

GJVD200001982026



Received on : 03.03.2026
Registered on : 03.03.2026
Decided on : 03.03.2026
Duration : 00 00 03
Years Months Days

**IN THE COURT OF 9TH ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
VADODARA AT SAVLI**

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.86 OF 2026

Exhibit :

APPLICANT: **SIDDRATH ALIAS SIDDHU VINAYSING**
Aged: 19 Yrs., Occupation : Labour work
R/o. Rajiv Nagar-2, Nr. Santosh Nagar,
New V.I.P. Road, Khodiyarnagar,
VADODARA.
Originally R/o. Karamat Chal,
Shambhajinagar, Sahar road,
Kol Dogri, Opp. Vijaynagar Society,
Andheri, Mumbai,

V E R S U S

OPPONENT: **The State of Gujarat**

Appearance:

Mr.R.A.Patel

: Learned Advocate for the applicant-accused.

Mr.C.G.Patel

: Learned APP for the State.

***Application seeking Regular Bail
under Section 483 of the BNSS***

-:: O R D E R ::-

1. By way of this application preferred under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (for short “BNSS”), the present applicant–accused has prayed to enlarge him on regular bail in connection with the F.I.R. bearing C.R.No.11197059251052/2025 registered at Jarod Police Station, Vadodara Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 309(4), 142, 351(3), 54 of BNS.
2. On service of notice, Mr.C.G.Patel, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor, appeared for the State and filed affidavit of the Investigating Officer at Exhibit 4.
3. Heard learned advocate for the applicant-accused as well as learned APP for the State.
4. Learned advocate appearing for the applicant-accused has reiterated the grounds stated in the application and prayed to release the present applicant-accused on bail. He has further submitted that the applicant-accused is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the offence on the basis of suspicion. He has further submitted that no Muddamal article has been found from the present applicant-accused and no any recovery or discovery is pending from the applicant-accused. He has further submitted that the investigation of this case is completed and chargesheet has been filed by the investigating officer which is numbered as Criminal Case no.2921/2025. He has further submitted that trial of the case may take long time and if the applicant-accused is not released on bail, it may amount to pre-trial conviction. He has

further submitted that other co-accused had filed Cr.M.A. no.401/2025 for enlarging him on regular bail which had been granted and therefore, the present applicant-accused should also be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity. He has further submitted that the applicant-accused is residing at the address mentioned in cause title and therefore, there is no possibility of his fleeing. He has further submitted that the applicant-accused has responsibility of his family and if he is not released on bail, his family will have to suffer hardship. It is further submitted that the applicant-accused is ready to abide by conditions that may be imposed by the Court and therefore, he has requested this Court to enlarge the present applicant-accused on regular bail on appropriate conditions.

5. Referring to the affidavit of I.O., the learned APP for the State has submitted that looking to the nature of the offence and the involvement of the applicant-accused in the offence, he should not be released on bail. He has also submitted that muddamal of the present offence has been recovered at the instance of present applicant-accused, therefore, as there is prima facie case against the applicant-accused, the applicant-accused should not be released on bail. He has further submitted that if the applicant-accused is released on bail, he may not remain present during the trial and may flee from justice. Hence, the learned APP has requested to reject the present application.

6. Upon considering the submissions made by the rival sides and also on perusal of the record, it appears that the applicant-accused is in judicial custody since 30.10.2025 i.e. since more than 4 months. So, it can be said that there is no further custodial

interrogation of the applicant-accused is required. If the applicant-accused is not released on bail, there is likelihood of his pretrial conviction. Further, chargesheet of the case has been filed by the investigating officer. An apprehension is shown by learned APP that if the applicant-accused is released on bail, he may not remain present during the trial and may flee from justice but mere apprehension is not sufficient to deny the bail and that apprehension can be taken care of by imposing suitable conditions. Moreover, the record indicates that other co-accused has been enlarged on regular bail. Thus, in view of the above facts and circumstances and also on the ground of parity, it would be appropriate to exercise discretion in favour of the present applicant-accused. Hence, I pass the following order in the interest of justice.

:: ORDER ::

1. The present Criminal Misc. Application is allowed.
2. The applicant-accused namely **SIDDRATH ALIAS SIDDHU VINAYSING** is hereby ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with the F.I.R. bearing C.R.No.11197059251052/2025 registered at Jarod Police Station, Vadodara Rural for the offences punishable under Sections 309(4), 142, 351(3), 54 of BNS, on depositing Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) towards cash surety and on executing personal bond in the sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only)** with one surety of the like amount subject to the following conditions that he shall;

- (a) not directly or indirectly make any inducement, give threat or promises to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer or tamper with the evidence;
 - (b) maintain law and order and not indulge in any criminal activities of similar or other nature;
 - (c) not leave India without prior permission of the Court;
 - (d) surrender their passport, if any, within a week before the trial Court. If they do not possess passport, they shall file an affidavit to that effect;
 - (e) mark their presence before the concerned Police Station on **1st Friday** of every English Calendar month till six months;
 - (f) furnish the complete documentary proof of latest & permanent address of their residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court and shall not change the residence without prior permission of the Court concerned;
 - (g) provide their contact numbers as well as the contact numbers of the sureties before the concerned Court and shall not change such contact numbers without informing to the Court concerned;
 - (h) shall not act in a manner injurious and prejudice to the interest of the prosecution;
 - (i) not obstruct or hamper the police investigation or tamper with evidence or influence the witnesses;
 - (j) not take undue advantage of their liberty or misuse liberty;
3. The applicant-accused is directed to declare properties owned by him or to which he is likely to succeed.

4. Bail Bond be furnished to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.
5. The Jail Authorities will release the applicant-accused only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being.
6. Breach of any of the above conditions shall invite cancellation of bail and the Investigating Officer concerned will be free to take appropriate action in the matter.
7. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Police Station/Court as well as jailor by email for being communicated to applicant under intimation to this Court.

**Signed and pronounced in open Court today
i.e. on 6th day of March, 2026.**

**Place: Savli.
Date : 06.03.2026**

**(A.M.Varma)
9th Additional Sessions Judge,
Vadodara at Savli
(GJ-00577)**