

Bail Matters 227/2026 STATE Vs. MURTI 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 226/2026 STATE Vs. SANJAY 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 233/2026 STATE Vs. DEVIKA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 231/2026 STATE Vs. SHARAN RAJ 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 230/2026 STATE Vs. BHUPATI RAJAN 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 216/2026 STATE Vs. SARASWATI 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 22/26 STATE Vs. Silambarasan Azhagesan 21/26 (Cyber Outer)
Bail Matters 229/2026 STATE Vs. M S DEV KUMAR 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 213/2026 STATE Vs. SHARDA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 205/2026 STATE Vs. KANCHAN 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 206/2026 STATE Vs. ANJALI MANIKANDAN 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 207/2026 STATE Vs. RITIKA ALIAS MEENAKSHI 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 208/2026 STATE Vs. SABEETHA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 209/2026 STATE Vs. MANJU 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 210/2026 STATE Vs. MEKHA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 211/2026 STATE Vs. ANKITA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matters 212/2026 STATE Vs. RADHIKA 21/2026 (Cyber Police Station Outer)
Bail Matter 914/2026 STATE VS. GEETA 21/26 (Cyber Police Station Outer)

13.04.2026

Present: Sh. Arvind Dahiya, Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. Tanuj Sachdeva & Sh. Pankaj Sachdeva, Ld.
Counsels for the remaining applicants.
Sh. Vikas Thakur, ld. Counsel for the applicant Devika.
Sh. Banshi Pandit, ld. Counsel for the applicant

Sharan Raj.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant Saraswati (through
VC).
Sh. Deepanshu Tomar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
IO in person.

The abovestated bail applications shall be decided
by this common order.

It is the case of the prosecution that upon
information, raid was conducted at Jaikishan Ka Makaan, Near
Chaupal, Village Pitampura, Delhi where a call center was found
being run with the purpose of defrauding persons by luring them
to invest money. Applicants were found present at the spot and
their mobile phones were seized. It is further alleged that several
complaints are linked to the said mobile numbers. The applicants
were allegedly speaking in Tamil and they were targeting persons
from Tamil Nadu. The applicants failed to furnish proper
addresses and hence, were apprehended.

On behalf of applicants, it is submitted that they
were merely employed for the purpose of tele-calling and were
not aware of any fraudulent activities allegedly being conducted
by the owners and are themselves victims of the circumstances. It
is further submitted that applicants are of young age, have clean
antecedents, their mobile phones are already seized and their
custodial interrogation is not required. It is further submitted that
applicants are residents of Delhi, alleged offences are punishable
upto 7 years and the applicants are ready to furnish sound local
surety. It is further submitted that evidence is digital /
documentary in nature and hence, there is no likelihood of
tampering with the same. It is further submitted that the owners /
main offenders are yet to be apprehended and the investigation

may take considerable time. It is further submitted on behalf of applicant Saraswati and Geeta that they are having minor children.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

Role attributed to all the applicants appears to be similar in nature and prima facie confined to tele-calling. No specific requirement for further custodial interrogation of applicants is shown at this stage. Mobile phones and other material already stand seized and material evidence appears to be largely digital / documentary in nature thereby reducing the possibility of tampering. Though, the allegations pertain to serious economic / cyber offence, mere pendency of investigation qua other accused cannot by itself justify continued incarceration when no further custodial interrogation is shown to be necessary. Considering the young age of applicants, clean antecedents and overall facts and circumstances of the case, this court is of the view that the applicants deserve to be released on bail. Accordingly, the applications under consideration are allowed.

The applicants / accused persons are admitted to bail on furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- each with one surety of the like amount with the following conditions:

- i). The applicants / accused persons shall not involve in similar offence;
- ii) The applicants / accused persons shall join the investigation as and when required;
- iii) The applicants / accused persons shall not threaten / influence the witnesses and shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner;
- iv) The applicants / accused persons are directed to inform the IO of any change in his address or contact number;

The bail applications are accordingly disposed of.

Copy of this order be given dasti. Copy thereof be also sent to concerned jail superintendent for information and compliance.

(UPASANA SATIJA)
CJM (North-West)
Rohini Courts, Delhi
13.04.2026