

SC 430/2025
STATE Vs. ANIKET
FIR No. 117/2025
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s. 109(1)/3(5) BNS& U/s. 27 Arms Act

25.09.2025

An application U/s. 483 BNSS for grant of interim bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Sumit @ Chavva**.

Present: Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Rishipal Singh, Ld. Counsel for
applicant/accused.
SI Shashank on behalf of IO in person.

Reply to the above said application has been received from IO. Same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused.

Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submits that wife of applicant/accused is 9 months pregnant and her date of delivery 21.10.2025. It is further submitted that no male member in the family of applicant/accused to take care of his pregnant wife. It is further submitted that medical documents of wife of applicant/accused is annexed with the application. It is prayed that above said application may kindly be allowed.

On the other hand, Ld. Additional PP for State strongly opposed the bail application and submitted that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. It is prayed that the above said application may kindly be dismissed.

This court has given thoughtful consideration to the submissions made by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused and Ld. Additional PP for State and has also perused the record placed

Continued to page no. 2

SC 430/2025
STATE Vs. ANIKET
FIR No. 117/2025
PS Jahangir Puri
U/s. 109(1)/3(5) BNS& U/s. 27 Arms Act

before the same.

The present interim bail application has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused **Sumit @ Chavva** on the ground that wife of applicant/accused is pregnant and her delivery date is 21.10.2025. As per the reply of IO, wife of applicant/accused **Sumit @ Chavva** has already delivered a baby girl on 21.09.2025. No urgency for grant of interim bail is made out. Further, there are other family members who can look after the wife of applicant/accused **Sumit @ Chavva** post delivery.

Keeping in view of above discussion and particularly in view of the fact that allegations leveled against applicant/accused **Sumit @ Chavva** are serious in nature. In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to allow the present interim bail application filed on behalf of applicant/accused . Same is dismissed accordingly.

Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to any expression of opinion the merits of the case. **Copy of order be given dasti to the applicant/accused Sumit @ Chavva.** The present interim bail application along with the proceedings be tagged with the main case file.

(Sushil Kumar)
Addl. Sessions Judge- 04
North District Rohini Courts Delhi
25.09.2025