

Sajjan Kumar Vs State of Haryana

FIR No. 143 dated 30.05.2026
under Section: 409, 420,120B IPC.
PS: Sector 50, Gurugram.

Present:- Ms. Kanak, Learned APP for the State along with learned counsel for complainant Sh. Prashant Yadav. (Power of attorney filed).
Sh. Kunal Utreja, Learned counsel for accused Sajjan Kumar.

ORDER :

This order shall dispose of bail application filed by the applicant Sajjan Kumar.

2. Brief facts of case of prosecution are that , the complainant company I.e. Kay Cee Enterprises alleged a large-scale financial fraud wherein the applicant Sajjan Kumar, is conspiracy with his son Hari Om who is employee of the company and other family members fraudulently projected family members as employees of the company despite them never having worked there. It is further alleged by complainant that fake salary entries and fabricated expenses were generated, leading to illegal transfer of company funds amounting to approximately Rs.47,00,000/- into the bank accounts of the accused persons including the present applicant Sajjan Kumar. The funds were allegedly siphoned off, layered through multiple accounts and partly used for purchase of immovable property and other assets. The applicant was arrested on 01.06.2026 and his police custody was obtained thereafter, the accused was send to judicial custody on dated 05.06.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has argued that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. No incriminating material was recovered from him. He further argued that the present accused is not

(Amit Ahlawat)
D/JMFC/Gurugram
UID No. HR0550
19.06.2026

Sajjan Kumar Vs State of Haryana

required for further custody. It is submitted that the accused is a permanent resident with no criminal antecedents and 53 years of age. In last, learned counsel argued that investigation qua applicant Sajjan Kumar is complete and bail is the rule while jail is an exception. A prayer has been made for allowing the bail application.

4. The learned APP supported by the learned counsel for complainant opposed the bail application and submitted that the applicant was a main conspirator in a planned financial fraud involving misuse of a large amount of company funds. He argued that the misappropriated money has not yet been recovered from the applicant. It was further submitted that other accused persons are still absconding and if applicant is released on bail he may influence witnesses. It is further argued that the investigation is still ongoing and the allegations involve serious offences such as cheating, criminal breach of trust and conspiracy therefore, a prayer has been made for dismissal of the bail application.

5. I have heard learned Counsel for both sides and perused the case file.

6. In the present case, the allegations against the applicant are grave in nature. The fraud was allegedly executed by creating false employee records and reflecting them in the official records of the company. The name of the applicant was added to the record of company as if he was a genuine employee of the company, even though he was never actually working there. Further, using this false entry money was transferred from the bank account of

Sajjan Kumar Vs State of Haryana

company into the bank account of applicant. It was a deliberate fraud that went on for a period of time. This clearly shows that the applicant was very much aware of what was happening and was actively benefiting from it.

7. Further, regarding the submission of the learned counsel for the accused that the accused is 53 years old and has no prior criminal record, this Court is of the view that these factors may be taken into consideration. However, they are not sufficient to outweigh the serious allegations of fraud against the accused especially when the investigation is still ongoing and arrest of the co-accused is pending.

8. Therefore, considering the gravity of the accusations and the present stage of the investigation, this Court is of the view that no ground for grant of bail is made out at this stage. Hence, the bail application of **accused Sajjan Kumar stands dismissed**. Application stands disposed of. Papers be tagged with main file/Remand paper.

9. Nothing expressed herein above while deciding the bail application, shall be construed as opinion on the merits of the case.

Date of Order 19.06.2026

(sonu)

(Amit Ahlawat)
Duty/Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Gurugram. UID No. HR-0550

All the three pages have been checked and signed by me.

(Amit Ahlawat)
Duty/Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Gurugram. UID No. HR-0550
19.06.2026

(Amit Ahlawat)
D/JMFC/Gurugram
UID No. HR0550
19.06.2026

Sajjan Kumar Vs State of Haryana

Present: Ms. Kanak, Learned APP for the State along with learned counsel for complainant Sh. Prashant Yadav. (Power of attorney filed).
Sh. Kunal Utreja, Learned counsel for accused Sajjan Kumar.

Today the case was fixed for filing reply to the bail application.

Reply filed.

Argument heard. Vide separate detailed order of even date, the instant bail application stands dismissed. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Date of Order 19.06.2026

(sonu)

(Amit Ahlawat)
Duty/Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Gurugram. UID No. HR-0550

(Amit Ahlawat)
D/JMFC/Gurugram
UID No. HR0550
19.06.2026