

39 CC NI ACT / 13964/2026 PROGFIN PRIVATE LIMITED Vs. Md Sabir
Galeria Proprietor Asansol Refrigerator Mart

07.08.2026

Present: Sh. Dhruv Pratap Singh, counsel for complainant through VC.

Counsel for complainant submits that in view of judgment A.C. Narayanan Versus State of Maharashtra & Anr. Criminal Appeal No. 73 of 2007, there is no requirement of PSE.

Complaint perused. It is supported by affidavit. Arguments on summoning heard.

Perusal of the file reveals that cheque got presented for encashment and got returned dishonored. Accused has failed to make the payment within the statutory period despite a legal notice of demand. The complaint is within the territorial jurisdiction of this court and within the period of limitation. Inquiry under Section 225 BNSS conducted by scrutinizing documents.

Cognizance taken.

Issue summons to accused no. 1 only through all modes on filing of PF, returnable for NDOH. PF to be filed within 07 days from today.

List for appearance of accused on **04.12.2026**.

(NITIN SHAH)
JMFC (NI ACT)-05, (SOUTH),
SAKET COURTS, NEW DELHI/07.08.2026