

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 926 of 2026

**Pappu Kumar Vs State of Bihar
Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026**

Order dated: 06.08.2026

ORDER

06.08.2026

The present Anticipatory Bail Application has been preferred by Petitioner namely **Pappu Kumar** s/o Natho Yadav who is apprehension of arrest in connection with Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026 under Section 69 of BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. C.J.M., Munger. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner is present on calling and Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State is also present.

As per the Bail Application, it is submitted that the Petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the Petitioner is employee of Indian Army and the informant is sister-in-law of cousin brother of Petitioner and the Informant demanded Rs. 50,000/- to the Petitioner but he did not give her, hence the Informant filed this false case against the Petitioner. The cousin brother of Petitioner namely Sanjay Kumar has given Rs. 50,000/- to the Informant which was lend from Informant and the Informant put her signature on an agreement before Advocate Rajeev Kumar which was enclosed with bail application. Thereafter, the Informant has demanded two lakhs rupees from Petitioner otherwise she will filed a case against against him which resulted into the Informant filed the present false case against the Petitioner. He further submits that the Informant has already married woman and she have three child and the all alleged allegation is false and concocted story against the Petitioner. In para-2 of the Application, it is certified that the Petitioner has not previously filed any Bail Application before this Court or before Hon'ble High Court of Patna. The Petitioner have no criminal antecedent. The Petitioner is ready to furnish the bail bond and he is also ready to comply with

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 926 of 2026

Pappu Kumar Vs State of Bihar

Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026

Order dated: 06.08.2026

direction of this Court. Hence, it is prayed to enlarge the Petitioner on Anticipatory Bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State as well as Informant Counsel vehemently opposed the present Bail Application and submitted that the allegations are serious in nature and involve in sexual exploitation, criminal intimidation, extortion and misuse of intimate of photographs and videos. Hence, he does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail.

As per the of the written application, the case of the prosecution is that the Informant-cum-victim namely Sudha Devi alleged the Petitioner has been made sexual relationship with victim about three years on the promise of marriage. She further alleged that on 06.06.2026, Sanjay Kumar put her signature on blank paper and gave Rs. 50,000/- out of 2,00,000/- (Two Lakh Rupees) which was given by Informant.

Heard both sides and perused the records as well as rullings submitted by Ld. Defense Counsel.

From the perusal of the case record, it is clear that the Petitioner is named in FIR along with others and there is direct allegation against the Petitioner to make physical relationship with Informant on the promise of marriage. All prosecution witnesses in paras-2, 8 & 9 of the case diary have supported the case of prosecution. Para-14 of the case diary contained statement of victim under Section 180 of BNSS in which she has fully supported the case of prosecution. Para-49 of the case diary contained an affidavit which is related to transaction of money between both sides and the informant has alleged that this affidavit made put her signature on blank paper which is mentioned in her written application.

**IN THE COURT OF
DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I
MUNGER, BIHAR**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 926 of 2026

Pappu Kumar Vs State of Bihar

Basudeopur P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026

Order dated: 06.08.2026

Therefore, considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as discussed above, nature of the allegation, present status of this case as well as statement of victim under Section 180 of BNSS, I am of the view that there is direct allegation against the Petitioner and the allegation is serious in nature and this case is still under investigation. Hence, the Petitioner does not deserve the privilege of Anticipatory Bail.

Accordingly, the prayer of bail of the Petitioner namely **Pappu Kumar is hereby Rejected.**

Dictated,

Sd/-

District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I, Munger

Dated: 06.08.2026

Date of Order/Judgement	06.08.2026
Uploading Date	11.08.2026
Uploaded By	Neeraj Kumar Pandey (Steno)