

Received on	10-03-2026
Registered on	10-03-2026
Decided on	24-03-2026
Duration	Y. M. D.
	00 00 14

**Ex.**

**BEFORE THE COURT OF**  
**3RD ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,**  
**KHEDA AT, NADIAD.**

~~~~~  
**Criminal Misc. Application No. 286 of 2026**  
~~~~~

**APPLICANTS:~**

1. **Pravinsinh Shivasinh Rathod**  
Aged. 70 Years, Occupation: Retired,
2. **Urvashiben Pravinsinh Rathod**  
Aged. 36 Years, Occupation: Household,  
All Residing at: Radhvanaj, Darbarni Deli,  
Village: Radhvanaj, Tal: Matar, Dist: Kheda.  
(At present in judicial custody).

**Versus**

**OPPONENT:~**

**The State of Gujarat.**

For Applicant.	Ld. Advocate <b>Mr. M. K. Shah.</b>
For State.	Ld. P.P. <b>Mr. D. R. Barot.</b>
For Complainant.	Ld. Advocate <b>Mr. K. B. Soni.</b>

**Bail Application under Section 483 of Bharatiya**  
**Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023**

**JUDGMENT**

1. This is a Regular Bail Application under *Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023* of the above said Applicant/Accused who is in custody in the offence under *Sections 108 & 85 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered in Matar Police Station, Crime Register No. 11204040260100 of 2026.*
2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant/Accused has argued that his clients are innocent and falsely implicated in the present case. It is further argued that deceased has got married before 14 Years ago, hence, there is no possibility of any kind of torture by her husband or in-laws to commit suicide. It is further submitted that the present Applicants are the father-in-law and sister-in-law of the deceased and the deceased had never filed any complaint against the present Applicants before any concerned institutions. It is further submitted that no agony was being to the deceased by the present Applicants. It is further submitted that even husband of the deceased was not involved in any awful activities. It is further submitted that there is no discovery and/or recovery to be carried out in this case. It is further submitted that Applicants/Accused are the permanent resident of the Address shown in the heading of the Bail Application. That Applicant/Accused has given assurance that they shall abide by all the conditions, if granted Bail. It is further argued that Bail is the Rule and Jail is Exception. Accused are to be presumed innocent until

found guilty in a criminal Trial. In the end, he has prayed to grant Regular Bail to the present Applicant/Accused.

3. Ld. Public Prosecutor Mr. D. R. Barot has argued that there is a strong *prima-facie* case against the Applicant/Accused. It is further submitted that the present Applicants/Accused used to give agony and torture to deceased in terms of cooking as well as other household activities, on account of the same, the deceased had committed suicide with her adolescent child by drowning in canal, therefore, it cannot be said that Applicants/Accused is innocent. It is further submitted that there is a likelihood of the Applicants/Accused not cooperating in Police Investigation, if granted Regular Bail. It is also submitted that if Applicants/Accused are granted Regular Bail, they are likely to flee and not cooperated in Police Investigation. It is further submitted that if the present Applicant/Accused are granted Regular Bail, there is likelihood of browbeating the prime witnesses. Eventually prayed to reject the present Bail Application.

Ld. Advocate for the complainant has filed written objection at Exh. 09 and strenuously objected the present Bail Application and primarily reiterating the arguments of Ld. P.P.. Finally, it is prayed to reject the present Bail Application.

4. I have heard Ld Advocate for the Applicant/Accused, Ld. Advocate for the complainant as well as Ld. Public

Prosecutor. I have also gone through the Bail Application, Police Papers and Police Affidavit.

Perusing the FIR as well as Police Papers, it is not in dispute that the deceased has committed suicide by drowning in the canal. That reason behind to commit this act by the victim, is still not disclosed; mainly as the Investigation is in progress. That the allegations leveled against the present Applicants/Accused are serious one. Moreover, this Court is of the view that no sound mind person cannot take this type of step, without any reason, whose consequence is of end the life. That the Investigation is still not concluded. That there is on the card of flee from justice, if present Applicants/Accused are granted Bail. That there is a on the horizon to not cooperate the Police Investigation, if granted Bail. That if the present Applicants/Accused are granted Bail, there is likelihood of browbeating the eye witnesses. Hence, this Court deems it fit that the present Bail Application is being devoid of any merit, thus, this is not a fit case, in which, Applicant/Accused can be granted Regular Bail, therefore, the present Bail Application fails and following Order is passed.

### **ORDER**

1. The Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 286 of 2026 filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is hereby **REJECTED**.

Pronounced in the open Court today i.e. on **24th Day** of  
**March' 2026.**

Date : 24-03-2026

Place :- Nadiad.

**(Prakash P. Purohit)**  
3rd Addl. District & Sessions Judge,  
Kheda at, Nadiad.  
**GJ00685**

*Adesh*