kadaraiah S/o Kadira hanumaiah is the owner in possession of property in survey no. 59/3 and 59/5 since 1921. I do not know after the death of Kempa Kadaraiah his wife Nagamma continued with the possession of said properties. I do not know that after the death of Nagamma, the claimant no.1 – Sri. B.K. Kempaiah continued with the possession of property in survey no. 59/3 and 59/5 . I do not know that the property in survey no. 59/3 and 59/5 has been granted to the first claimant father Kempa Kadaraiah. I have not seen any document that shows the grant of aforesaid property to Kempa Kadaraiah. I do not know that claimant no.1 is the only son of Kempa Kadaraiah. I do not know that on 28-06-1973 wife and children of Chikka Kempaiah namely Kempamma, Kadira Hanumaiah, Marayah, Kempaiah sold the property in survey no. 59/3 and 59/5 to Kalingamma under registered sale deed. It is false to suggest that in the sale deed dated 28-06-1973 it has been referred

that the aforesaid property was standing in the name of Kempa Kadaraiah. I know the reading of writing of only Kannada Language.

**Further cross examination : Deferred on request.**

(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)  
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions  
Judge, and Spl. Judge,  
Bangalore City.