

7 OMP (I) (COMM) 27/25

SMFG India Credit Company Limited Vs. Manish Hosiery

13.11.2025

Present: Sh. Saurabh Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.
(through VC).
None for the respondents.

Earlier, the matter is fixed for services of the notices of the application u/s 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act to the respondents for 30.10.2025 and on that day, notice issued to the respondent No.1 through Nazarat Branch was received back with the report unserved whereas the notice issued to the respondents No.2 and 3 through Nazarat Branch were not received back and on that day, none had appeared on behalf of the respondents and Sh. Shivam Kumar, Advocate had appeared on behalf of the petitioner at the time of the first call through VC and told to the Court that he is not aware whether the notices to the respondents through other modes were the sent or not and sought passing over of the matter till 11:00 AM but thereafter, despite of repeated calls till 11:48 AM, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner and the petitioner was directed to apprise to the Court by way of filing affidavit whether the notices to the respondents were sent through other modes i.e. speed post/registered post or not and the matter was adjourned for today.

Today, Sh. Saurabh Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has appeared through VC and submitted that notices to the respondents through other modes/speed post/registered post were not sent.

So, fresh notices of this petition u/s 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act are ordered to be issued to the respondents

through all modes, on taking of steps by the petitioner within three days from today, same are returnable on **09.01.2026**.

(PAWAN KUMAR MATTO)
DISTRICT JUDGE
(COMMERCIAL COURT)-04,
SHAHDARA, KKD,
Delhi/13.11.2025