

26.05.2026

Present: Sh. Lal Singh, Ld. counsel for the petitioner
Sh. Vinod Bhardwaj, Ld DA for respondents no. 1 & 3
Ms. Sunita Verma, Ld. vice counsel for resp. no. 2

No reply is intended to be filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2. Despite an exceptional opportunity for today, no reply has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 2 to the applications under section 5 of the Limitation Act. The opportunity was given to the petitioner subject to deposit a cost of Rs. 5000/-, however no cost has been deposited by the petitioner. Again time prayed for filing reply. Perusal of case file shows that since 30.06.2025 four opportunities have already been granted to the respondent no. 2 but he failed to file his reply. No plausible reason has been shown by the respondent no. 2 for non-filing of reply today. Therefore, the prayer of the respondent no. 2 is declined and his right to file reply is struck off by order of the Court. Now to come up for consideration on 30.06.2026.

(Paras Doger)
District Judge, Mandi