

CNR No.:HRPK-01-002862-2017  
Case No.:MACP/109/2017

Balwinder Kaur & Ors. Vs. Honey Chauhan & Ors.

Present: Shri Sunidh Kashyap, Advocate, for claimants.  
Respondent No.1 (Driver) yet to be summoned.  
Shri Dinesh Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.2 (Owner).  
Shri Subhash Adhlakha, proxy counsel for Shri Shital Bindal, Advocate for respondent No.3 (Insurer).

Written-statement on behalf of respondent No.2 filed. Copy supplied.

Per report of Ahlmad, ordinary notice sent to respondent No.1 not received back either served or unserved. On the other hand, learned counsel for claimant stated that as per his knowledge, challan has not been forwarded to the Court and seeks another date for effecting service upon respondent No.1 Honey Chauhan through ordinary process as well as through registered post at his house with further permission to serve the summon upon respondent No.1 Honey Chauhan at Court Complex at Solan.

Let, **respondent No.1 be again summoned** through ordinary process as well as registered post, returnable for 06.01.2018 on filing of copy of claim-petition, RC and necessary charges with Civil Nazir/Nodal Officer within a week. Service of ordinary process upon respondent No.1 be got effected through learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Solan (Himachal Pradesh) and summons be sent through FAX. Long date is given for taking due presumption of service after expiry of stipulated period of 30 days. Meanwhile, permission is also granted to the claimant to serve upon respondent No.1 in the Court Complex at Solan, as requested. Dasti summons be given to party concerned, for the aforesaid purpose.

November 27, 2017.  
Arvind

(Ritu Tagore),  
MACT, Panchkula.  
UID Number: HR-0029

Next Date: 06.01.2018

Purpose: \_\_\_\_\_