

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI RAKESH KUMAR,
JUDGE SPECIAL COURT
LUDHIANA.
UNIQUE IDENTIFICATION CODE NO. PB0138**

B.A.No. 2565 dated 02.4.2019.
Date of Order: 23.4.2019.
Computer Generated No. 7472/2019.

State. Vs Jasbir Singh son of Randhir Singh

resident of H.No. 1734, Haibowal Kalan,

Ludhiana.

FIR No.89 dated 2.6.2016.

U/Ss 419, 420, 465, 467, 471, 120B IPC

P.S. Division No.5, Ludhiana.

BAIL APPLICATION U/S 438 CR.P.C.

Present: Mr. S. Kumar advocate learned counsel
for the accused/applicant.
Mr.Amandeep Singh Learned Addl.P.P for the State.

ORDER

1. Heard on the bail application filed on behalf of the accused/applicant under section 438 Cr.P.C.
2. The case arising out of FIR No. 89 dated 2.6.2016, U/Ss 419, 420, 465, 467, 471, 120B IPC relating to P.S. Division No.5, Ludhiana has been registered against the accused/applicant has been registered against the accused/applicant alongwith others on the basis of complaint moved by the complainant Charanjit Singh to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana bearing complaint No.

CRNO 624849 dated 12.11.2014 for forging and fabricating the sale deed dated 1.2.2007 bearing vasika No. 23751 dated 1.2.2007 in respect of property of the complainant measuring 300 square yards forming part of Khewat No. 366, Khatauni No. 369, Khasra No. 519/2 as entered in the jamabandi for the year, 2006-2007 situated at Village Barewal Awana, H.B.No.157 Tehsil and District, Ludhiana by impersonation and for taking loan on the property from IDBI Bank, Feroze Gandhi Market, Ludhiana on the basis of forged and fabricated sale deed.

3. On the basis of aforesaid complaint, law has been set into motion, case against the present accused/applicant alongwith others stand registered and apprehending his arrest accused/applicant has filed the present anticipatory bail application.
4. Learned counsel for the accused/applicant has argued that the applicant is Namberdar and is respectable person. He has been falsely implicated in the present case and nothing is to be recovered from him. Police is raiding his house and in the last he prayed for admitting the accused on anticipatory bail.
5. On the other hand, learned Addl.P.P for the State opposed the bail application strongly and in the last he prayed for dismissal of the same.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the accused/applicant, learned Addl.P.P for the State and have gone through the entire record carefully with their able assistance.
7. After hearing learned counsel for the accused/applicant, learned

Addl.P.P for the State and going through the entire record, this court finds that this is the second anticipatory bail application of the accused and first anticipatory bail of the accused has already been dismissed vide order dated 5.5.2017. There is no change in the circumstances in this second bail application in comparison to first bail application.

8. It is important to mention here that there are specific role attributed to the present accused/applicant who is stated to be Nambardar and played important role in forging the sale deed in question on the basis of which accused/applicant alongwith others in furtherance of common intention with each other have cheated the complainant.
9. It is worthwhile to mention here that as per record the proclamation against the accused has already been effected statement of serving official has already been recorded on 25.3.2019.
10. So, from the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case referred above, this court finds that custodial interrogation of the accused/applicant may be required to the Investigating agency and finding that accused/applicant is not entitled to be released on anticipatory bail, his present bail application being devoid of any merits is ordered to be dismissed.

Record of learned trial court be returned after placing copy of this order whereas bail application file be consigned to record room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced:23.4.2019.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana.(UID PB0138)

THIS ORDER HAS BEEN DIRECTLY DICTATED ON THE COMPUTER.

Kashmira Singh stenographer Grade.II.

State. Vs. Jasbir Singh.

Present: Mr.S.Kumar advocate learned counsel
for the accused/applicant.
Mr.Amandeep Singh Learned Addl.P.P for the State.

Heard on the bail application filed by accused under section 438
of the Cr.P.C and vide my separate detailed order of the even date, same
stands dismissed. Record of learned trial court be returned after placing
copy of this order whereas bail application file be consigned to record
room, Ludhiana.

Pronounced:23.4.2019.

(Rakesh Kumar)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana. (UID PB0138)

THIS ORDER HAS BEEN DIRECTLY DICTATED ON THE COMPUTER.

Kashmira Singh stenographer Grade.II.