

CNR No.HRPP01-013787-2022

CIS No.SC 449-2022

FIR No.432 dated 27.5.2022

Under Sections: 148,149,307,323, 325,506 IPC

Police Station: Samalkha District Panipat.

State vs Rajbir @ Raju & others

Present: Sh. Baljit Singh, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by Sh.R.S.Rawal, Advocate for complainant Madan Kumar.
Accused Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu, Mukesh @ Titu, Parveen Kumar @ Bina on bail assisted by Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Advocate.
Accused Sandeep @ Monu on bail assisted by Sh.Gaurav Jagga, Sh.Navdeep Kataria and Ms Preeti Sharma, Advocates
Accused Govinda on bail assisted by Sh. Subhash Chand Saini, Advocate.

All the six accused have today by making a joint statement closed their defence evidence. Arguments heard. To come up after lunch session for orders.

Rajesh Kumar Mehta
Additional Sessions Judge,
Panipat.
UID No.HR0136

Mamta Rani, Stenographer Gr.I

CNR No.HRPP01-013787-2022

CIS No.SC 449-2022

FIR No.432 dated 27.5.2022

Under Sections: 148,149,307,323, 325,506 IPC

Police Station: Samalkha District Panipat.

State vs Rajbir @ Raju & others

Present: Sh. Baljit Singh, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by Sh.R.S.Rawal, Advocate for complainant Madan Kumar.
Accused Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu, Mukesh @ Titu, Parveen Kumar @ Bina on bail assisted by Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Advocate.
Accused Sandeep @ Monu on bail assisted by Sh.Gaurav Jagga, Sh.Navdeep Kataria and Ms Preeti Sharma, Advocates
Accused Govinda on bail assisted by Sh. Subhash Chand Saini, Advocate.

1. Order pronounced. Vide separate detailed judgment of even date of this court accused Mukesh @ Titu, Parveen Kumar @ Bina and Govind have been acquitted of all the charges framed against them. They are directed to furnish personal bond under section 437 A CrPC in the sum of Rs.50,000/-. Bonds furnished by all these three accused.
2. Accused Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu and Sandeep @ Monu have been held guilty and convicted for commission of offences punishable under sections 307, 323,325,506 read with section 34 IPC. It is directed that they be taken into custody. Let these three convicts be heard on the quantum of sentence after some time.

Rajesh Kumar Mehta
Additional Sessions Judge,
Panipat.17.04.2026
UID No.HR0136

Mamta Rani, Stenographer Gr.I

FIR No.432 dated 27.5.2022

Under Sections: 148,149,307,323, 325,506 IPC

Police Station: Samalkha District Panipat.

State vs Rajbir @ Raju & others

Present: Sh. Baljit Singh, Public Prosecutor for State assisted by Sh.R.S.Rawal, Advocate for complainant Madan Kumar. Convicts Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu in custody assisted by Sh. Sumit Aggarwal, Advocate. Convict Sandeep @ Monu in custody assisted by Sh.Gaurav Jagga, Sh.Navdeep Kataria and Ms Preeti Sharma, Advocates

1. This court has vide separate judgment of even date convicted convicts Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu and Sandeep @ Monu for commission of offences punishable under sections 307, 323,325,506 read with section 34 IPC.

2. Statements of all the three convicts on quantum of sentence recorded separately. Arguments heard on quantum of sentence.

3. Order pronounced. Vide separate order of even date of this court convicts Rajbir @ Raju, Bablu and Sandeep @ Monu have been **sentenced to** undergo following punishment :

Section 307 read **Rigorous imprisonment for 5 years** and fine of **with section 34 IPC Rs.10,000/-** and in default of paying fine to undergo simple imprisonment for three months.

Section 323 read **Rigorous imprisonment for one year** and fine of **with section 34 IPC Rs.1000/-** and in default of paying fine to undergo simple imprisonment for one month.

Section 325 read with **Rigorous imprisonment for two years** and fine of **section 34 IPC Rs.5000/-** and in default of paying fine to undergo simple imprisonment for two months.

Section 506 read with **Rigorous imprisonment for one year** and fine of **section 34 IPC Rs.4000/-** and in default of paying fine to undergo simple imprisonment for two months.

4. It has been ordered that all the sentences shall run concurrently.

Fine not paid.

5. The period of imprisonment already undergone by the convicts during investigation, enquiry and trial of this case has been ordered to be set off against the substantive punishment awarded to the convicts as envisaged under section 428 Cr.P.C. However, period of sentence in default of payment fine shall run after expiry of substantive sentence.

6. The case property, if any, be dealt in accordance with law but after the expiry of period of limitation to file appeal/revision, or the result thereof, as the case may be.

7. **Warrant of committment be prepared accordingly** and convicts be committed to District Jail, Panipat, to undergo the imprisonment of sentence awarded by this Court.

8. A copy of detailed judgment of conviction and order of sentence be supplied to the convicts free of costs, as per rules.

9. File be consigned to the record-room, after due compliance.

**Pronounced in Open Court:
17.04.2026**

**Rajesh Kumar Mehta
Additional Sessions Judge,
Panipat.
UID No.HR0136**

Mamta Rani, Stenographer G-I.