

ANITA SHARMA Vs. SATENDERA KUMAR @ FAUJI AND  
ANR.

**26.07.2025**

Present: Ld. Counsel for DH.

I have carefully considered the application of DH under Order 21 Rule 30 seeking arrest warrants against both the JDs. In the same application the second prayer is to issue attachment order of immovable property bearing property no. Khata no. 57, Khasra No. 305 measuring 0.1029 Hectares situated at Gram Sadarpur, Pargana Dasana, Tehsil & Distt Ghaziabad (U.P.).

Perusal of the record shows that a direction was passed by this court to the JDs to file an affidavit in respect of their assets under Order 21 Rule 41 CPC, however, this court has failed to find any such affidavit filed by the JDs.

This court is of the opinion that before considering the extreme step of arrest of JDs or even order of attachment of his property, one more opportunity ought to be granted to him to file the above affidavit of his assets in terms of Order 21 Rule 41 CPC, particularly when DH has not provided any proof of the above property situated in Ghaziabad is owned by JD.

Accordingly, JDs to file an affidavit in respect of their assets under Order 21 Rule 41 CPC on or before NDOH. Further, both the JDs are also directed to appear in person on NDOH.

The matter be listed for **29.08.2025**.

(CHANDER MOHAN)  
District Judge-14, LAC,  
Central, THC, Delhi-26.07.2025