

CNR No.:HRPK-01-002862-2017
Case No.:MACP/109/2017

Balwinder Kaur & Ors. Versus Honey Chauhan & Ors.

Present: Ms. Jyoti, proxy counsel for Shri Sunidh Kashyap,
Advocate, for the claimants.
Respondent No.1 (Driver) yet to be summoned.
Respondent No.2 Daya Kishan (Owner) in person assisted
by Shri Vivek Sharma, Advocate
Shri Shital Bindal, Advocate for respondent No.3 (Insurer).

Shri Vivek Sharma, Advocate has filed power of attorney on
behalf of respondent No.2 (owner).

Per report of Ahlmad, ordinary notice sent to respondent
No.1 received back with the report of 'Incomplete address'. Let,
respondent No.1 be again summoned through ordinary process as well
as through registered post, returnable for **03.10.2017** on depositing of
necessary charges with the Civil Nazir/Nodal Officer and correct address
within a week. Respondent No.2 Daya Kishan is also directed to provide
the latest correct address of respondent No.1, if available with him,
meanwhile to learned counsel for claimants. Dasti summons be given to
the party concerned, if so desired.

Written-statement on behalf of respondent No.3 stated to be
not ready. Now, **written-statement on behalf of respondents No.2 and
3 be also filed on the date fixed** i.e. 03.10.2017.

Meanwhile, learned counsels for claimants and respondent 2
are also directed to provide the particulars of the offending vehicle, if
any, to learned counsel for respondent No.3.

Identity proofs on behalf of the parties also not filed. Now,
same be also filed on the date fixed, failing compliance of the same, the
present claim-petition shall not proceed further.

September 12, 2017.
Arvind

(Ritu Tagore),
MACT, Panchkula.
UIDNumber:HR-0029

Next Date: 03.10.2017

Purpose: _____