

**IN THE COURT OF THE IX ADDL.CITY CIVIL &  
SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE. (CCH-5)**

**O.S.NO.260/2015**

Plaintiffs : Sunandamma and others  
/ Vs /

Defendants : Kemparaju.T.K and others

**I S S U E S**

1. Whether plaintiffs prove their title to the schedule property?
2. Do they prove their lawful possession over the schedule property?
3. Do they prove the alleged obstruction of the defendants?
4. Whether defendants prove that suit is not maintainable without seeking relief for cancellation of the sale deed dated 22.02.1987 executed by mother of plaintiffs and duly confirmed by themselves on 22.06.2013?
5. Do they prove that plaintiffs have no cause of action for the suit either on 16.06.2013 or on 28.12.2014 as contended?
6. Do they prove that suit is barred by law of limitation?
7. Whether valuation made and the court fee paid by the plaintiffs on the plaint is correct?
8. Whether plaintiffs are entitle for relief sought for?
9. What order and decree?

Bangalore,  
Dated:08.10.2015

(Krishnamurthy B.Sangannavar)  
IX ACC & SJ, Bangalore

**IN THE COURT OF THE IX ADDL.CITY CIVIL &  
SESSIONS JUDGE, BANGALORE. (CCH-5)**

**O.S.NO.8101/2010**

Plaintiffs : Ammaiah and others  
/ Vs /  
Defendants : Ramakka and others

**I S S U E S**

10. Whether plaintiffs prove that their joint possession of the schedule property?
11. Do they prove their 1/4<sup>th</sup> share in the schedule property?
12. Do they entitle for relief of partition by meets and bounds?
13. Whether defendants 3 to 8 prove the plaintiffs have no cause of action to sue for partition and their suit is liable to be dismissed?
14. Whether court fee paid and the valuation made is correct?
15. Whether plaintiffs are entitle for relief sought for?
16. What order and decree?

Bangalore,  
Dated:26.08.2015

(Krishnamurthy B.Sangannavar)  
IX ACC & SJ, Bangalore

