

**IN THE COURT OF MONIKA GOYAL, ADDL. DISTRICT
JUDGE SAS NAGAR MOHALI**

1. CNR No.PBSA01003618-2017
LAND REF.No.110 of 25.5.17
CIS NO. 96-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Mohinder Singh s/o Lekha Singh s/o Sawan Singh age 64 years, resident of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

2. CNR No.PBSA010036152017
LAND REF.No.103 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 99-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Sarup Singh @ Sampuran Singh S/o Late Shri Jetha Singh age 63 years.
2. Labh Singh s/o Late Shri Jetha Singh
both resident of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

3. CNR No.PBSA01003761-2017
 LAND REF. No.121 of 30.05.2017
 CIS NO. 118-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Avtar Singh s/o Late Sh. Gurbax Singh age 47 years,
 (Being L.R of Gurbax Singh son of Kishan Singh)
2. Rattan Singh s/o Kishan Singh,
3. Ajmer Singh s/o Kishan Singh
4. Harjinder Singh s/o Rattan Singh,
5. Surjit Singh s/o Rattan Singh,
6. Balwinder Singh s/o Ajmer Singh,
7. Satwinder Singh s/o Ajmer Singh,
 All Resident of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar,
 Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector
 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

4. CNR No.PBSA01004360-2017
 LAND REF. No.145 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 144-2017
 DATE OF DECISION:1.8.2019

Mohinder Singh Son of Ram Partap age 72 years, Resident of Village
 Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali

... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar,
 Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector
 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

5. CNR No.PBSA01004369-2017
 LAND REF. No.140 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 135-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Nasib Singh son of Ganga Singh aged 77 years
 2. Joginder Singh s/o Ganga Singh
- Both residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

6. CNR No.PBSA01004370-2017
 LAND REF. No.141 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 134-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Dev Singh son of Late Shri Pritam Singh, aged 55 years,
2. Gurcharan Singh s/o Late Shri Pritam Singh
3. Debo Singh s/o Late Shri Pritam Singh
4. Krishna D/o Late Shri Pritam Singh
5. Palo D/o Late Shri Pritam Singh

All residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

7. CNR No.PBSA01004365-2017
 LAND REF. No.134 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 139-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurmail Singh Son of Ram Singh (2/3 share) aged 65 years,
2. Karnail Singh Son of Bakhtawar Singh (1/6 share)

both residents of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

8. CNR No.PBSA01003611-2017
LAND REF. No.105 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 103-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurdeep Singh S/o Mohinder Singh, age 48 years,
2. Surjit Singh S/o Mohinder Singh,
For self and LRs of Kanwar Singh (since deceased) S/o Harnam Singh
Both residents of village Soonk, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

9. CNR No.PBSA01003612-2017
LAND REF. No.106 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 102-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Jasvir Singh son of Late Sampuran Singh age 46 years,
 For Self and LR of Smt. Joginder Kaur (since deceased) W/o Late Shri
 Sampuran Singh, Resident of village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar
 Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar,
 Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector
 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

10. CNR No.PBSA01003617-2017
 LAND REF. No.109 of 25.05.2017
 CIS NO. 97-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Dharam Singh s/o Shiv Ram aged 67 years
2. Surinder singh s/o Late Bhajan Singh,
3. Gurdeep Singh @ Kuldeep Singh S/o Late Bhajan Singh,
4. Surjit Kaur Wd/o Bhajan Singh
 L.R. of Jarnail Singh (since deceased) S/o Late Bhajan Singh
5. Amrik Singh s/o Late Bhajan Singh
6. Darshan Singh s/o Late Bhajan Singh
 Applicant No. 2 to 6 for self LRs of Late Bhajan Singh
 -All Residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar,
 Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector
 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

11.

CNR No.PBSA01003609-2017
 LAND REF. No.103 of 25.05.2017
 CIS NO. 105-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Sarup Singh @ Sampuran Singh s/o Late Sh. Jetha Singh age 63 years,
2. Labh Singh s/o Late Shri Jetha Singh
 Both residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

12.

CNR No.PBSA01004366-2017
 LAND REF. No.136 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 138-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Ajit Singh son of Badan Singh age 70 years
2. Gurdial Singh s/o Badan Singh
3. Gurcharan Singh @ Charan Singh S/o Badan Singh,
 All Residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

13.

CNR No.PBSA01004362-2017
 LAND REF. No.147 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 142-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurpal Singh son of Teja Singh, aged 73 Years,
 2. Gurnam Singh s/o Teja Singh,
- Both residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

14. CNR No.PBSA01004367-2017
LAND REF. No.138 of 06.07.2017
CIS NO. 137-2017
DATE OF DECISION:1.8.2019

1. Dial Kaur Wd/o Kehar Singh aged 67 years
 2. Shamsheer Singh s/o Kehar Singh
 3. Gurnam Singh s/o Kehar Singh
 4. Gurdeep singh s/o Kehar Singh
- All residents of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

15. CNR No.PBSA01004371-2017
LAND REF. No.142 of 6.07.2017
CIS NO. 133-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Dharam Singh son of Baldev Singh age 38 years
(LRs of Baldev Singh (since deceased) s/o Niranjana Singh)
2. Surjit Singh son of Late Sh. Santokh Singh
3. Avtar Singh son of Late Sh. Santokh Singh
4. Prem Singh s/o Niranjana Singh

5. Balwinder Singh s/o Late Shri Ajmer Singh
 6. Davinder Singh s/o Late Shri Ajmer Singh
 7. Bhupinder Kaur W/o Late Shri Ajmer Singh
- Applicant No. 5 to 7 are LR's of Late Shri Ajmer Singh
8. Gulzar Singh S/o Niranjana Singh
 9. Sucha Singh S/o Niranjana Singh
- All residents of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

16. CNR No.PBSA01004364-2017
LAND REF. No.135 of 06.07.2017
CIS NO. 140-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Ajmer Kaur d/o Pritam Singh age 67 years,
 2. Mehar Kaur d/o Pritam Singh,
- Both residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

17. CNR No.PBSA01004361-2017
LAND REF. No.146 of 06.07.2017
CIS NO. 143-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurdev Singh s/o Narata Singh aged 76 years

2. Bant Singh s/o Narata Singh
3. Ajmer Singh s/o Narata Singh
4. Manjinder Singh s/o Late Shri Karam Singh
5. Jasbir Singh s/o Late Shri Karam Singh
6. Jagtar Singh s/o Late Shri Karam Singh

Applicant No. 4 to 6 is LR's of Karam Singh (Since deceased) and Charan Singh (since deceased) s/o Narata Singh.

All residents of Village Soonk, District SAS Nagar Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

18. CNR No.PBSA01004368-2017
LAND REF. No.139 of 06.07.2017
CIS NO. 136-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurmeet Singh s/o Surta age 60 years
 2. Sucha Singh s/o Surta
 3. Ujagar Singh @ Jagtar Singh s/o Surta
 4. Nirmal Singh s/o Surta
 5. Surjit Singh s/o Surta
 6. Kuldeep Singh @ Gurdeep Singh s/o Surta
 7. Tej Kaur W/o Late Sampuran Singh
 8. Harvinder Singh s/o Late Sampuran Singh
(Applicants No. 7 & 8 LR's of Sampuran Singh (since deceased) S/o Surta Singh)
 9. Malkiat Kaur W/o Late Charan Singh
 10. Surinder Singh s/o Charan Singh
 11. Kulwinder Singh s/o Charan Singh
 12. Rajwinder Kaur w/o Late Ravinder Singh s/o Charan Singh
 13. Malkiat Kaur w/o Late Charan Singh
(Applicants No. 9 to 13 LR's of Charan Singh (since deceased) S/o Surta Singh) (Applicants No. 1 to 13 are LR's of Atma Singh s/o Amar Singh)
 14. Surjan Singh s/o Amar Singh
- All residents of village Soonk, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

19. CNR No.PBSA01003610-2017
LAND REF. No.104 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 104-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Labh Kaur D/o Late Shri Banta Singh age 63 years,
 2. Gurdial Kaur D/o Late Shri Banta Singh
 3. Gurmail Kaur D/o Late Shri Banta Singh
 4. Santokh Singh S/o Prem Singh
 5. Sukhwinder Kaur W/o Santokh Singh
- All Residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

20. CNR No.PBSA01004359-2017
LAND REF. No.143 of 06.07.2017
CIS NO. 131-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Brig. Sarabjit Singh S/o Rulda Singh aged 62 years
Resident of H. No. 417/1, Sector 44-A, Chandigarh.
 2. Swaran Singh S/o Late Shri Sardara Singh S/o Telu Singh
 3. Labh Singh S/o Late Shri Sardara Singh S/o Telu Singh
 4. Surmukh Singh S/o Late Shri Sardara Singh S/o Telu Singh
 5. Sher Singh S/o Late Shri Sardara Singh S/o Telu Singh
 6. Mohan Singh S/o Late Shri Sardara Singh S/o Telu Singh
LRs of Sardara Singh (since deceased) S/o Telu Singh
- All Residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

21. CNR No.PBSA01004357-2017
 LAND REF. No.144 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 132-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Gurmail Singh S/o Late Shri Ram Singh, aged 65 years,
2. Avtar Singh S/o Late Shri Ram Singh
3. Dharampal S/o Late Shri Ram Singh
 LR's of Ram Singh (since deceased) S/o Khushi Ram (1/4th share)
4. Gurbax Singh S/o Khushi Ram (1/4th Share)
5. Mohinder Singh S/o Khushi Ram (1/4th Share)
6. Surmukh Singh S/o Late Shri Gurdial Singh (1/25th Share)
 All Resident of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

22. CNR No.PBSA01004403-2017
 LAND REF. No.148 of 07.07.2017
 CIS NO. 145-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Sher Kaur D/o Basanti, age 80 years,
2. Amrik Singh S/o Gian Kaur (Since deceased) S/o Basanti
 Both Residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

23.

CNR No.PBSA01004363-2017
 LAND REF. No.137 of 06.07.2017
 CIS NO. 141-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

1. Balkar Singh, Jagjit Singh Ss/o Late Sh. Sohan Singh, aged 26 years
 Ajaib Kaur wd/o Late Sh. Sohan Singh
 (Being LR's of Sohan Singh Son of Rachan Singh)
2. Gurmeet Singh S/o Mewa Singh
3. Surmukh Singh S/o Mewa Singh
4. Jarnail Singh S/o Mewa Singh
5. Avtar Singh S/o Mewa Singh
 Applicants No. 2 to 5 LR's of Mewa Singh (Since deceased) Son of Shri Rachan Singh and LR's of Chajja Singh (since deceased) s/o Rachan Singh) being ½ share
6. Balkar Singh s/o Sohan Singh
7. Jagjit Singh s/o Sohan Singh
 Applicant No. 6 & 7 is LR's of Chajja Singh (since deceased) equal share having ½ share in the property of Chajja Singh

All Resident of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

... Respondents

...

24.

CNR No.PBSA01003608-2017
 LAND REF. No.102 of 25.05.2017
 CIS NO. 106-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Sarup Singh @ Sampuran Singh S/o Late Shri Jetha Singh, age 62

years, resident of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

25. CNR No.PBSA01003613-2017
LAND REF. No.101 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 101-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Piara Singh S/o Jiwa Singh S/o Basant Singh age 64 years for self and LR of Karnail Singh (since deceased) S/o Jiwa Singh, Residents of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

26. CNR No.PBSA01004357-2017
LAND REF. No.100 of 25.05.2017
CIS NO. 98-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Sher Singh son of Bakhtawar Singh, age 63 years Resident of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimant

Versus

1. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

...

27. CNR No.PBSA01003614-2017
 LAND REF. No.107 of 25.05.2017
 CIS NO. 100-2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 1.8.2019

Jaswant Singh S/o Babu Singh age 49 years, resident of Village Soonk, Tehsil District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

..... Claimants

Versus

3. Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
4. Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division, SCO 43/2, Sector 17-E, U.T., Chandigarh.

...Respondents

.....

Application under Section 28-A(3) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

--- --

Present: Sh. Sandeep Dhiman Adv for the claimants
 Sh. Manjit Singh Govt. Pleader along with Sh. Manmohan Singh Sr. Assistant, on behalf of respondents.

AWARD

By way of this single award, I shall dispose of above referred 27 Land References titled as Mohinder Singh Vs SDM bearing No. 110 of 25.05.2017, Sarup Singh Vs. SDM bearing No. 103 of

25.05.2017, Avtar Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.121 of 30.05.2017, Mohinder Singh Vs. SDM., bearing 145 of 06.07.2017, Nasib Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.140 of 06.07.2017, Dev Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.141 of 06.07.2017, Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.134 of 06.07.2017, Gurdeep Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.105 of 25.05.2017, Jasvir Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No. 106 of 25.05.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.109 of 25.05.2017, Sarup Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.103 of 25.05.2017, Ajit Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.136 of 06.07.2017, Gural Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.147 of 06.07.2017, Dial Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.138 of 06.07.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.142 of 06.07.2017, Ajmer Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.135 of 06.07.2017, Gurdev Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.146 of 06.07.2017, Gurmeet Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.139 of 06.07.2017, Labh Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.104 of 25.05.2017, Brig. Sarabjit Vs. SDM., bearing No.143 of 06.07.2017, Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.144 of 06.07.2017, Sher Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.148 of 07.07.2017, Balkar Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.137 of 06.07.2017, Sarup Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.102 of 25.05.2017, Piara Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.101 of 25.05.2017, Sher Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.100 of 25.05.2017, Jaswant Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.107 of 25.05.2017. As all the above referred land references have been arisen out of the same notification, Award and from a common acquisition of the land, hence they were consolidated with the present Land Reference titled as Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab bearing No.110 dated 25.05.2017.

2. When the above referred claimants moved applications under Section 28-A(3) of Land Acquisition Act, 1894 before Land Acquisition Collector Urban Estates Punjab SAS Nagar Mohali, who in turn vide reference letters, referred all the above references to this court by averring that land as mentioned in statement No.19 situated in the revenue estate of village Soonk was acquired vide award No.2 of 15.7.2005 for construction of Reservoir Area of Jainty Dam in Village Soonk, Tehsil Kharar District Mohali. The above named claimants had submitted applications under Section 28-A, which were decided vide different orders. Now the applicants have again submitted applications under Section 28-A(3) of the Act. By making present references, it was prayed that the claim of the applicants may be disposed off.

3. When notices were issued, applicants/claimants appeared. In the application under Section 28(A)3 of the Act moved by Mohinder Singh bearing CIS No.96-2017, it was pleaded that the State of Punjab Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch, Chandigarh vide notification dated 8.10.2002 under Section 4 of the Act to acquire 136.4 acres of land in village Soonk, for a public purpose namely for construction of Reservoir Area of Jainty Dam in Village Soonk and declaration under Section 6 of the Act was made on 29.10.2002 published in the Government Gazettee on 8.11.2002 and ultimately SDM-cum- Land Acquisition Collector, Kharar passed an Award No.2 dated 15.7.2005 awarding compensation at the rate of Rs.2.70 lacs per acre for Chahi, Rs.1.50 lacs for Bagh Barani, Rs.50,000/- for Banjar Kadeen and Banjar

and Rs.1.50 lacs for Gair mumkin Bara and gair mumkin Pahar at the rate of Rs.50,000/- per acre and claimant/applicant is owner of 1 Kanal-1 Marla bearing Khasra Nos. 62//2/5(0-11), 12/5(0-10), which was acquired under the above said award. It is further pleaded that the claimant did not file an application under Section 18 of the Act for enhancement of compensation and the other claimants namely Gurmail Singh etc., filed reference under Section 18 of the Act for enhancement of compensation and the learned Addl. District Judge, SAS Nagar Mohali vide its Award dated 5.1.2013 enhanced the compensation to Rs.5,74,642/- per acre at flat rate along with all statutory benefits as per Section 23(1A), 23(2) and 28 of the Act and also given interest to be calculated from the date of possession taken on 29.10.2002 along with counsel fee of Rs.1000/- in each case. It is further pleaded that the applicant moved an application under Section 28-A of the Act, for redetermination of the amount of compensation on the basis of Award of learned Addl. District Judge SAS Nagar Mohali, which was disposed off by respondent No.1, but the applicant/claimant is not satisfied with the award of the SDM cum LAC Kharar, Distt. Mohali, as the respondent has not awarded interest upto date though the claimant is entitled for the same till the date of payment made to him. It is further pleaded that value of the land is not less than one Crore per acre and the claimant is entitled for the same. The present application is being filed within period of limitation from the date of order dated 22.5.2014 passed by SDM cum LAC Kharar Distt. Mohali. In all the claim petitions referred to above, the claimants had preferred the

petition under Section 28-A of the Act claiming enhanced amount of compensation on the basis of the Award dated 5.1.2013 passed in the land Reference No.154 of 11.11.2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM cum LAC Kharar, by the Court of Mrs. Jaswinder Sheemar, the then learned Addl. District Judge, Mohali, vide which the amount of compensation was assessed at Rs.5,74,642/- per acre along with all the statutory benefits as envisaged in Sections 23(1-A), 23(2) and 28 of the Act and the claimants were also held entitled to interest to be calculated from the date of possession taken on 29.10.2002. Vide the different orders passed by the Land Acquisition Collector-cum- SDM Kharar, District SAS Nagar Mohali, the applications moved by the petitioners/claimants under Section 28-A of the Act were decided, copies of which have been proved as Ex.R5 to Ex.R31, vide which petitioners/claimants were granted enhanced compensation of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre along with all the statutory benefits as envisaged in Sections 23(1-A), 23(2) and 28 of the Act and the claimants were also held entitled to interest to be calculated from the date of possession taken on 29.10.2002, but the petitioners/claimants being not satisfied with the said orders, have preferred the present petitions as referred to above, under Section 28A(3) of the Act.

Further perusal of the file bearing LAC No.141 of 2017 titled as Balkar Singh and others Vs,. State of Punjab, shows that this land reference has also been preferred by one Gurmeet Singh son of Mewa Singh, but in Statement No.19 of the Act, name of Gurmeet Singh does not figure, whereas the name of Gurmukh Singh son of Mewa Singh

has been reflected in the said statement, who has not preferred the present land reference.

The Land Reference bearing No.131-2017 titled as Brig. Sarabjit Singh and others Vs. SDM cum LAC and others has also been preferred by Mohan Singh son of late Shri Sardara Singh, but perusal of the order dated 15.7.2005 passed by SDM cum LAC Kharar under the provisions of Section 28-A of the Act, shows that the petition under Section 28-A of the Act was not preferred by said Mohan Singh son of Sardara Singh, though his name has been shown in the Statement under Section 19 of the Act.

4. Respondent No.1 filed reply by admitting the fact that the land was acquired by the State of Punjab vide award No.2 dated 15.7.2005 and awarded compensation of Rs.2,70,000/- per acre for Chahi, Rs.1,50,000/- per acre for Barani, Bagh Barani, Rs.50,000/- per acre for Banjar Kadim, Banjar Jadid, Rs.1,50,000/- per acre for Gair mumkin Bara and Rs.50,000/- per acre for Gair mumkin Pahar and others for public purpose for the construction of reservoir area of Jainty Dam in Village Soonk, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali. It is further submitted that on the basis of the judgment dated 5.1.2013 passed by the Court of learned Addl. District Judge Mohali in land reference No.154 of 2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM cum LAC Kharar, the petitioner had filed an application under Section 28-A of the Act for redetermination of compensation and the SDM cum LAC Kharar vide his order dated 22.5.2014 passed under Section 28-A of the Act, had awarded the compensation to the tune of

Rs.5,74,642/- per acre at flat rate along with statutory benefits on the basis of the judgment of Land Reference No.154/2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. State of Punjab. The said award is perfectly legal and adequate and no case is made out for any further enhancement as per law and the payment of enhanced compensation under Section 28-A of the Act was paid to the claimant in the month of December, 2017. It has been specifically denied that the value of the land is not less than Rs.1 Crore per acre and prayed for dismissal of the petition.

Respondent No.2 filed a separate written reply by taking the preliminary objection that the application is not maintainable in the present form, while on merits, it has been admitted that the land was acquired by the State of Punjab for construction of Jainti Dam to control the flood in rainy season and only for irrigation purposes for the farmers in the public interest. It was not constructed for any commercial purpose. The award No.2 dated 15.7.2005 was passed and awarded the compensation at the rate of Rs.2,70,000/- per acre for Chahi, Rs.1,50,000/- per acre for Barani, Bagh Barani, Rs.50,000/- per acre for Banjar Kadim, Banjar Jadid, Rs.1,50,000/- per acre for Gair mumkin Bara and Rs.50,000/- per acre for Gair mumkin Pahar in village Soonk, Tehsil Kharar, Distt. Mohali for the construction of Reservoir area of Jainti Dam. The answering respondent has made the enhanced payment to the tune of Rs.26,29,30,263/- to SDM cum LAC Kharar through RTGS in December, 2017 as per Court order. The LAC Kharar distributed the amount as per the list attached. It is further submitted that the land of the petitioner was

acquired vide Award No.2 dated 15.7.2005, however on the basis of judgment dated 5.1.2013 passed by the Court of ADJ Mohali, in Land Reference No.154 of 2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM cum LAC Kharar, the petitioner has filed an application under Section 28-A of the Act for redetermination of compensation. The SDM cum LAC Kharar vide order dated 22.5.2014 passed under Section 28-A of the Act, had awarded the compensation to the tune of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre as flat rate along with statutory benefits on the basis of the said judgment dated 5.1.2013 passed in Land Reference No.154/2009. It is further submitted that respondent No.1 has filed RFA titled as SDM cum LAC Kharar Vs. Gurmail Singh bearing RFA No.889 of 2017 against the order dated 5.1.2013 of Addl. District Judge Mohali before the Hon'ble High Court, which is admitted and still pending. A prayer has been made for dismissal of the petition.

5. No rejoinder was filed. From the pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed :-

- 1) Whether the claimant is entitled for the enhancement of compensation under the provisions of Section 28(A)(3) of the Land Acquisition Act? OPA
- 2) Whether this application/reference is not maintainable? OPR
- 3) Relief.

6. In order to prove these issues, claimants have examined Harwinder Singh Patwari as PW1, Manmohan Singh Sr. Assistant as PW2, Manjinder Singh as PW3, Gurnam Singh as PW4, Gurmeet Singh as PW5, and thereafter learned Counsel for claimants tendered into evidence copies of documents as Ex.P4 to Ex.P12 and

closed the evidence of claimants.

7. In defence, respondents had examined Jaspal Singh as DW1. Sh. Manmohan Singh Sr. Assistant office of SDM cum LAC Kharar has tendered into evidence copies of documents as Ex.R1 to Ex.R31 and thereafter learned Govt. Pleader had closed evidence on behalf of respondents.

8. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the evidence and documents adduced on record. The issue-wise findings are as under:-

ISSUES NO.1

9. Onus to prove this issue was upon the applicants/claimants. In order to prove this issue, claimants examined Harwinder Singh Patwari as PW1, who had brought the Aksh-Latha of Village Soonk and copy of which has been proved as Ex.P1. He further deposed that the acquired land is shown in yellow colour and Mustil 105, 106, 112 is shown as Mark A, Mark B and Mark C. He further deposed that the distance between the acquired land and the above said Mustil are approximately 20-25 acres and boundary of village Soonk touches the boundary of Village Parch, Parol on one side and the boundary of Village Khuda Lahora, Sarangpur and Chandigarh touches the boundary of Village Soonk.

Claimants further examined Manmohan Singh as PW2, who had brought the record of payment demanded under Section 28-A of the Act and has proved on record the copy of letter No.406 dated

25.7.2017 as Ex.P2 issued by SDM cum LAC Kharar to Executive Engineer, Maili Construction Division Chandigarh, vide which demand of the enhanced amount of compensation was made. Alongwith letter Ex.P2, copies of the statements showing the share of each claimant have also been produced. He has also proved on record a copy of letter No.621 dated 13.12.2017 issued by SDM cum LAC Kharar to Naib Tehsildar Majra, for giving intimation to the land owners of the acquired land to receive the due amount of compensation. Further the claimants Manjinder Singh, Gurnam Singh and Gurmit Singh themselves stepped into the witness box as PW3, PW4 and PW5 respectively and they all reiterated the version as made in the claim petitions by way of their affidavits Ex.PW3/A, Ex.PW4/A and Ex.PW5/A respectively and further submitted that the claimants had not filed the application under Section 18 of the Act for enhancement of compensation, but the other claimants Gurmail Singh etc. had filed Land Reference No.154 of 2009 under Section 18 of the Act for enhancement of compensation and the learned Addl. District Judge Mohali vide its Award dated 5.1.2013 had enhanced the compensation to Rs.5,74,642 per acre at flat rate along with all statutory benefits and also given interest to be calculated from the date of possession taken on 29.10.2002. They further submitted that the claimants of the present claim petitions moved applications under section 28-A of the Act for redetermination of the amount of compensation on the basis of award dated 5.1.2013 passed by the learned ADJ Mohali, which was allowed by respondent No.1 on 22.5.2014, but the applicants/claimants are not

satisfied with the said Award of SDM cum LAC Kharar, as the respondents have not given interest upto date, though the claimants are entitled for the same till the date of payment made to them, but respondent No.1 calculated the amount with interest upto 31.8.2017, whereas respondent No.2 has deposited the enhanced amount of compensation with SDM cum LAC Kharar on 13.12.2017 and the amount of compensation was transferred in the account of the claimants on 27.12.2017 and interest at the rate of 15% per annum was not paid to them for the period from 31.8.2017 to 27.12.2017. They further submitted that the boundary of Village Parchh, Parol, Shingariwal touches the boundary of Village Soonk and other side Villages Khuda Lahora, Sarangpur, Dhanas of Chandigarh touches the boundary of village Soonk and village Soonk is having electricity, water facility, schools, banks etc., and PGI Chandigarh, Punjab University is having hardly at a distance of 2 KM from Village Soonk and the value of the land is not less than one Crore per acre and the claimants are entitled for the same.

In order to rebut the evidence of claimants, the respondents had examined Jaspal Singh SDO as DW1, who deposed with regard to the acquisition of the land vide Award No.2 dated 15.7.2005, for the construction of reservoir area of Jainti Dam. He further deposed that respondent No.2 has made the enhanced amount of compensation to the tune of Rs.26,29,30,263/- to SDM cum LAC Kharar through RTGS in December, 2017 as per Court order and the LAC Kharar distributed the said amount. He further deposed that on the basis of the judgment dated

5.1.2013 passed by the Court of learned ADJ Mohali in Land Reference No.154 of 2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM cum LAC Kharar, the petitioner had filed an application under Section 28-A for redetermination of compensation and the SDM cum LAC Kharar vide his order dated 22.5.2014 passed under Section 28-A of the Act, had awarded the compensation to the tune of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre on the basis of the judgment of land reference No.154/2009 titled as Gurmail Singh Vs. State of Punjab decided on 5.1.2013. He further deposed that respondent No.1 has filed RFA titled as SDM cum LAC Kharar Vs. Gurmail Singh bearing RFA No.889 of 2017 against the order dated 5.1.2013, before the Hon'ble High Court, which is admitted and still pending.

It is pertinent to mention here that though respondent No.1 stated to have filed an RFA against the Award dated 5.1.2013, but no such order has been produced on the record of the present case, vide which, the Award dated 5.1.2013 may have been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court.

10. Thus, as per the documents available on record, compensation has been enhanced only by the Reference Court, vide its order/Award dated 5.1.2013, where by compensation has been enhanced to the tune of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre. As already discussed above, no enhancement of the compensation has yet been made by the Hon'ble High Court and vide different orders passed by the SDM cum LAC Kharar in favour of different claimants under Section 28-A of the Act, enhanced the compensation to the tune of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre. Now claimants in

the present petition, even though filed application under Section 28-A(3) of the Act, but counsel for the claimants had submitted that his fresh evidence may be considered for enhancement of the compensation and in order to show that the claimants are entitled to the enhancement of compensation, he had placed on record various sale deeds, which are Ex.P4 to Ex.P9 to show the instances that the market value of the acquired land was much more, than has been granted by the Reference Court. He submitted that the Court is bound to take into account the better instances of higher rate available on record and he put reliance upon the provisions of Section 28-A(3) of the Act, which provides as under :-

“Any person who has not accepted the award under sub-section (2) may, by written application to the Collector, require that the matter be referred by the Collector for the determination of the Court and the provisions of Sections 18 to 28 shall, so far as, may be, apply to such reference, as they apply to a reference under Section 18.”

He submitted that provisions of Section 18 to 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 are applicable for redetermination of the amount of compensation under Section 28-A as well. He relied upon the judgments of Smt. Dyalo Vs. Land Acquisition Collector 1998(4) RCR(Civil)-228, The Singareni Collieries Company Limited Karimnagar Vs. The Spl. Dy. Collector L.A Unit S.C.C.L and Godavarikhani Karimnagar District and others, 2013(24) RCR

(Civil) 81, Brij Gopal and others Vs. State of Haryana 2006(3) RCR (Civil) 388, Narinder Nath Sharma and Anr. Vs. Union of India, 1990(2) Land L.R 251, Anjani Molu Dessai Vs. State of Goa and another, 2011(2) Apex Court Judgments (SC) 47, Darshana Devi Vs. State of Haryana and another, 2016(4) RCR(Civil) 810, but the the judgments relied upon by counsel for t he claimants are in different light as projected by counsel. In order to understand this provision, there is necessity to understand the provisions of Section 18 as well as 28-A of the Act. Provision under Section 18 has been provided to the claimants to make an application to the Collector, if they are not satisfied with the compensation granted by the Collector for making reference to the Court and Section 23 provides for the matters to be considered by the Court while determining compensation, whereas Section 28-A has been provided vide the amendment after almost about 100 years of the enactment of this Act in order to bring parity for the persons in regard with compensation, who had not preferred the application under Section 18 of the Act, where they can also represent and get enhanced compensation as granted by the Reference Court, equivalent to the persons, who had preferred application under Section 18 of the Act and Section 28(3) submits that any one, who is not satisfied by re-determination made by the Collector, can also make an application for reference to the Court and to the said application, all the provisions of Section 18 to 28 shall apply as far as possible, which means that Collector while processing this application have to make reference in

similar way as made under Section 18 of the Act. Thus, reference has to be represented within specific time and while making reference, Collector shall give information to the Court in writing regarding situation and extent of land, trees, building, standing crops etc., names of persons interested in such land and amount awarded for damages, objections if any and also to attach schedule and therefore duty has been imposed upon the Court to issue notice to the parties, but as regards Section 23, the Court has only to determine the compensation regarding the things which have not been determined in the previous reference such as trees, structure etc. Because that is the objective of Section 28-A of the Act, because base of Section 28-A is award of the Court, vide which enhanced compensation above the compensation granted by the Collector has been granted and if already the Court had determined the compensation then there is no requirement for the Court to redetermine the compensation, after taking into account the fresh evidence and if the claimant felt that he is in better position to bring on record the instances or evidence for grant of better compensation, then he would have preferred the application under Section 18 of the Act, but he had adopted the policy of wait and watch and himself had not put the efforts for bringing evidence before the Court under Section 18 of the Act, So, now the claimants are barred to bring on record the instances of better evidence for enhancement of compensation, rather they have to be contented with the evidence already taken into account by the Reference Court while passing award under Section 18 of the Act. Reference Court

had already adjudicated the matter, thus, such harmonious reading of Section 18 to 28-A of the Act will lead to attaining objective provided for these sections, thus fresh evidence led by the claimants can not be considered for enhancement of compensation while dealing with the applications under Section 28-A(3) of the Act and as the enhanced compensation granted by the Reference Court has already been awarded to the claimants by the Collector at the rate of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre, thus the claimants are not entitled to any further enhanced compensation at this stage.

Though the petitioners have failed to prove on record that they are entitled for any enhancement of the compensation under the provisions of Section 28-A(3) of the Act, but so far as the non-payment of interest is concerned, learned Counsel for the petitioners had argued that interest on the enhanced amount of compensation under the provisions of Section 28-A of the Act, in terms of the orders passed by the SDM cum LAC Kharar, has not been paid to the petitioners by the respondents. Vide orders dated 22.5.2014 Ex.R5 to R31, the SDM cum LAC Kharar has redetermined the compensation payable to the present petitioners at the rate of Rs.5,74,642/- per acre with all the statutory benefits as envisaged in Sections 23(1-A), 23(2) and 28 of the Act and the petitioners were also held entitled to interest to be calculated from the date of possession taken on 29.10.2002. Further perusal of Ex.P10 available on the file shows that petitioners Dharam Singh and others of Land Reference bearing CIS No.97-2017 had preferred Civil Writ petition No.18434 of 2018 before the

Hon'ble High Court, for direction to the respondents to deposit the payment of enhanced compensation redetermined by respondent No.2 under Section 28-A of the Act and further directing respondent No.2 to distribute the enhanced amount as per the award dated 22.5.2014, the Hon'ble High Court vide its order dated 6.9.2016, had disposed of the said writ petition with the direction to respondents to deposit the enhanced amount of compensation within two months from the date of the receiving a certified copy of the said order, who shall then within two weeks release the same in favour of the petitioners along with interest upto date. However despite the order dated 6.9.2016 passed by the Hon'ble High Court, the respondents had not deposited/dispensed the enhanced amount of compensation to the present petitioners within the period prescribed by the Hon'ble High Court, rather as per the copies of letters issued by SDM cum LAC to the Manager HDFC Bank Desu Majra, available on the file of the present case, the SDM cum LAC had written to the said Bank on 27.12.2017, 14.12.2017, 25.1.2018, to transfer the enhanced amount of compensation in the accounts of the respective beneficiaries as per list, whereas, as per the calculation sheet attached with letter Ex.P2, the respondents had calculated the due amount of compensation along with interest only upto 31.8.2017, which shows that the respondents had not paid upto date interest on the enhanced amount of compensation, to the petitioners. Moreover DW1 Jaspal Singh SDO during his cross examination had admitted that the enhanced amount was deposited with the SDM Kharar along with interest till 31.8.2017,

though the amount was transferred to the SDM on 14.12.2017 by the Executive Engineer and thereafter they have not deposited any interest. In these circumstances, as it has been found that the respondents have not disbursed/paid upto date interest on the enhanced amount of compensation to the present petitioners, hence respondents are directed to calculate the interest on the enhanced amount of compensation of the present petitioners upto the period when they had disbursed the compensation to the petitioners and to disburse the same to the petitioners with in two months from today, failing which the petitioners will be at liberty to file an appropriate application before this Court, for recovery of the interest if any.

Consequently, in view of the above discussion, issue No.1 is decided against the claimants and in favour of the respondents.

ISSUE NO.2

11. Onus to prove this issue was upon the respondents, but neither during the course of arguments, this issue was pressed by the learned counsel for the respondents nor any evidence has been led by them in this regard. Consequently I decide this issue against the respondents.

RELIEF.(ISSUE NO.3)

12. In view of the above discussion, the present land reference along with all the above referred consolidated land references are answered/dismissed. However, the respondents are directed to calculate the interest on the enhanced amount of compensation of the

present petitioners awarded under Section 28-A of the Act, upto the period when they had disbursed the compensation to the petitioners and to disburse the same to the petitioners within two months from today, failing which the petitioners will be at liberty to file an appropriate application before this Court, for recovery of the interest if any.

Memo of costs be prepared. This Judgment/Award be read in the above referred connected/consolidated land References as well. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court
Dated:1.8.2019

(Monika Goyal)
Addl. District Judge
SAS Nagar Mohali
Uid No.PB0439

* Balwinder Singh
Stenographer Grade I

Present: Sh. Sandeep Dhiman Adv for the claimants
Sh. Manjit Singh Govt. Pleader along with Sh.
Manmohan Singh Sr. Assistant, on behalf of
respondents.

Arguments have been heard. Vide separate detailed
Award of even date, the present land reference as well as the consolidated
land references Sarup Singh Vs. SDM bearing No. 103 of 25.05.2017,
Avtar Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.121 of 30.05.2017, Mohinder Singh
Vs. SDM., bearing 145 of 06.07.2017, Nasib Singh Vs. SDM., bearing
No.140 of 06.07.2017, Dev Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.141 of
06.07.2017, Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.134 of 06.07.2017,
Gurdeep Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.105 of 25.05.2017, Jasvir Singh
Vs. SDM., bearing No. 106 of 25.05.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. SDM.,
bearing No.109 of 25.05.2017, Sarup Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.103 of
25.05.2017, Ajit Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.136 of 06.07.2017, Gurpal
Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.147 of 06.07.2017, Dial Kaur Vs. SDM.,
bearing No.138 of 06.07.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.142
of 06.07.2017, Ajmer Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.135 of 06.07.2017,
Gurdev Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.146 of 06.07.2017, Gurmeet Singh
Vs. SDM., bearing No.139 of 06.07.2017, Labh Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing
No.104 of 25.05.2017, Brig. Sarabjit Vs. SDM, bearing No.143 of
06.07.2017, Gurmail Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.144 of 06.07.2017,
Sher Kaur Vs. SDM., bearing No.148 of 07.07.2017, Balkar Singh Vs.
SDM., bearing No.137 of 06.07.2017, Sarup Singh Vs. SDM., bearing
No.102 of 25.05.2017, Piara Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.101 of
25.05.2017, Sher Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.100 of 25.05.2017,

Jaswant Singh Vs. SDM., bearing No.107 of 25.05.2017, have also been answered/dismissed, as per the details enumerated therein. Memo of costs be prepared. This Judgment/Award be read in the above referred connected/consolidated land References as well. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court
Dated:1.8.2019

(Monika Goyal)
Addl. District Judge
SAS Nagar Mohali
Uid No.PB0439

* Balwinder Singh
Stenographer Grade I