

**In the Court of Sanjiv Joshi,
Additional District and Sessions Judge-II, Kapurthala.
UID No.PB-0105.**

-:~::~~::~:-

CNR No. PBKP010000282025



Case No. BA/25/2025

Presented on : 03-01-2025

Registered on : 04-01-2025

Decided on : 10-01-2025

Manpreet Singh aged 29 years approx son of Inderjit Singh r/o village
Ucha P.O. Saiflabad, Tehsil and District Kapurthala.

..... Applicant/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

..... Respondent.

FIR No.19 of 11.02.2020
U/s 324, 326, 307, 148, 149 IPC,
Police Station –Sadar Kapurthala.

.....

Application for bail under Section 482 of BNSS

Present: Sh.Rajat Dhillon, Advocate for the applicant/accused.
Sh.Manoj Kumar, Additional PP for the State.

ORDER

1. Record perused. Arguments heard on the present bail application of above referred applicant/ accused **Manpreet Singh** in the aforesaid FIR.

2. Ld. counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that accused

is ready to join the proceedings before the Court and to face the trial. He further submitted that accused could not appear before the Court on 30.11.2022 and police was trying to implicate him in some other case due to which warrants was issued against him and he was declared as proclaimed person later on. He prayed that applicant/accused be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Contesting the arguments, the learned Addl. P.P for the State submitted that since the applicant/accused remained proclaimed person in this case, due to which he is not entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, a prayer is made for dismissal of the bail application.

4. After hearing the rival contentions of the applicant/accused as well as ld. APP for the State and perusing the file, I am of the considered opinion that applicant/ accused Manpreet Singh is not entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail as he was declared proclaimed person on 03.01.2025 and present bail application was filed after passing of said order on 04.01.2025. Moreover, perusal of the file reveals that accused Manpreet Singh absented from the proceedings before this Court on 30.11.2022, due to which this Court cancelled the bail order, bail bonds and surety bonds of accused Manpreet Singh. Thereafter, the present case remained pending for the service of the accused Manpreet Singh through warrants of arrest and ultimately, proclamation process was issued and he was declared proclaimed person on 03.01.2025. Therefore, keeping in view the above mentioned facts, I am of the considered opinion that the accused is not entitled for the benefit of anticipatory bail

when he has breached the bail order of this Court and remained absent from the Court for about two years.

5. Consequently, the present anticipatory bail application of the applicants/ accused Manpreet Singh is, hereby, **dismissed** and disposed off. However, any observation made herein shall not be construed to be an expression on merits of the case. Record of this bail application be annexed with the main case file of present FIR.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 10.01.2025
naresh

(Sanjiv Joshi),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala.
UI Code – PB00105

Manpreet Singh Vs. State of Punjab. BA-25-2025.

Present: Sh.Rajat Dhillon, Advocate for the applicant/accused.
Sh.Manoj Kumar, Additional PP for the State.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order of even date, the present bail application of applicant/ accused stands **dismissed**, as detailed therein. Record of this bail application be annexed with the main case file of present FIR.

Pronounced in open Court.

Dated: 10.01.2025

naresh

(Sanjiv Joshi),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Kapurthala.
UI Code – PB00105