

**Order below Exh.6 in**  
**Criminal Appeal No.76/2026**

[1] The present application has been filed on behalf of appellant seeking bail under Section 430 (1) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), during the pendency of present appeal as well as seeking suspension of sentence. A perusal of Section 430 (1) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), reveals that Section 430 (1) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), encompasses the application filed on behalf of appellant.

[2] It has been averred in the above-mentioned application that the appellant has challenged the impugned judgment of the **Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bardoli, in Criminal Case No.761/2024**, dated 28/04/2026, for the offence punishable u/s.138 of the **Negotiable Instruments Act**, convicting him for **rigorous imprisonment for two years** and directed him to pay compensation of Rs.1,61,134/- and in default, has awarded rigorous imprisonment of six months. Therefore, he has prayed to suspend the sentence passed by the **Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bardoli**, and also to release him on bail.

[3] Learned Advocate for the appellant has submitted that the appellant has sufficient grounds to challenge the conviction and order of sentence and, therefore, he has preferred the present appeal against the said order and has further submitted that **Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bardoli** has suspended the sentence till the appeal period and released him on bail, therefore, he has requested the Court to grant him

bail till the final disposal of the present appeal and also to suspend the sentence passed against him.

[4] I have heard the Learned Advocate and also perused the documents filed alongwith the bail application and it transpires that the appellant has been released on bail and execution of sentence is stayed till the appeal period. **But, appellant did not file application for stay of sentence during pendency of appeal before this court, therefore, he has been taken into judicial custody on 15/06/2026.**

[5] Considering the fact that the accused has been convicted and sentenced by the **Ld. Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bardoli** for the offence committed **u/s.138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act**. Further, it is worthwhile to mention here that the applicant-accused remained on bail during trial and nothing adverse has been brought to the notice of this Court as to if the applicant-accused may violate the order of suspension of sentence. Hence, by taking recourse to provisions of section 430 (1) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), following order ensues:

### **ORDER**

(1) The present Application Exh.4 stands allowed subject to appellant/ originally accused submitting surety bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of like amount subject to following conditions:

(A) The appellant(s)/ originally accused shall remain present before this Court regularly during pendency of present appeal.

(B) The appellant(s)/ originally accused shall submit his/her/their mobile phone number and residence address along with authenticated documentary proof.

(C) The appellant-accused is directed to deposit **20% amount of the compensation** in the impugned judgment within 60 days of passing of this order before the Nazir of this court.

(D) Upon submission of surety bonds as aforesaid a **Yadi be sent to concerned Jail Authorities** to release the appellant-originally accused if he is not required in any other case.

Yadi to be sent to the concerned learned Trial Court for necessary information and compliance of this order.

Signed and pronounced in the open Court today on this **17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026** at Bardoli.

Date : 17/06/2026  
Place: Bardoli.

**(Preet Kamal Tirath Ram)**  
3<sup>rd</sup> Additional District Judge,  
Surat at Bardoli.  
UID. No.GJ 01594