

Balwinder Kaur & Ors. Versus Honey Chauhan & Ors.

Present: Shri Sunidh Kashyap, Advocate, for the claimants.
Respondent No.1 (Driver) yet to be summoned.
Shri Kulvir Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2 (Owner).
Shri Amit Sharma, proxy counsel for Shri Shital Bindal,
Advocate for respondent No.3 (Insurer).

Shri Amit Sharma, proxy counsel for Shri Shital Bindal,
Advocate for respondent No.3 has filed written-statement on behalf of
respondent No.3. Copy given.

Per report of Ahlmad, ordinary notice sent to respondent
No.1 not received back either served or unserved. Let, **respondent No.1
be again summoned** through ordinary process as well as through
registered post, returnable for **27.11.2017** on depositing of necessary
charges with the Civil Nazir/Nodal Officer within a week. Dasti
summons be given to the party concerned, if so desired.

Written-statement on behalf of respondent No.2 is stated to
be not ready. Now, **written-statement on behalf of respondents No.2 be
also filed on the date fixed** i.e. 27.11.2017 with last opportunity.

At this stage, an application has also been moved by learned
counsel for applicant-claimants seeking permission to serve the summon
upon respondent No.1 Honey Chauhan at Solan Court, where respondent
No.1 is appearing in a criminal case titled as 'State Versus Honey
Chauhan'. Heard. Contentions considered and allowed. Let, respondent
No.1, who is appearing in aforesaid State case at Solan Court, be served
in the court Compound at Solan as per law. As ordered above, dasti
summons be also given to party concerned for the said purpose.

Application in hand is disposed-of accordingly.

Identity proofs on behalf of the parties also not filed. Now, same be also filed on the date fixed, failing compliance of the same, the present claim-petition shall not proceed further.

October 26, 2017.
Arvind

(Ritu Tagore),
MACT, Panchkula.
UID Number:HR-0029

Next Date: 27.11.2017

Purpose: _____