

**Witness present and duly sworn on 06/03/2026.**

**Further Cross Examination by advocate for Defendant.**

It is false to suggest that marriage of all the daughters of Muniknannu Reddy have been performed grandly. The witness volunteers that simple marriage have been performed. It is false to suggest that our father performed the marriage of daughters by putting sufficient ornaments. It is false to suggest that after receiving the money towards our share in the schedule property, we agreed to release our right over the suit schedule properties in favour of defendant no.1 – Nagaraj. It is false to suggest that though we have received the money towards our share, we have not executed the relinquishment deed. The witness volunteers that her father had given Rs.14,000/- each to four daughters. It is true that the jeweler of our mother have been distributed among daughters. When it is questioned to the witness that some of the jewelries of our mother was kept in locker ; the witness said that has been taken by defendant no.1 – Nagaraj. It is false to suggest that by forging the signature of defendant no.1 – Nagaraj, we have received the gold ornaments from the bank. The witness volunteers that her mother had given Rs.3000/- each to all daughter towards their share in the Jewelry. When it is questioned to the witness that you have taken the original title deeds of schedule property ; the witness said his sister's son – Sri. Rajendra has taken the documents and later on returned to the defendant no.1. It is false to suggest that

when the defendant no.1 told us he will lodged a police complaint for taking of documents we have returned the documents. When it is questioned to the witness that our father gifted half portion of the schedule property to defendant no.1 – Nagaraj ; the witness said her father used to say so. It is false to suggest that though we know about the execution of gift deed in respect of half portion of suit schedule property, we have suppress the same and filed the suit. It is true that we have not stated in the plaint that our father used to say that he is intending to give half portion in the suit schedule property to defendant no.1. It is false to suggest that I am willing to give my share in the property to defendant no.1. It is false to suggest that I am not entitled for relief claimed in the suit. It is false to suggest that I have already received money in respect of my share in the suit schedule property. It is false to suggest that I have filed a false suit. It is false to suggest that the suit schedule property entirely belongs to defendant no.1.

**Re-examination: Nil.**

**(Typed to my dictation in open court, as the deposition is proceeded with).**

R.O.I. & A.C.

(Padma Prasad)  
II Addl. City Civil & Sessions  
Judge, and Spl. Judge,  
Bangalore City.