

**IN THE COURT OF MONIKA GOYAL, ADDL. DISTRICT
JUDGE SAS NAGAR MOHALI**

1) CNR No. PBSA01007326-2016
LAND REF. No.239 22.12.2016
CIS NO.LAC/155/2016
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Nirmal Kaur aged about 60 years, widow of Amarjit Singh son of Ajmer Singh,
 2. Gurdip Singh son of Amarjit Singh S/o Ajmer Singh, both residents of House No.268B, Ranjit Nagar, Sector 11, Kharar, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali.
 3. Jaswinder Singh son of Kirpal Singh son of Ajmer Singh, resident of House No.3004, Sector 70, Mohali, District Mohali.
- All Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Applicants/Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

2) CNR No. PBSA01009160-2017
LAND REF. No. 533 of 19.12.2017
CIS NO.LAC/535/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jagir Singh,
2. Bhajan Singh,
3. Gurdeep Singh,
sons of Chet Singh son of Punjab Singh,
4. Karamjit Singh,
5. Bachittar Singh,
sons of Late Sh. Mohinder Singh S/o Chet Singh S/o Punjab Singh,

Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali, residents of village Chilla, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 3) CNR No. PBSA01005593-2017
LAND REF. No. 350/04.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/348/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Tarlochan Singh aged 47 years, S/o Jhanda Singh alias Jharia Singh,
 2. Harpreet Singh S/o Bahadur Singh,
 3. Harvinder Singh S/o Bahadur Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of Village Dharali, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 4) CNR No. PBSA01005166-2017
LAND REF. No. 255-28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/290/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balwinder Singh, aged about 62 years,
2. Baldeep Singh,

Sons of Sardara Singh,

Khewatdars of village Bakarpur, Tehsil & District Mohali, residents of village Marauli, Tehsil Rajpura, District Patiala.

3. Amarjit Singh S/o Sardara Singh, Khewatdars and resident of village Bakarpur, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 5) CNR No. PBSA01006282-2017
LAND REF. No. 486/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/477/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Jarnail Singh, aged about 62 years, S/o Mehma Singh, Khewatdar and resident of Village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 6) CNR No. PBSA01005315-2017
LAND REF. No. 270/29.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/305/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Karnail Singh, aged about 88 years, S/o Babu Singh,
2. Dial Singh S/o Babu Singh,

Both residents and Khewatdars of village Saini Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 7) CNR No. PBSA01006289-2017
LAND REF. No. 473/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/470/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Shadi Singh aged about 58 years, son of Shobha Singh,
 2. Rajinder Singh @ Raj Singh S/o Shadi Singh,
 3. Jasmer Singh S/o Shadi Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Dharali, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 8) CNR No. PBSA01005063-2017
LAND REF. No. 300/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/240/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Harbhajan Singh, aged about 43 years, S/o Karnail Singh,

2. Swaran Singh S/o Karnail Singh,
Both residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil & District
Mohali, Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority),
Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
(Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 9) CNR No. PBSA01006210-2017
LAND REF. No. 461/29.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/447/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Piara Singh S/o Pritam Singh,
2. Charan Singh S/o Pritam Singh,
3. Gurmail Singh S/o Pritam Singh,
4. Bahadur Singh S/o Pritam Singh,
Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.
Residents of village Bhago Majra, PO Singh Bhagwanpura, Tehsil
& District Rupnagar.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority),
Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
(Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 10) CNR No. PBSA01005398-2017
LAND REF. No.227/29.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/335/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Pal Singh, aged about 59 years, S/o Hazura Singh, resident and Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

11) CNR No. PBSA01005144-2017
 LAND REF. No.334/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/281/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bhag Singh, aged about 69 years, S/o Kartar Singh, Khewatdar of Village Saini Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali, resident of House No.253, Phase-II, Ram Darbar, UT Chandigarh.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

12) CNR No. PBSA01008426-2018
 LAND REF. No. 113/15.11.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/113/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019--

1. Avtar Singh, aged about 58 years, S/o Teja Singh,
2. Gurcharan Singh S/o Ajmer Singh,

3. Amarjit Singh S/o Ajmer Singh,
 4. Manjit Kaur Widow of Ajmer Singh,
 5. Balwinder Kaur daughter of Ajmer Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 13) CNR No. PBSA01006250-2017
 LAND REF. No. 476/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/462/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Nachhattar Singh S/o Madho Singh,
 2. Amarjit Singh S/o Labh Singh,
- Khewatdars of Village Bakarpur, Tehsil & District Mohali, residents of village Mauli Baidwan, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

- 14) CNR No. PBSA01005918-2017
 LAND REF. No. 400/19.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/393/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Mohinder Singh, aged 54 years,
 2. Joginder Snigh,
- sons of Piara Singh S/o Munshi,
Both residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.
- ...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
 2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
- ...Respondents

15) CNR No. PBSA01006292-2017
LAND REF. No. 479/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/467/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Ranjit Singh, aged 48 years, S/o Babu Singh S/o Mangal Singh,
 2. Shamsheer Singh S/o Babu Singh S/o Mangal Singh,
- Both residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.
- ...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
 2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
- ...Respondents

16) CNR No. PBSA01005401-2017
LAND REF. No. 218/29.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/332/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Satinder Kaur, aged about 37 years, w/o Avtar Singh, Khewatdar and resident of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

17) CNR No. PBSA01006291-2017
LAND REF. No. 470/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/468/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balbir Singh, aged about 65 years, S/o Bachan Singh,
 2. Jarnail Singh S/o Bachan Singh,
 3. Mohan Singh S/o Bachan Singh,
 4. Nachattar Singh S/o Bachan Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

18) CNR No. PBSA01006293-2017
LAND REF. No. 477/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/466/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019-

1. Bhag Singh, aged about 68 years, S/o Kehar Singh,
2. Khem Singh S/o Bhag Singh,

3. Surjit Singh S/o Bhag Singh,
Residents of village Manauli (Saini Majra), Tehsil & District
Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority),
Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
(Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

19) CNR No. PBSA01006206-2017
LAND REF. No.458/29.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/451/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019-

1. Mohinder Singh S/o Hari Singh,
2. Amar Singh S/o Hari Singh,
3. Dhanna Singh S/o Hari Singh,
4. Tejwant Kaur daughter of Hari Singh,
Khewatdars and residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District
Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority),
Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
(Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

20) CNR No. PBSA01005317-2017
LAND REF. No. 267/29.7.2017
CIS NO.LAC/302/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balwinder Singh, aged about 52 years, S/o Harbans Singh S/o Gurdial Singh,
 2. Gurminder Singh S/o Harbans Singh S/o Gurdial Singh,
 3. Rajinder Singh S/o Harbans Singh S/o Gurdial Singh,
- Residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

21) CNR No. PBSA01006139-2017
 LAND REF. No. 450/23.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/437/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Karnail Singh aged about 82 years, S/o Babu Singh
 2. Dial Singh S/o Babu Singh,
- Both residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil & District Mohali, Khewatdars of village Siau, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

22) CNR No. PBSA01006208-2017

LAND REF. No. 463/29.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/449/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Amar Singh S/o Bhagat Singh, resident of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

23) CNR No. PBSA01005316-2017
 LAND REF. No. 344/28.7.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/301/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Harpal Singh aged about 42 years, S/o Mehar Singh S/o Baru Singh, Khewatdar of Village Saini Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali, resident of village Mauli Baidwan, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

24) CNR No. PBSA010005108-2017
 LAND REF. No. 210/28.7.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/255/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019-

Jatinder Singh, aged about 72 years, S/o Harnam Singh, Khewatdar and resident of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

25) CNR No. PBSA01005061-2017
LAND REF. No. 241/28.7.2017
CIS NO.LAC/243/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jaspal Singh, aged 52 years, son of Ajit Singh,
2. Bant Singh S/o Amar Singh,
Both residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

26) CNR No. PBSA01006287-2017
LAND REF. No. 484/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/459/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gurdev Singh, aged about 68 years S/o Harcharan Singh,
2. Surinderpal Singh S/o Harcharan Singh,

both for themselves and as legal representatives of their mother Mohinder Kaur, since deceased widow of Harcharan Singh.

Khewatdars and residents of village Manauli, Hadbast No.270, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

27) CNR No. PBSA01007767-2018
LAND REF. No. 110/30.10.2018
CIS NO.LAC/109/2018
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gurmail Singh, aged 55 years,
2. Ranjit Singh,
3. Kesar Singh,
- sons of Gulzar Singh S/o Nasib Singh,
4. Amar Kaur widow of Gulzar Singh,
- All residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

28) CNR No. PBSA01005695-2017
LAND REF. No. 367/10.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/360/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019-

Gurdev Singh, aged about 64 years, S/o Raghbir Singh, Khewatdar of village Rurka, Tehsil & District Mohali, resident of village Jagatpura, PO Manauli, near Bridge Pull of Railway, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

29) CNR No. PBSA01006154-2018
 LAND REF. No. 95/04.09.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/95/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Karnail Kaur widow of Jarnail Singh,
 2. Bhupinder Singh S/o Jarnail Singh,
 3. Bhim Singh S/o Jarnail Singh,
- All residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

30) CNR No. PBSA01005588-2017
 LAND REF. No.355/04.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/347/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Harpal Singh, aged about 44 years, S/o Mehar Singh S/o Baru Singh, Khewatdar of Village Saini Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali, resident of village Mauli Baidwan, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

31) CNR No. PBSA01005402-2017
 LAND REF. No. 219/29.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/331/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Ranjit Singh, aged about 41 years, Son of Late Sucha Singh, S/o Jodh Singh, resident and Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

32) CNR No. PBSA01005370-2017
 LAND REF. No. 289/29.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/323/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019-

Karnail Singh, aged about 72 years, S/o Gurdial Singh, Khewatdar of Village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali, resident of village Mauli Baidwan, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

33) CNR No. PBSA01005400-2017
 LAND REF. No. 225/29.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/333/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Malkiat Singh, aged about 86 years, S/o Gurdial Singh,
 2. Jagdish Singh S/o Rattan Singh
 3. Ujjagar Singh S/o Rattan Singh
 4. Ajmer Singh S/o Rattan Singh (claim petition on behalf of claimant No.4 Ajmer Singh dismissed as withdrawn)
 5. Bhajan Singh alias Harbhajan Singh S/o Gurdial Singh,
 6. Harbans Singh S/o Gurdial Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

34) CNR No. PBSA01005590-2017
 LAND REF. No. 357/04.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/351/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Sanjiv Kumar S/o Gian Chand Aggarwal,
2. Rajiv Aggarwal S/o Gian Chand Aggarwal,
 Both residents of SCF 104, Grain Market, Sector 26, Chandigarh.
3. Pawan Kumar Garg S/o Gandhi Ram,
4. Nitin Kumar son of Pawan Kumar,
5. Chandan Garg W/o Pawan Kumar,
 Residents of House No.96, Sector 6, Panchkula (Haryana).

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

35) CNR No. PBSA01005109-2017
 LAND REF. No.301/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/257/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Narata Ram, aged about 72 years, S/o Munshi Ram,
2. Megh Nath S/o Munshi Ram,
3. Prem Chand S/o Munshi Ram,
4. Om Parkash S/o Munshi Ram,
5. Balbir Singh S/o Munshi Ram,
6. Gurnam Singh S/o Ram Chand,
7. Harmesh Chand S/o Ram Chand,
 Applicant Nos.6 and 7 as Lrs of Ram Chand, since deceased son of Munshi Ram.

All residents and Khewatdars of Village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

36) CNR No. PBSA01006639-2017
LAND REF. No. 506/12.09.2017
CIS NO.LAC/496/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Baldev Singh aged 54 years, S/o Raghbir Singh, Resident of Village Rurka, H.B. No.121, Tehsil & District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. Punjab State through Collector, SAS Nagar.
2. Land Acquisition Collector, GMADA, Mohali.
3. Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, through its Additional Chief Administrator, Mohali.

...Respondents

37) CNR No. PBSA01005010-2017
LAND REF. No. 232/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/209/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jaswant Singh, aged about 84 years, S/o Chet Singh,
2. Smt. Bhagwant Kaur widow of Late S. Shamsheer Singh,
3. Dildeep Singh S/o Late S. Shamsheer Singh,
Khewatdars and residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

38) CNR No. PBSA01005914-2017
 LAND REF. No. 398/19.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/396/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balwant Singh aged about 67 years, S/o Teja Singh, S/o Tarlok Singh,
2. Surinder Pal Singh S/o Balwant Singh S/o Teja Singh,
 Both residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

39)

CNR No. PBSA01005929-2017
 LAND REF. No. 409/21.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/417/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Surjit Singh aged about 68 years, S/o Man Singh S/o Kirpa Singh,
 resident of Village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

40)

CNR No. PBSA01005064-2017
 LAND REF. No. 203/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/239/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Kulwant Singh aged about 45 years, S/o Jagir Singh S/o Gian Singh,
 resident of village Raipur Khurd, Tehsil and District Mohali and
 Khewatdar of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

41)

CNR No. PBSA01006145-2017
 LAND REF. No. 445/23.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/443/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Bahadur Singh, aged about 57 years,
 2. Karnail Singh,
 3. Harvinder Singh,
 4. Harbhajan Singh,
- sons of Ajmer Singh S/o Narian Singh,
Residents and Khewatdars of village Chachu Majra, Tehsil &
District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

42) CNR No. PBSA01005159-2017
LAND REF. No. 256/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/283/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Avtar Singh, aged about 46 years, S/o Jit Singh, resident of village Saketri, Tehsil and District Panchkula (Haryana).
 2. Bhupinder Kaur W/o Santokh Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.
 3. Swaranjit Kaur W/o Harnek Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.
 4. Kuldip Kaur W/o Gurdev Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.
 5. Swaran Kaur W/o Harbhajan Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.
- Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

43) CNR No. PBSA01005114-2017
 LAND REF. No. 247/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/262/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Amrit Singh, aged about 42 years, S/o Arjan Singh, S/o Rur Singh, resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.
2. Amrik Singh S/o Arjan Singh, R/o village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

44) CNR No. PBSA01005060-2017
 LAND REF. No. 236/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/244/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Tarlochan Singh, aged about 42 years, S/o Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajan Singh,
2. Surjeet Kaur widow of Harbhajan Singh @ Bhajan Singh,
 All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

45) CNR No. PBSA01005102-2017
 LAND REF. No. 324/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/250/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Randhir Singh, aged about 48 years,
 2. Harjit Singh,
 3. Major Singh,
- Sons of Kaka Singh residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

46) CNR No. PBSA01005106-2017
 LAND REF. No. 299/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/254/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Charanjit Singh, aged about 44 years, S/o Kirpal Singh,
 2. Amarjit Singh S/o Kirpal Singh,
 3. Gurpreet Singh S/o Kirpal Singh,
 4. Ravinder Singh,
 5. Varinder Singh,
- sons of Jaswinder Singh,

6. Sharanjit Kaur widow of Kirpal Singh,
All residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.
...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
...Respondents

47) CNR No. PBSA01005111-2017
LAND REF. No. 240/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/265/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jora Singh aged about 34 years, S/o Paramjit Singh,
2. Navjot Singh minor son of Major Singh through her mother and natural guardian Kamaljit Kaur widow of Major Singh
3. Bikram Singh son of Jagat Singh
4. Manjit Kaur widow of Paramjit Singh,
Residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
...Respondents

48) CNR No. PBSA01005115-2017
LAND REF. No. 321/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/261/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Ravi Nandan, aged about 58 years, S/o Munshi Ram, legal representative/heir and husband of Mala Babi, original claimant, resident of village and post office Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

49) CNR No. PBSA01005104-2017
LAND REF. No.318/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/266/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Gurudwara Sahib Paatshahi Chewi, Village Manauli, through its President Pal Singh, aged about 65 years, S/o Gurbax Singh, resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

50) CNR No. PBSA01005056-2017
LAND REF. No. 237/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/241/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Bhushan Kumar, aged about 62 years, S/o Ram Murti,

2. Jiwan Kumar S/o Krishan Chand S/o Ram Murti for himself and as legal representative of claimant Ram Murti, since deceased son of Pirthi Chand,
 3. Pardeep Kumar son of Krishan Chand, legal representative of claimant Ram Murti, since deceased son of Pirthi Chand,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

51) CNR No. PBSA01005112-2017
 LAND REF. No. 329/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/264/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Harnek Singh S/o Gurdial Singh, aged about 70 years, Khewatdar and resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

52) CNR No. PBSA01005105-2017
 LAND REF. No. 316/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/253/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bhupinder Singh, aged about 27 years, S/o Mukhtiar Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and Bhupinder Singh District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

53) CNR No. PBSA01005916-2017
LAND REF. No. 402/19.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/395/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Sucha Singh, aged about 66 years, S/o Waryam Singh, S/o Bishan Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

54) CNR No. PBSA01005365-2017
LAND REF. No. 347/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/318/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Randhir Singh, aged about 48 years, S/o Jagdeep Singh,
2. Baljit Kaur widow of Kulwant Singh S/o Jagdeep Singh,
3. Manpreet Kaur daughter of Kulwant Singh S/o Jagdeep Singh,
4. Jasbir Singh S/o Kulwant Singh S/o Jagdeep Singh,

5. Gurjant Singh S/o Kulwant Singh S/o Jagdeep Singh,
 {Legal representatives of original claimant Jagdeep Singh, since
 deceased son of Prem Kaur wife of Daula},
 All residents of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.
 ...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
 Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority),
 Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
 (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
 ...Respondents

55) CNR No. PBSA01005367-2017
 LAND REF. No. 335/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/320/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Rattan Kaur, aged about 72 years, widow of Naranjan Singh S/o
 Hira Singh,
2. Gurdeep Singh S/o Naranjan Singh S/o Hira Singh,
3. Baldev Singh S/o Naranjan Singh S/o Hira Singh,
 Residents and Khewatdars of Village Manauli, Tehsil and District
 Mohali.
 ...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban
 Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority),
 Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar
 (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.
 ...Respondents

56) CNR No. PBSA01005319-2017
 LAND REF. No. 286/29.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/299/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Sucha Singh, aged about 61 years, S/o Hari Singh,
2. Bhupinder Singh S/o Hari Singh, through attorney Sucha Singh S/o Hari Singh,
3. Avtar Singh S/o Sucha Singh,
4. Damanpreet Singh S/o Ranbir Singh S/o Sucha Singh,
5. Sukhpreet Singh S/o Ranbir Singh S/o Sucha Singh,
Residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil and District Mohali.
6. Randhir Singh son of Sucha Singh son of Hari Singh, Khewatdar and resident of Village Saini Majra(Premgarh), Tehsil and Distt. SAS Nagar. (Impleaded vide order dated 31.8.2018).

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

57)

CNR No. PBSA01006280-2017

LAND REF. No. 467/31.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/479/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Kuldip Singh, aged about 48 years,
2. Gurnam Singh,
sons of S. Ajaib Singh,
3. Varinder Singh,
4. Paramjit Singh,
5. Gurjant Singh,
sons of Harjinder Singh S/o Ajaib Singh.
All Residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

58) CNR No. PBSA01005400-2018
 LAND REF. No. 93/08.08.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/93/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jagir Singh, aged about 75 years, S/o Sant Ram,
2. Ajmer Singh S/o Sant Ram,
3. Gurdev Singh S/o Sant Ram,
4. Harbhajan Singh S/o Sant Ram,
5. Surjit Singh S/o Hakam Singh S/o Kartar Singh,
6. Gurdip Singh S/o Hakam Singh S/o Kartar Singh,
 {Applicant Nos.5 and 6 as legal representatives of claimant Hakam Singh, since deceased son of Kartar Singh},
 All Residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

59) CNR No. PBSA01005117-2017
 LAND REF. No. 205/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/259/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Krishan Kumar, aged about 55 years, S/o Nand Ram,
 2. Sham Lal S/o Nand Ram,
- Both residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

60) CNR No. PBSA01005108-2017
 LAND REF. No. 325/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/256/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Amrik Singh, aged about 38 years, S/o Hari Singh,
 2. Hardev Singh S/o Hari Singh,
 3. Harbans Singh S/o Hari Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

61) CNR No. PBSA01005912-2017
 LAND REF. No. 397/19.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/397/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Amar Singh, aged about 66 years, S/o Surta Singh,
 2. Gian Singh S/o Surta Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

62)

CNR No. PBSA01005364-2017

LAND REF. No. 273/29.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/317/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gurdev Kaur aged about 84 years, W/o Late Sh. Shamsher Singh,
 2. Kulwant Singh S/o Late Sh. Shamsher Singh,
 3. Harvinder Kaur W/o Late S. Baljit Singh S/o Sh. Shamsher Singh,
- Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.
- Residents of village Desu Majra, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

63) CNR No. PBSA01005248-2017
 LAND REF. No. 266/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/298/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Satinder Singh, aged about 53 years, S/o Ajaib Singh,
 2. Gurbachan Singh S/o Ajaib Singh,
 3. Bahadur Singh S/o Santokh Singh,
 4. Didar Singh S/o Santokh Singh,
- Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali, residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

64) CNR No. PBSA01005919-2017
 LAND REF. No. 401/19.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/392/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Harbhajan Singh, aged about 65 years, S/o Niranjn Singh, Khewatdar Harbhajan Singhand resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

65) CNR No. PBSA01002698-2017
 LAND REF. No. 66/21.04.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/63/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Arjan Singh, aged about 68 years, S/o Inder Singh, S/o Hira Singh,
 2. Piara Singh S/o Inder Singh, S/o Hira Singh,
 3. Naranjan Singh S/o Inder Singh S/o Hira Singh,
 4. Mohan Singh S/o Inder Singh S/o Hira Singh,
 5. Sohan Singh S/o Inder Singh S/o Hira Singh,
 6. Mandeep Singh S/o Sohan Singh,
 7. Harbans Kaur W/o Piara Singh,
 8. Harjinder Kaur W/o Mohan Singh,
 9. Kuldip Kaur W/o Naranjan Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

66) CNR No. PBSA01005931-2017
 LAND REF. No. 411/21.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/419/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Tarlochan Singh, aged about 58 years, S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh,
 2. Kuljinder Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh,
 3. Balwant Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh,
 4. Amrik Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh,
- Applicant/claimant Nos.3 and 4 through their attorney Kuljinder Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh, applicant No.2.

Khewatdars of Village Chaso Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali and residents of Kothi No.474, Phase III-A, SAS Nagar, Mohali, District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

67) CNR No. PBSA01005161-2018
 LAND REF. No.92/02.08.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/92/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Ram Singh, aged about 57 years, S/o Santokh Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

68) CNR No. PBSA01005147-2017
 LAND REF. No.258/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/280/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Jagtar Singh, aged about 45 years, S/o Ajmer Singh, S/o Inder Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali, legal

representative of claimant Ajmer Singh, since deceased son of Inder Singh son of Santokha.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

69) CNR No. PBSA01003480-2017
LAND REF. No.96/20.05.2017
CIS NO.LAC/93/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bal Krishan Goel, aged about 34 years, son of Sh. Hans Raj Goel, Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali, resident of village Mauli Baidwan, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

70) CNR No. PBSA01005917-2017
LAND REF. No.399/19.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/394/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Buta Singh, aged about 42 years, S/o Harbhajan Singh,
2. Surinder Singh S/o Harbhajan Singh,
3. Sarabjit Singh S/o Harbhajan Singh,

Khewatdars and residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

71) CNR No. PBSA01005105-2017
LAND REF. No.217/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/252/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Mohinder Singh, aged about 77 years, S/o Puran Singh,
2. Darbara Singh S/o Puran Singh through attorney Mohinder Singh S/o Puran Singh,
Both residents and Khewatdars of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

72) CNR No. PBSA01005100-2017
LAND REF. No.317/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/247/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Dharam Singh, aged about 61 years, S/o Parmanand S/o Punjab, resident and Khewatdar of Village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

73) CNR No. PBSA01003478-2017
 LAND REF. No.97/20.05.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/92/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Gurbachan Singh S/o Prem Kaur W/o Daula, Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali, residing at village Saimpla, Tehsil Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

74) CNR No. PBSA01005059-2017
 LAND REF. No.282/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/218/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Ajmer Singh, aged about 54 years, S/o Faquir Chand,
2. Nirmal Singh S/o Faquir Chand,
3. Hans Raj S/o Amar Nath,
4. Avtar Singh S/o Amar Nath,
5. Jaswinder Singh S/o Ram Murti,

6. Baljinder Singh S/o Ram Murti,
All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil & District
Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

75) CNR No. PBSA01006205-2017
LAND REF. No.455/29.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/452/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Nachhattar Singh S/o Gurbachan Singh, resident of village Siau, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

76) CNR No. PBSA01006204-2017
LAND REF. No.456/29.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/453/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bhupinder Kaur W/o Mohan Singh through attorney Mohan Singh S/o Piara Singh, Khewatdar of village Siau, Tehsil & District Mohali, resident of House No.284, Sector 46A, Chandigarh.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

77) CNR No. PBSA01006275-2017
 LAND REF. No.485/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/458/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Amarjit Singh, aged about 62 years, S/o Waryam Singh, resident of village Chilla, Tehsil and District Mohali, Khewatdar of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

78) CNR No. PBSA01005214-2017
 LAND REF. No.274/29.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/296/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balbir Singh, aged about 67 years, S/o Harnam Singh S/o Ganda Singh,
2. Harbans Kaur, aged about 65 years, W/o Balbir Singh, S/o Harnam Singh,
 Both residents of village and post office Manauli, Tehsil & District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

79) CNR No. PBSA01005314-2017
 LAND REF. No.284/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/303/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Rupinder Singh, aged about 41 years,
2. Jagdeep Singh,
 sons of Surjit Singh S/o Sant Singh,
 Legal Representatives of claimant Surjit Singh, since deceased son of Sant Singh,
 Both residents and Khewatdars of village Saini Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

80) CNR No. PBSA01005913-2017
 LAND REF. No.396/19.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/398/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Mohinder Singh, aged about 68 years, S/o Waryam Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

81) CNR No. PBSA01005062-2017
LAND REF. No.314/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/242/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Mewa Singh, aged about 59 years, S/o Babu Singh,
 2. Lakhwinder Singh S/o Sadhu Singh,
 3. Gurdip Singh S/o Sadhu Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

82) CNR No. PBSA01008076-2017
LAND REF. No.528/09.11.2017
CIS NO.LAC/520/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gian Singh, aged about 52 years, S/o Gurbax Singh,

2. Jaswinder Singh S/o Gian Singh,
 3. Shamsheer Singh S/o Gian Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

83) CNR No. PBSA01006625-2017
 LAND REF. No.443/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/482/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Nachhattar Kaur Wd/o late Sh. Prem Singh, S/o Sh. Jagat Singh, R/o Village Chau Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali. Pb.
2. Jaspal Kaur Wd/o late Sh. Gurmit Singh, S/o Sh. Prem Singh, R/o village Chau Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali. Pb.
3. Gurpreet Singh S/o late Sh. Gurmit Singh, S/o Sh. Prem Singh, R/o village Chau Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali. Pb.
4. Charanjit Singh S/o late Sh. Gurmit Singh, S/o Sh. Prem Singh, R/o village Chau Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali. Pb.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, Sector 62, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

84)

CNR No. PBSA01005160-2018
LAND REF. No.91/02.08.2018
CIS NO.LAC/91/2018
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Karnail Singh, aged about 55 years, S/o Darshan Singh, S/o Bishan Singh,
resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

85)

CNR No. PBSA01005611-2017
LAND REF. No.359/04.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/353/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Ajaib Kaur, aged about 55 years, D/o Surjit Kaur Khewatdar and resident
of village Bakarpur, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

86)

CNR No. PBSA01006494-2017
LAND REF. No.487/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/480/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Malkiat Singh, aged about 59 years, S/o Harchand Singh, S/o Puran Singh,
2. Karam Singh S/o Harchand Singh S/o Puran Singh,
Both Khewatdars and residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

87) CNR No. PBSA01005930-2017
LAND REF. No.410/21.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/418/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Jaswinder Singh S/o Baldev Singh, S/o Arjan Singh, Khewatdar of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali, resident of village Mauli, Baidwan, Tehsil and District Mohali, died through legal representative:- Sarabjit Kaur widow of Jaswinder Singh.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

88) CNR No. PBSA01006249-2017
LAND REF. No.481/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/461/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Gurdial Singh @ Dial Singh, aged about 68 years, S/o Amar Singh S/o Bahal Singh, resident of Village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

89)

CNR No. PBSA01006283-2017
LAND REF. No.465/31.08.2017
CIS NO.LAC/476/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jaswant Kaur aged about 42 years, W/o Ranvinder Singh son of Prem Singh,
2. Kirandeep Kaur minor daughter of Ranvinder Singh Son of Prem Singh,
3. Amandeep Kaur minor daughter of Ranvinder Singh,
4. Daya Singh minor son of Ranvinder Singh,
(Minors through their mother and natural guardian Jaswant Kaur wife of Ranvinder Singh S/o Prem Singh),
Khewatdars of village Rurka, Tehsil and District Mohali and residents of village Kambala, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

90) CNR No. PBSA01005058-2017
 LAND REF. No.250/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/231/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Avtar Singh, aged about 55 years, S/o Mehma Singh, Khewatdar and resident of Village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

91) CNR No. PBSA01005399-2017
 LAND REF. No.226/29.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/334/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Kesar Singh, aged about 52 years, S/o Hakam Singh,
2. Jarnail Singh S/o Hakam Singh,
 Both Khewatdars of village Saini Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali and residents of village Dhanas, Union Territory, Chandigarh.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

92) CNR No. PBSA01003916-2018
 LAND REF. No.68/31.05.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/68/2018

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Karam Singh, aged about 58 years, S/o Mit Singh,
 2. Surjit Kaur widow of Bir Singh,
 3. Randeep Kaur daughter of Bir Singh,
 4. Gurdip Kaur daughter of Bir Singh,
 5. Jatinder Singh S/o Sardara Singh,
 6. Bhupinder Kaur widow of Sardara Singh
 7. Dharam Singh S/o Mit Singh
- Applicant/claimant Nos.5 and 6 as Lrs of claimant Sardara Singh,
since deceased son of Mit Singh,
All residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

93) CNR No. PBSA01005057-2017
LAND REF. No.204/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/230/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Smt. Satya, aged about 78 years, widow of Manohar Lal S/o Rulia,
resident and Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

94) CNR No. PBSA01005110-2017
 LAND REF. No.320/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/258/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bachhittar Singh S/o Sadhu Singh, aged about 45 years, Khewatdar and resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

95) CNR No. PBSA01005363-2017
 LAND REF. No.336/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/316/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Ajmer Singh, since deceased son of Rodal Singh son of Bishan Singh, now being represented through his following legal representatives:-

- i. Harpal Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- ii. Amrik Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- iii. Jaswinder Singh S/o Late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- iv. Surinder Kaur widow of Avtar Singh pre-deceased son of late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- v. Maninder Singh S/o Avtar Singh pre-deceased son of Late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- vi. Kulwinder Singh S/o Avtar Singh pre-deceased son of Late Sh. Ajmer Singh S/o Rodal Singh
- vii. Shamsheer Kaur Wd/o Ajmer Singh

All residents of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

96) CNR No. PBSA01006143-2017
 LAND REF. No.451/25.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/441/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gian Singh, aged about 79 years, S/o Nikka Singh S/o Bishan Singh.
 2. Tejinder Singh S/o Gian Singh,
 3. Gurdeep Singh S/o Gian Singh,
 4. Ranjit Singh S/o Gian Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

97) CNR No. PBSA01006209-2017
 LAND REF. No.459/29.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/448/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Sher Singh S/o Faquir Chand,
2. Ajmer Singh S/o Faquir Chand,

3. Raj Kumar S/o Faquir Chand,
4. Nirmal Singh S/o Faquir Chand,
5. Hans Raj S/o Amar Nath,
6. Avtar Singh S/o Amar Nath,
7. Jaswinder Singh S/o Ram Murti,
8. Baljinder Singh S/o Ram Murti,

All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

98) CNR No. PBSA01005007-2017
 LAND REF. No.298/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/216/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Bhupinder Kaur, aged about 47 years, W/o Bharpur Singh, resident of village and post office Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

99) CNR No. PBSA01005587-2017
 LAND REF. No.356/04.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/346/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Jit Singh, aged about 70 years, S/o Chet Singh,
 2. Mohinder Singh S/o Chet Singh,
 3. Amrik Singh S/o Chet Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Rurka, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

100)

CNR No. PBSA01005318-2017

LAND REF. No.293/29.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/300/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Mukhtiar Singh, aged about 51 years, S/o Sadhu Singh S/o Inder Singh,
 2. Piara Singh S/o Sadhu Singh S/o Inder Singh,
- Residents of village Saini Majra (Premgarh), Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

101)

CNR No. PBSA01005585-2017

LAND REF. No.348/04.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/344/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gurdev Singh, aged about 86 years, S/o Sunder Singh,
2. Mohinder Singh S/o Sunder Singh,
3. Mewa Singh S/o Sunder Singh,
4. Inderjeet Singh S/o Sher Singh,
5. Jagjit Singh S/o Sher Singh,
6. Prem Singh S/o Sher Singh,
7. Gurcharan Kaur widow of Sher Singh,
8. Gurjit Kaur daughter of Sher Singh,

All residents and Khewatdars of village Dharali, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

102)

CNR No. PBSA01006146-2017

LAND REF. No.444/23.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/444/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Rattan Singh, aged about 57 years, S/o Khem Singh,
2. Ravinder Singh S/o Rattan Singh,
3. Sarabjit Singh S/o Rattan Singh,

All residents and Khewatdars of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

103)

CNR No. PBSA01006294-2017
 LAND REF. No.478/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/465/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Kamlesh Kumar, aged about 47 years, S/o Satyawanti widow of Krishan Dev,
2. Naresh Kumar S/o Satyawanti widow of Krishan Dev,
 Both residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

104)

CNR No. PBSA01002841-2017
 LAND REF. No.80/01.05.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/77/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Prem Singh, aged about 76 years, S/o Bishan Singh, S/o Narian Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

105) CNR No. PBSA01000945-2018
 LAND REF. No.12/08.02.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/12/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Harbhajan Singh, aged about 57 years,
 2. Balwant Singh,
- sons of Sarwan Singh,
 Both residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

106) CNR No. PBSA01006290-2017
 LAND REF. No.471/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/469/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balbir Singh, aged about 53 years,
 2. Jarnail Singh,
 3. Karnail Singh,
- sons of Sada Ram,
 All residents and Khewatdars of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

107) CNR No. PBSA01005101-2017
 LAND REF. No.315/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/248/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Labh Kaur, aged about 72 years, widow of Jagir Singh, S/o Fakiria, resident and Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

108) CNR No. PBSA01006295-2017
 LAND REF. No.480/31.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/464/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Surinder Kumar, aged about 60 years,
2. Devinder Kumar,
3. Narinder Kumar,
4. Parvinder Kumar,
- sons of Gursharan Dass,
5. Pushpa Rani widow of Gursharan Dass,

All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

109)

CNR No. PBSA01005366-2017
LAND REF. No.292/29.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/319/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Ram Singh, aged about 60 years, S/o Dev Raj,
 2. Ram Karan S/o Dev Raj,
 3. Gurmit Singh S/o Dev Raj,
 4. Surjit Singh S/o Dev Raj,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

110)

CNR No. PBSA01005113-2017
LAND REF. No.211/28.07.2017
CIS NO.LAC/263/2017
DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Bhag Singh @ Bhara Singh, aged about 69 years, S/o Jodh Singh,

2. Piara Singh S/o Jodh Singh,
 3. Naib Singh S/o Jodh Singh,
 4. Jarnail Singh S/o Sucha Singh S/o Jodh Singh for self and LR of deceased Sucha Singh S/o Jodh Singh.
 5. Ranjit Singh S/o Sucha Singh, LR of deceased Sucha Singh, S/o Jodh Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

111) CNR No. PBSA01008425-2018
 LAND REF. No.112/15.11.2018
 CIS NO.LAC/112/2018
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Bhajan Singh, aged about 54 years, S/o Babu Singh, S/o Teja Singh.
 2. Gurnam Singh S/o Sadhu Singh S/o Teja Singh for himself and as legal representative of his father Sadhu Singh S/o Teja Singh S/o Tarlok Singh,
- Residents of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

112) CNR No. PBSA01004921-2017

LAND REF. No.202/24.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/199/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Phuman Ram S/o Munshi Ram, aged about 68 years,
 2. Sohni Ram S/o Munshi Ram,
 3. Mehar Chand S/o Munshi Ram,
 4. Joginder Ram S/o Munshi Ram,
- Khewatdars of village Chachu Majra, Hadbast No.268, Tehsil and District Mohali, resident of village Faidan, UT Chandigarh.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

113) CNR No. PBSA01004310-2017
 LAND REF. No.124/04.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/129/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Gurdial Singh S/o Ranjit Singh S/o Naranjan Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.
2. Avtar Singh S/o Ranjit Singh S/o Naranjan Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

114) CNR No. PBSA01006279-2017

LAND REF. No.482/31.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/460/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Surmukh Singh, aged about 50 years, S/o Amar Singh,
 2. Avtar Singh S/o Amar Singh,
 3. Jagtar Singh S/o Amar Singh,
 4. Nirbhai Singh S/o Amar Singh,
- All residents and Khewatdars of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

115)

CNR No. PBSA01006629-2017

LAND REF. No.498/31.08.2017

CIS NO.LAC/486/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Harjit Singh S/o Gurcharan Singh, resident of village Darri, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.
2. Labh Singh S/o Dial Singh, resident of village Chao Majra, Tehsil and District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, Greater Mohali area Development Authority, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.

...Respondent

116)

CNR No. PBSA01005065-2017

LAND REF. No.239/28.07.2017

CIS NO.LAC/238/2017

DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Shri Gurudwara Sahib, Kambala, Tehsil and District Mohali through its President Harjit Singh, aged about 47 years, S/o Prem Singh, resident of village Kambala, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

117) CNR No. PBSA01005005-2017
 LAND REF. No.215/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/215/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Vishal Kaushal, aged about 31 years, S/o Pawan Kumar S/o Banarsi Dass, resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

118) CNR No. PBSA01004292-2017
 LAND REF. No.130/04.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/127/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Chhaju Singh, aged about 56 years, S/o Joginder Singh, resident of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

119) CNR No. PBSA01004307-2017
 LAND REF. No.132/04.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/122/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Rajinder Kumar Mittal, aged about 75 years, S/o Ram Sarup, resident of House No.1526, Sector 18, Chandigarh.
2. Paramdeep Kaur wife of Baldev Singh, resident of House No.2090, Sector 15, Chandigarh.
3. Manjit Kaur wife of Ranjit Singh, resident of House No.2090, Sector 15, Chandigarh.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

120) CNR No. PBSA01005612-2017
 LAND REF. No.360/04.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/359/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Balbir Singh, aged about 42 years, S/o Joginder Singh,
2. Balwinder Singh S/o Joginder Singh,
 Both residents and Khewatdars of village Rurka, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

121) CNR No. PBSA01006204-2017
 LAND REF. No.457/29.08.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/450/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

Raj Kumar S/o Kulwant Rai, Khewatdar of village Manauli, Tehsil and District Mohali, resident of House No.184, Sector 51A, Chandigarh.

...Claimant

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab, (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority) Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

122) CNR No. PBSA01005026-2017
 LAND REF. No. 295/28.07.2017
 CIS NO.LAC/227/2017
 DATE OF DECISION: 20.2.2019

1. Harjit Singh, aged about 47 years, Son of Prem Singh,
 2. Ranbir Singh S/o Prem Singh,
- Khewatdars of Village Rurka, Tehsil & District Mohali and residents of village Kambala, Tehsil and District Mohali.

...Claimants

Versus

1. State of Punjab through the Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Estates Punjab (Greater Mohali Area Development Authority), Sector 62, SAS Nagar Mohali, District Mohali.
2. The Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, SAS Nagar (Mohali), District Mohali, through its Chief Administrator.

...Respondents

Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011	
Notification U/S 4 of the Act	22 nd December, 2010
Notification U/S 6 of the Act	25 th August, 2011
Total Area Acquired	1908.6605 Acres
Purpose of Acquisition	For setting up of IT City/ Knowledge Park District SAS Nagar
Basic/consolidated rate	1,08,45,070/1,69,40,000 per acre

...

Application under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

-.-.-.-

Present: Sh. Sher Singh Rathore, Shri Randeep Singh Rathore, Adv. and Shri Kuldeep Singh Rathore Advocates for the claimants
Sh. S.K. Attri Adv., counsel for claimant Baldev Singh(Land Reference CIS No.496-2017)
Sh. Manoj Dhiman Adv. counsel for the claimants Nachattar Kaur and others (LAC CIS No.482/2017)
Sh. Gurbir Singh Antal Adv. for the claimants Harjit Singh and others (LAC CIS No.486/2017)
Shri Puneet Chauhan Adv., counsel for claimants Ranjit Singh and others (LAC bearing CIS No.331-2017)
Claim petition bearing CIS No.333-2017 titled as Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab has already been dismissed as withdrawn only on behalf of claimant No.4 Ajmer Singh.
Sh. Manjit Singh G.P for respondent No.1.
Sh. Maninder Badwal, Shri R.C Garg, and Shri Pankaj Sikka Advocates for respondent No.2.

AWARD

This Award of mine would dispose of 122 land references, as the same arise out of same notification, Award and from a common acquisition as mentioned above.

2. The afore-mentioned Land References titled as Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.533 of 19.12.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.350 of 04.08.2017, Balwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.255 of 28.07.2017, Jarnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.486 of 31.08.2017, Karnail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.270 of 29.07.2017, Shadi Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.473 of 31.08.2017, Harbhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.300 of 28.07.2017, Piara Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.461 of 29.08.2017, Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.334 of 28.07.2017, Avtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.113 of 15.11.2018, Nachattar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.476 of 31.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.400 of 19.08.2017, Ranjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.479 of 31.08.2017, Satinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.218 of 29.07.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.470 of 31.08.2017, Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.477 of 31.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.458 of 29.08.2017, Balwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.267 of 29.07.2017, Karnail Singh and others Vs. State

of Punjab, bearing No.450 of 23.08.2017, Amar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.463 of 29.08.2017, Harpal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.344 of 28.07.2017, Jatinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.210 of 28.07.2017, Jaspal Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.241 of 28.07.2017, Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.484 of 31.08.2017, Gurmail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.110 of 30.10.2018, Gurdev Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.367 of 10.08.2017, Karnail Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.95 of 04.09.2018, Harpal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.355 of 04.08.2017, Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.219 of 29.07.2017, Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.289 of 29.07.2017, Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.225 of 29.07.2017, Sanjiv Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.357 of 04.08.2017, Narata Ram and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.301 of 28.07.2017, Baldev Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.506 of 12.09.2017, Jaswant Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.232 of 28.07.2017, Balwant Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.398 of 19.08.2017, Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.409 of 21.08.2017, Kulwant Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.203 of 28.07.2017, Bahadur Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.445 of 23.08.2017, Avtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.256 of 28.07.2017, Amrit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.247 of 28.07.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.236 of 28.07.2017, Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.324 of

28.07.2017, Charanjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.299 of 28.07.2017, Jora Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.240 of 28.07.2017, Ravi Nandan Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.321 of 28.07.2017, Gurudwara Sahib Paatshahi Chewi Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.318 of 28.07.2017, Bhushan Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.237 of 28.07.2017, Harnek Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.329 of 28.07.2017, Bhupinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.316 of 28.07.2017, Sucha Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.402 of 19.08.2017, Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.347 of 28.07.2017, Rattan Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.335 of 28.07.2017, Sucha Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.286 of 29.07.2017, Kuldip Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.467 of 31.08.2017, Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.93 of 08.08.2018, Krishan Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.205 dated 28.07.2017, Amrik Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.325 of 28.07.2017, Amar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.397 of 19.08.2017, Gurdev Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.273 of 29.07.2017, Satinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.266 of 28.07.2017, Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.401 of 19.08.2017, Arjan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.66 of 21.04.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.411 of 21.08.2017, Ram Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.92 of 02.08.2018, Jagtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.258 of 28.07.2017, Bal Krishan Goel Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.96 of

20.05.2017, Buta Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.399 of 19.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.217 of 28.07.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.317 of 28.07.2017, Gurbachan Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.97 of 20.05.2017, Ajmer Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.282 of 28.07.2017, Nachattar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.455 of 29.08.2017, Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.456 of 29.08.2017, Amarjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.485 of 31.08.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.274 of 29.07.2017, Rupinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.284 of 28.07.2017, Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.396 of 19.08.2017, Mewa Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.314 of 28.07.2017, Gian Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.528 of 09.11.2017, Nachattar Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.443 of 31.08.2017, Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.91 of 02.08.2018, Ajaib Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.359 of 04.08.2017, Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.487 of 31.08.2017, Jaswinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.410 of 21.08.2017, Gurdial Singh @ Dial Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.481 of 31.08.2017, Jaswant Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.465 of 31.08.2017, Avtar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.250 of 28.07.2017, Kesar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.226 of 29.07.2017, Karam Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.68 of 31.05.2018, Smt. Satya Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.204 of 28.07.2017, Bachhittar Singh Vs. State of

Punjab, bearing No.320 of 28.07.2017, Ajmer Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.336 of 28.07.2017, Gian Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.451 of 25.08.2017, Sher Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.459 of 29.08.2017, Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.298 of 28.07.2017, Jit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.356 of 04.08.2017, Mukhtiar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.293 of 29.07.2017, Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No. 348 of 04.08.2017, Rattan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.444 of 23.08.2017, Kamlesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.478 of 31.08.2017, Prem Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.80 of 01.05.2017, Harbhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.12 of 08.02.2018, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.471 of 31.08.2017, Labh Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.315 of 28.07.2017, Surinder Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.480 of 31.08.2017, Ram Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.292 of 29.07.2017, Bhag Singh @ Bhara Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.211 of 28.07.2017, Bhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.112 of 15.11.2018, Phuman Ram and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.202 of 24.07.2017, Gurdial Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.124 of 04.07.2017, Surmukh Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.482 of 31.08.2017, Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.498 of 31.08.2017, Shri Gurudwara Sahib, bearing No.239 of 28.07.2017, Vishal Kaushal Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.215 of 28.07.2017, Chhaju Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.130

of 04.07.2017, Rajinder Kumar Mittal and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.132 of 04.07.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.360 of 04.08.2017, Raj Kumar Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.457 of 29.08.2017 and Pal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.227 of 29.07.2017 and Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing No. 295/28.7.2017 were consolidated with Land Reference titled as Nirmal Kaur and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.144-2016..

As can be made out from records, State of Punjab wanted to establish I.T City/Knowledge Park at SAS Nagar in accordance with the notified Master Plan of Mohali issued vide Drawing No.DTP (S) 1991/2008 dated 19.11.2008, for which it issued a notification u/s 4 (ibid) on 22.12.2010 and ultimately through Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011, **1908.6605** acres of land situated at villages Dairri, Dhurali, Manakpur Kallar, Bakarpur, Mattran, Seo, Chachu Majra, Rurka, Nogiari, Chao-Majra, Saini Majra and Manauli was issued in which the Collector proposed the compensation @ basic rate of Rs.1,08,45,070/-. After adding 30% solatium, 12% Appreciation Price and 10% non-litigation charges, the total compensation @ Rs. 1,69,40,000/- per acre was proposed. It was further required that all those land owners who would not furnish an affidavit that they would not go in for redetermination of the price would not be paid 10% non-litigation compensation.

Being not satisfied with the above proposed compensation, some of the land owners moved applications under Section 18 of the Act before Land Acquisition Collector Urban Development Department seeking references of the matter to this court for adjudication

so as to determine the actual market rate of the acquired land, upon which all such applications were referred to this court by the LAC.

On moving one such application dated 3.10.2013 by Nirmal Kaur and others Khewatdars of Village Manauli, tehsil and District SAS Nagar Mohali, Department vide memo No.SLO(A-527)2016/6497 dated 19.12.2016 referred the petition for adjudication by averring that 20 Bighas- 19-1/2 Biswas of land belonging to the claimants was acquired vide Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011. Applicants are interested persons. They had submitted application under Section 18 of the Act. By making a reference, information as required under Section 18 of the Act as well as statement under Section 19(1), 19(2), notification u/s 4 of the Act, along with grounds for determination of amount of compensation were dispatched.

Along with above titled reference 'Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab', 121 other land owners moved similar applications/petitions to Land Acquisition Collector which were also referred to this court for being answered and all the said applications/petitions were consolidated with the present petition titled 'Nirmal Kaur and others Vs State of Punjab' as they all have arisen out of the same award and notification. All these petitions pertain to acquisition of land situated at villages Dairi, Dhurali, Manakpur Kallar, Bakarpur, Mattran, Seo, Chachu Majra, Rurka, Nogiari, Chao Majra, Saini Majra and Manauli. I shall endeavor to dispose of all the consolidated petitions along with the main petition titled 'Nirmal Kaur and others Vs State of Punjab'. All the above land references are based upon the same facts. For

the purpose of convenience, facts necessary for disposal of these references are culled from the land reference titled as Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab’.

3. It was averred in the petition that their land comprised in Khasra Nos.1586(37-6), 1676/1332(11-14), situated in Village Manauli, Had Bast No.270, Tehsil and District Mohali has been acquired by the Punjab Government for the public purpose namely for setting up of I.T City in the area of SAS Nagar Mohali. It is further pleaded that the applicant No.1 Nirmal Kaur has taken the Land Pooling Scheme for the land measuring 1 Acre and the present reference is being filed on her behalf qua her remaining land for which she has taken the compensation. It has further been pleaded that the Award in respect of the above mentioned land has been announced by the Land Acquisition Collector, but the applicants/claimants were not present at the time of announcement of the Award, nor they were served with any Notice under Section 12(2) of the Act by the Collector. It is further pleaded that the applicants while calling in the office of the Land Acquisition Collector were asked to sign some papers and were told that unless said papers are not signed, they will not get the compensation. If at all, said papers were got signed by the respondent from the applicants with regard to the non litigation premium without apprising/explaining the contents thereof, the applicants submits that those papers be treated to be cancelled as got signed from them in ignorance and they have to file the appeal for further enhancement of the compensation and if any compensation, of 10% non litigation premium has been paid to the applicants, while getting their signatures on some

papers, not understand/known/explained to the applicants, the same be treated to be cancelled. The office of the Land Acquisition Collector at no point of time intimated the applicants with regard to the contents/number and particulars of the Award, nor they were explained any contents qua 10% amount paid to them behind the curtains. No copy of Award as mandated was supplied by the Collector to the applicants. The applicants came to know with regard to the announcement of the Award only on 29.8.2013, when they visited the office of the Collector and as such the present application is being filed well within the period of limitation from the date of knowledge.

The claimants further pleaded that the amount of compensation awarded/announced by the Land Acquisition Collector in respect of land in question is most inadequate, insufficient and the same is not acceptable to the applicants/claimants. The compensation if any awarded to the applicants, without making them aware about 10% amount is wrong, illegal and against all cannons of law and any instructions taken by the Department by its own cannot stop the poor claimants to avail their remedy which has been provided to them by the Statute i.e the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 and these instructions cannot supersede the foundation of the Statute.

It is further pleaded that the public purpose indicated in the Award itself talks about the potentiality of the land in question, which is apparently fit for residential as well as commercial purposes and the following factors with respect to the location of the land are very

crucial for adjudication of the proper value of the land in question at the relevant time:-

- i). *Because as depicted from the public purpose given in the award itself, which makes it abundantly clear that the land in question is on the road leading from famous Aerocity Mohali to City SAS Nagar Mohali and as such it having potentiality for the purposes of commercial as well as residential, the value of the same is not less than Rs.10 Crores per acre.*
- ii) *Because the acquired land touches/falls very near to the Aerocity Urban Estate Mohali.*
- iii) *Because the land in question is situated just at the distance of about 2-3 Kms. only from the 'Beautiful City', Chandigarh.*
- iv) *Because the land in question is surrounded by already developed Urban Estates of SAS Nagar (Mohali) and further there are number of commercial shops adjacent to the land in question as such, the value of the land in question is much more than awarded by the Collector and not less than Rs.10 crores per acre.*
- v) *Because number of big developers involved in construction and selling of residential flats etc. also falls in the vicinity of the land in question. The land in question is a prime land of already developed Urban Estates of SAS Nagar (Mohali) and near to the said land itself, already lot of developments have come up and number of private companies have built up many storey buildings/flats and they are earning crores of Rupees out of few kanals of land and the said factor is also crucial which throw light on the value/price of the land in question on the relevant time.*

- vi) *Because the land in question has already become part and parcel of the fast developing city Mohali and the same is already extending towards the land in question.*
- vii) *Because the land in question is a prime land in view of its location and falling near the 'Beautiful City' Chandigarh and Zirakpur etc.*
- viii) *Because one important factor which clearly throws light on the potential value of the land is the public purpose itself as reflected from the notification under Section 4 of the ibid Act that the same is required for 'I.T. City/Knowledge Park in the area of SAS Nagar (Mohali)' and keeping in view of the aforesaid purpose itself, nothing much is required to be proved to say that the land in question form part of the SAS Nagar (Mohali), as such, the value as asserted above to the tune of Rs.10 Crores per acre is absolutely with consonance with the value as prevalent today of the land.*

Besides the above mentioned grounds, few claimants of the consolidated land References, had also taken following additional ground :-

- ix) *Because one more important factor which clearly throws light on the potentiality of the land is with regard to increase of rates of plots allotted/being allotted by the GMADA after acquiring the land of the claimants/land owners is that when earlier the land was acquired in the year 2001, the price of plots offered by the PUDA (now GMADA) was fixed at the rate of Rs.3700/- per square yards and thereafter ,the plots were offered by it at t he rate of Rs.12000/- per square yard, when the land was acquired for Aero-City and the rates of plots were fixed by it at t he rate of*

Rs.18000/- per Square yard qua the acquisition of land for the Eco-City, but the price of the land, which is being paid to the applicant/claimant is the rate, which was earlier paid in the year 2004 qua the acquisition of that time. As such, it will be in the interest of justice and acquity, if price of the land should be increased/paid to the claimant keeping in view the rising of prices of the land many-folds and the rates of the plots being offered by GMADA as well as mentioned above.

It is further pleaded that the land which was acquired by the Punjab Government for setting up Sectors 88-89 in the area of Urban Estates of SAS Nagar Mohali in continuation of the notification under Section 4 of the Act dated 19.1.2004, the rate of the land was fixed to the tune of Rs.1,08,45,070/- per acre, but arbitrarily, the Government while acquiring the land in question in the present matter, the relevant date of notification under Section 4 of the Act is 22.12.2010 published on 31.12.2010 i.e after a period of more than six years after the above mentioned notification, has also awarded compensation of the land at the same rate i.e Rs.1,08,45,070/- per acre, whereas the claimants are entitled to get the compensation while keeping in view the gap/appreciation for the period of above mentioned more than six years. It is further pleaded that the land in question acquired vide the notification dated 19.1.2004 referred to above and the present land in question is having same potential value, falls in the same vicinity/area.

Claimants Tarlochan Singh and others have also pleaded in their claim petition bearing Land Reference No.350 dated

4.8.2017 that apart from the land, tubewell (submersible), Kotha, Chabacha and other superstructures and some trees i.e 1 guava, 1 anar, and 2 Dek trees of the applicants have also been acquired and for which, also, the applicants are entitled to get the compensation as per the prevailed market rate. The presence of structure and trees had been admitted by respondents in their written statement and also stands depicted in statement No.19 of the Land Acquisition of Act 1894. Even though stand of the respondent is discussed in detail in subsequent paragraphs, but specific stand on structure and trees by respondents is mentioned along with claim for better clarity.

Claimants Karnail Singh and others have also pleaded in their Land Reference petition bearing No.270 of 29.7.2017 that apart from the land, underground pipelines, Kotha, Motor and about 200 fruit bearing and non fruit bearing trees i.e Jamun, Guava, Shisham, Tut, Kikar, Dek and Neem etc. belonging to the applicants/claimants have also been acquired but they have not been paid any compensation qua their said items and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their said items as per the prevailed market value. Though in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of trees and structure along with land belonging to the present claimants, but in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, it has been shown that along with the land, fruit bearing trees, non-fruit bearing trees along with House/Tubewell/ Pinelkine were also acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Shadi Singh and others have also pleaded in their land Reference petition bearing No. 473 of 31.8.2017 that apart from the land, tubewell (submersible), Kotha, Chabacha and other superstructures etc. and some trees i.e 2 Tut, 1 Popular and 1 Dek trees of the applicants have also been acquired, but they have not been paid any compensation in respect of their acquired trees and tubewell/superstructures etc. and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of the said superstructures/tubewell etc. as well as acquired trees as per the prevailed market rate. Though in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of trees and structure along with land belonging to the present claimants, but in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, it has been shown that along with the land, House/Tubewell/Kotha were also acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Harbhajan Singh and others in their claim petition bearing No. 300 of 28.7.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipelines, Motor Kotha and trees i.e fruit bearing 5 Lemon, 8 Mango, 6 Guava, 10 Jamun and Group of Bananas and non fruit bearing trees i.e 50 Safeda trees and about 50 other trees i.e Shisham, Kikar and Neem etc. belonging to the claimants have also been acquired, but they have not been paid any compensation qua their said items and as such, they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their said items as per the prevailed market value. Though in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of trees and structure along with land belonging to the present

claimants, but in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, it has been shown that along with the land, non-fruit bearing trees were also acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Piara Singh and others in their Land Reference application bearing No.461 of 29.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, house, trees and superstructures etc. as under:-

Sr. No.	Names of Claimants	Description of acquired items
1.	Charan Singh	House 40x36 consisting of Boundary Wall, 4 Rooms, 1 Kitchen, Bath Room, Handpump, Trees 4 Mango, Safeda 7, Dek Trees 10.
2.	Piara Singh	House 20x12 consisting of One Room, 1 Bath Room, Trees 15 Kikar trees, 4 Safeda, 6 Neem trees
3.	Gurmail Singh	10 Neem trees, 15 Kikar trees, 10 Safeda trees, 10 Khajoor Trees
4.	Bahadur Singh	House 20x15 consisting of 1 Room and 1 bathroom, Trees 5 kikar trees, 4 Safeda trees, 16 Dek Trees.

have also been acquired, but they have not been paid the compensation qua their said houses and trees and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their said items as per the prevailed market value and the respondent admitted the claim of structure as per statement No.19. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-103, it has been shown that along with the land house/tubewell/kotha etc. i.e. superstructure belonging to the applicants was also acquired, but the acquisition to trees as claimed by the applicants has not been mentioned in statement u/s 19 of the Act.

Claimant Harjit Singh and others in his Land Reference application No.295 of 28.07.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, 3 submersible tubewell, Kotha, Chabacha and other superstructures etc. and 3 trees Safeda trees of the applicants has also been acquired, but they have not been paid any compensation in respect of their acquired trees and superstructures and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their acquired above mentioned superstructure/tubewells etc. as well as acquired trees as per the prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of trees/superstructures/tubewell on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-123 to Ex.R-123/B, non-fruit bearing trees, house/tubewell/Kotha has also shown to have been acquired by the respondents.

Claimant Mohinder Singh and others in his Land Reference application No.458 of 29.08.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, 2 Mango trees, tubewell, bore and khadda etc. of the applicants has also been acquired, but they have not been paid any compensation in respect of their above referred acquired items and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above acquired items as per the prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of trees/pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 of the Act. However, it is pertinent to mention here that in the statement u/s 19 of the Act, Ex.R78 and

Ex.R78/A available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants, nothing else was acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Balwinder Singh and others in their Land Reference application bearing No.267 of 29.7.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline belonging to the claimants have also been acquired and for which also the applicants are entitled to get the compensation as per the prevailed market value, which has been denied by respondents in their written statement and moreover the acquisition of underground pipe line has not been reflected in statement under Section 19 of the Act. Whereas, as per the Statement under Section 19 of the Act, along with the land, trees have also been shown to be acquired by the State of Punjab, but claimants have not claimed any enhanced compensation of the acquired trees.

Claimants Gurdev Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.484 of 31.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, bore/tubewell and 20 safeda trees etc. of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19, but in statement No.19 of the Act, only tubewell and structure has been depicted as Ex.R19 and Ex.R19/A.

Claimants Gurmail Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.110 of 30.10.2018 have also pleaded that apart

from the land, underground pipeline, 2 kothas and trees etc. i.e. 3 jamun, 4 guava and 2 lemon trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In their written statement, the respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees, pipeline, kotha and tubewell, on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement under Section 19 of the Act as Ex.R23/B and Ex.R23/C.

Claimants Karnail Kaur and others in their Land Reference application No.95 of 04.09.2018 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipeline as well as 3 Dek trees, 2 Anar and 1 Kikar tree of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents in their written statement had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline and trees on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R112/C. However, perusal of the statement under Section 19 of the Act shows that trees have not been acquired.

Claimants Bahadur Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.445 of 23.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipeline of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted

the presence of acquired structure/Tubewell on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R128/B.

Claimants Randhir Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.324 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, pipeline set up in 3 acres of the land of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R74/C.

Claimants Charanjit Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.299 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipeline as well as acquired trees i.e. 5 Dek trees, 7 Shisham, 2 Tut, 4 Neem and 3 Kikar trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline and non fruit bearing trees on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R82, Ex.R82/A to Ex.R82/B.

Claimants Jora Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.240 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired Tut tree of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred

item, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2, it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired trees of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act Ex. R-83. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act as Ex.R-83, available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Jora Singh and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimant Bhupinder Singh in his Land Reference application No.316 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline and tut trees of the applicant has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of his above referred item, as per his prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline and trees on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R57, Ex.R57/A to Ex.57/C.

Claimant Sucha Singh in his Land Reference application No.402 of 19.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired 4 Janum trees of the applicant has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of his above referred item, as per his prevailed market value. In the written statement, respondents have submitted that the State of Punjab has acquired structure and trees as per the statement under Section 19 of the Act, but perusal of the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-93 shows that except the land belonging to claimant

Sucha Singh, nothing else like trees have been acquired by the State of Punjab.

Claimants Sucha Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.286 of 29.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline, 20 kiker trees and 2 Safeda trees etc. of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R62, Ex.R62/A and Ex.62/B. It is pertinent to mention that vide order dated 31.8.2018 passed by this Court, Randhir Singh son of Sucha Singh was impleaded as claimant No.6 on the basis of the application moved by him. Though in statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-62 to Ex.R62/B, name of claimant No.6 Randhir Singh has not been mentioned, but along with the application moved by him to implead him as claimant No.6 being co-sharer along with other claimants, he had also produced on record a copy of fard jamabandi for the year 2012-2013, in which he has been shown to the co-sharer to the extent of 105/772 share in the acquired land and a mutation No.305/24 in this regard has also been shown to be entered in this Jamabandi in favour of Punjab State Urban Development Department.

Claimants Kuldip Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.467 of 31.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired 3 underground pipelines and 4 mango trees etc. of the

applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees and pipelines on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R18, Ex.R18/A to Ex.18/F.

Claimants Jagir Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.93 of 08.08.2018 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired trees i.e. 4 Kikar and 3 Shisham trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. Though in their written statement, the respondents had admitted the presence of acquired structure and trees on acquired land, but perusal of the statements under section 19 of the Act Ex.R102, Ex.R102/A to Ex.102/C shows that except the land, nothing else like trees or structure, were acquired by the State of Punjab.

Claimant Harbhajan Singh in his Land Reference application No.401 of 19.08.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline, tubewell and kotha etc. of the applicant has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of his above referred items, as per the prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired structure/pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R89/D.

Claimants Arjan Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.66 of 21.04.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline and 4 Deks of applicant Arjan Singh, 1 Mango, 2 Dek, 1 Guava, 2 Safeda trees of Piara Singh, 2 Shisham of Naranjan Singh, 2 Shisham of Mohan Singh and 1 Anar and 2 Dek trees of Mandeep Singh and 3 Safeda, 2 Guava and 2 Lemon trees, 1 pipal trees belonging to the applicant Kuldip Kaur, 2 safeda trees belonging to Harjinder Kaur have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees and pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R91, Ex.R91/A to Ex.91/L.

Claimants Tarlochan Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.411 of 21.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired trees i.e. 153 safeda trees, 2 Neem trees and 25 Kikar Trees belonging to the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of trees along with land belonging to the present claimants and moreover, as per the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R53 available on the file, it has been shown that except the land belonging to the claimants, nothing else was acquired by the respondents.

Claimant Jagtar Singh in his Land Reference application No.258 of 28.07.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of his above referred items, as per the prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.118/B.

Claimants Buta Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.399 of 19.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired Superstructure, Submersible pump and other items i.e. Cattle Shed, Turi Store, Room, Tractor shed, Cattle room, Boundary Wall and 21 trees i.e. Jamnu, Beri, Amrood, Mango, Lemon, Kinno and Dek etc. belonging to the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement, though respondent no.2 has submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired trees as per statement u/s 19 of the Act, but perusal of the statement u/s 19 of the Act as Ex.R115, Ex.R115/A to Ex.R115/E, goes to show that the along with the land, one Hall, 2 rooms, 3 sheds, 1 Khurli, 1 chabacha as well as non-fruit bearing trees belonging to the claimants Buta Singh and others, were also acquired.

Claimants Mohinder Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.217 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart

from the land, acquired trees i.e. 8 Mango trees belonging to the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. Though in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of trees along with land belonging to the present claimants, but in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.29 and Ex.P29/A available on the file, it has been shown that along with the land, fruit bearing trees were also acquired by the respondents.

Claimant Bhupinder Kaur in her Land Reference application No.456 of 29.08.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired bore and trees etc. has also been acquired, for which, she has not been paid any compensation and as such she is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of her above referred items, as per the prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any structure and trees as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file Ex.R-14, except the land belonging to the claimants Bhupinder Kaur and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimant Amarjit Singh in his Land Reference application No.485 of 31.08.2017 has also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the

compensation in respect of his above referred items, as per the prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R15/B.

Claimants Balbir Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.274 of 29.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline and different types of trees 30 in number i.e. Kikar, Shisham, Safeda and Popular trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any pipeline and trees of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act as Ex.R64, available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Balbir Singh and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Mewa Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.314 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired trees, superstructures and underground pipelines etc. as under:-

Sr. No.	Names of Claimants	Description of acquired items
1.	Mewa Singh son of Babu Singh	8 Rooms, Bath Room, Boundary Wall, Kitchen, Toilet, Submersible Tubewell, underground pipeline and trees i.e. 1 Mango, 1 jamun, 1 Guava, Dek and other trees etc.

2.	Lakhwinder Singh, Gurdip Singh sons of Sadhu Singh,	3 Rooms, 1 Shed, Bath Room, one side boundary, submersible pump, underground pipeline and trees i.e. 1 Guava and 5 non fruit bearing trees.
----	---	--

have also been acquired for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees and pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R117, Ex.R117/A to Ex.117/H.

Claimants Nachattar Kaur and others in their Land Reference application No.443 of 31.08.2017 have also claimed enhancement of compensation qua underground pipeline by pleading that claimants had already developed the land in question for the purpose to earn their livelihood and also installed the tubewell for the irrigation purpose. The landowners were growing the commercial crops i.e. Cabbage, Potato, Peas, Beans, Cauli Flower, Onion etc. in their fields and sold the crops in the markets. This aspect has not been considered by the Land Acquisition Collector while awarding the compensation. The land in question is being used for the commercial and agricultural purposes. There are numbers of nurseries, Dairy farming and commercial shops which are having the potential value to the acquire land. In the written statement, respondents have denied the acquisition of any pipeline along with the acquired land and even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-32, pipeline has not been shown to be acquired along with the acquired land.

In the above titled land reference Nachattar Kaur and others have also claimed the compensation as severance allowance by submitting that due to the acquisition of the land by the respondents the remaining land of the applicants have been severed into pieces on both sides but the respondents have not paid even a single penny on that account of severance allowance. The remaining land of the applicants have become useless, un-economic, uncultivable, un-irrigable and inaccessible. They are entitled to get the compensation to the tune of 100% on account of severance allowances.

Claimants Karam Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.68 of 31.05.2018 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline installed within 7 acres of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. In their written statement, the respondents had denied the existence of pipeline on the acquired land of the claimants and even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act, no pipeline has been shown to be existed on the land of the claimants acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Ajmer Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.336 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline and trees i.e. 3 mango trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed

market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired trees and pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 Ex.R122, Ex.R122/A to Ex.122/C.

Claimants Sher Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.459 of 29.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired trees i.e. 6 Shisam trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2, it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any trees of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Sher Singh and others, nothing else like trees has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Jit Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.356 of 04.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired 2 tubewells (submersible), kotha, khada, chabacha and other superstructures etc. and acquired trees as well i.e. 2 safeda trees, 3 pilkhan and 2 dek trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of acquired tubewell/structure on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R114/B.

Claimants Mukhtiar Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.293 of 29.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any pipeline of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Mukhtiar Singh and others, nothing else like pipeline has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Rattan Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.444 of 23.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired 1 Dek tree of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any structure of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act, but has neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of one Dek tree along with the land belonging to the claimants. However in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Rattan Singh and others, nothing else like Dek tree has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Harbhajan Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.12 of 08.02.2018 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipeline of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. The respondents had admitted the presence of pipeline on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R67/E.

Claimants Baldev Singh in his Land Reference application No.506 of 12.9.2017 has also pleaded that in the acquired land, petitioner had constructed a house in the year 2008 consisting of 4 rooms, one Verandah with lintel, latrine/bath and kitchen and '16 Khans' for cattle shed with batons and girders along with 65' manager for the cattle. The petitioner has also constructed the boundary wall around the entire area, which was 5' in height and was having water and electricity connections in the said house. The petitioner has also installed a big iron gate and all the doors were having double pallah and the iron grills were also affixed. The entire construction was 'A' class and the petitioner had spent about 40 lacs on the said construction. He further submitted that the award given in this regard is inadequate and inadequate compensation has been paid to the petitioner till date in respect of the super structure raised by him. He further submitted that the respondents have paid a meager amount of compensation to the petitioner for his acquired superstructure and he claimed Rs.50 lacs for the acquired house. The respondents had admitted

the presence of superstructure/house on acquired land to the extent it is reflected in statement No.19 as Ex.R73, Ex.R73/A and Ex.R73/B.

Claimants Balbir Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.471 of 31.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired trees, underground pipeline and superstructures etc. i.e. 4 Hall Rooms. 8 Rooms, 1 Verandah and trees i.e. 10 Jamun trees, 15 Beris, 30 Neem trees and 40 Kikar trees of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred item, as per their prevailed market value. However, in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of above referred superstructures and trees along with land belonging to the present claimants, whereas in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available the file as Ex.R-116, Ex.R-116/A to Ex.R-116/E, it has been shown that along with the land belonging to the claimants, non fruit bearing trees and pipeline were also acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Bhag Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.211 of 28.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, some rooms and superstructures etc. belonging to the applicant No.1 Bhag Singh and the applicant no.4 Jarnail Singh i.e. 14 Rooms, submersible pumps, Hand Pump, 2 Bath Rooms, 2 Latrins, Khurlies, Boundary Wall and 2 Gates etc. have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their

prevailed market value. However, in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of rooms and other superstructures etc. along with land belonging to the present claimants and even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, it has been shown that along with the land, nothing else was acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Bhajan Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.112 of 15.11.2018 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipelines of the applicants has also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. However, in the written statement, respondents have denied the acquisition of any pipeline along with land belonging to the present claimants. Whereas in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-16/B on the file, it has been shown by the respondents that along with the land belonging to the claimants Bhajan Singh etc., respondents had also acquired one *Pipal* tree, but the claimants have not claimed any enhanced compensation of the said tree. However the claimants had claimed compensation with regard to the acquisition of pipeline, which has not been shown to be acquired by the respondents in the statement under Section 19 of the Act.

Claimant Pal Singh in his Land Reference application No.227 of 29.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired underground pipeline and trees i.e. 50 Neem trees and 300 Shisham trees of the applicant has also been acquired, for which, he has not been paid

any compensation and as such he is also entitled to get the compensation in respect of his above referred items, as per the prevailed market value. However, in the written statement, respondents have neither denied nor admitted the acquisition of pipeline and trees along with land belonging to the present claimant, whereas in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R-47/A, it has been shown by the respondents that along with the land belonging to claimant Pal Singh, non-fruit bearing trees were also acquired by the respondents, but the pipeline has not been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Balbir Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.360 of 04.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, submersible tubewell, Kotha, Chabacha and other superstructures etc. of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the State of Punjab has never acquired any structure of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Balbir Singh and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Harjit Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.498 of 31.08.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, acquired submersible tubewell, kotha, chabacha and other superstructures etc. of the applicants have also been acquired, for which,

they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2 it has been submitted that the state of Punjab has never acquired any structure of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Balbir Singh and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

Claimants Gurdial Singh and others in their Land Reference application No.124 of 04.07.2017 have also pleaded that apart from the land, underground pipeline of the applicants have also been acquired, for which, they have not been paid any compensation and as such they are also entitled to get the compensation in respect of their above referred items, as per their prevailed market value. In the written statement filed by respondent no.2, it has been submitted that the State of Punjab has never acquired any pipeline of the claimants as per the statement u/s 19 of the Act. Even in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file, except the land belonging to the claimants Gurdial Singh and others, nothing else has been shown to be acquired by the respondents.

4. When a notice was issued, respondent No.2 GMADA appeared and filed written statement taking preliminary objections regarding reference application being time barred not maintainable, award being well reasoned, and on merits it was replied that sufficient and adequate compensation has been awarded to the land owners including the present claimants, after assessment of the acquired land according to the

market value prevalent at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 of the Act. All the relevant factors were duly considered by the Land Acquisition Collector while passing the Award in question. Distance of the acquired land mentioned from the various land marks by the claimants is not correct. Moreover, these factors do not contribute the enhancement of compensation. It has been denied that the value of the land in question is much more than the awarded one or that the applicants have any reason to be dissatisfied with the award passed by the Land Acquisition Collector under Section 11 of the Act. It has also been denied that the applicants are entitled to claim anything more than what has already been awarded to them much less a compensation to the tune of Rs.10 Crores per acre. It has been further submitted that all the benefits under law available to the applicants have been fully paid as per the applicable provisions of the Act. It is further submitted that in respect of the land acquired for Sectors 88-89, though notification under Section 4 was issued on 19.1.2004, but as the acquisition of the said land was stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and Awards could be announced on 15.1.2011, when the market value of the acquired land had increased, so keeping in view the said factors, the compensation awarded by the Government was at par with other acquisitions made during that relevant period. However this factor does not contribute for enhancement of compensation of the land in question. A prayer has been made that the reference may be declined.

Respondent No.1 had not filed any separate written statement, but had adopted the written statement filed by respondent No.2.

5. Rejoinder was not filed. From the pleadings of parties, following issues were framed :-

1. What was the market value of the acquired land at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act?OPA
2. Whether the claimant is entitled to enhancement of the compensation, if so, to what extent?OPA
3. Whether the present land reference/claim petition is within limitation?OPA
4. Whether this application is not maintainable?OPR
5. Relief.

6. While consolidating the above referred 121 land references vide separate orders passed in the files of the said Land References with the land reference titled as Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others bearing CIS No.155 of 2016, it was also observed that the evidence would be recorded in the land reference titled as Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.155-2016, but would be read over to dispose of all the above referred connected land references as well.

All the consolidated land references are being answered on the basis of evidence led by the parties in the present land reference titled as Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others bearing CIS No.155-2016 as well as the evidence if any led by the parties in the consolidated land references prior to their consolidation.

In order to prove the above issues, claimant No.3 Jaswinder Singh of Land Reference titled as Nirmal Kaur etc. Vs. State of

Punjab and others bearing CIS No.155-2016 himself stepped into the witness box as PW1 and he reiterated the version as made in the claim petition. Claimants further examined Jatinder Singh Nambardar of Village Manauli as PW2. Claimant No.1 Kuldeep Singh of claim petition titled as Kuldeep Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.479-2017 appeared as his own witness as PW3. Amarjit Singh claimant of claim petition bearing CIS No.458 of 2017 appeared as PW4. Surinder Kumar claimant No.1 of claim petition titled as Surinder Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 464-2017 appeared as PW5. Ranjit Singh claimant of claim petition titled as Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 331-2017 appeared as PW6. Mewa Singh claimant No.3 of claim petition titled as Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 344-2017 appeared as PW7. Jarnail Singh claimant No.2 of claim petition titled as Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 469-2017 appeared as PW8. Gurdeep Singh claimant No.6 of claim petition titled as Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 93-2018 appeared as PW9. Rajinder Singh claimant No.2 of claim petition titled as Shadi Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 470-2017 appeared as PW10. Jaswant Kaur claimant No.1 of claim petition titled as Jaswant Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 476-2017 appeared as PW11. Surjit Singh claimant No.3 of claim petition titled as Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 466-2017 appeared as PW12. It is pertinent to mention here that Sr. No.13 has not been appended to any of the witness. Balbir Singh claimant No.1 of claim petition titled as

Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 468-2017 appeared as PW14. Randhir Singh claimant No.1 of claim petition titled as Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 318-2017 appeared as PW15. Jarnail Singh claimant of claim petition titled as Jarnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.477 -2017 appeared as PW16. Karnail Singh claimant of claim petition titled as Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.323-2017 appeared as PW17. Naresh Kumar claimant No.2 of claim petition titled as Kamlesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 465-2017 appeared as PW18. Harjit Singh claimant No.1 of claim petition titled as Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.486-2017 appeared as PW19. Labh Singh claimant No.2 of claim petition titled as Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No. 486-2017 appeared as PW20. Further the claimants of Claim petition titled as Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS no. 486-2017, have examined Amar Singh Draftsman as PW21. Thereafter learned Counsel for the claimants had tendered into evidence copies of documents as Ex.P1 to Ex.P5 and closed the evidence of the petitioners.

In rebuttal evidence, learned Counsel for the claimants had tendered into evidence copies of documents as Ex.P6 to Ex.P9.

It is pertinent to mention that besides the above referred evidence led by the claimants of different claim petitions in Claim petition/Land Reference titled as Nirmal kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab and others bearing CIS No. 155-2016, claimants of other

consolidated land references had also led evidence before their consolidation, detail of which is mentioned in the following table :-

Title of Land Reference petition	CIS No.	Name of witness	PW Number	Nature of evidence
Balbir Singh Vs. State of Punjab	359-2017	Balbir Singh	PW1	Claimant
Pal Singh Vs. State of Punjab	335-2017	Pal Singh	PW1	Claimant
Bhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	112-2018	Bhajan Singh	PW1	Claimant
Bhag Singh Vs. State of Punjab	263-2017	Naib Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	12-2018	Harbhajan Singh	PW1	Claimant
Rattan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	444-2017	Raghbir Singh Rattan Singh	PW1 PW2	Nambardar Claimant
Mukhtiar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	300-2017	Mukhtiar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Jit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	346-2017	Mohinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
Sher Singh Vs. State of Punjab	448-2017	Sher Singh	PW1	Claimant
Ajmer Singh Vs. State of Punjab	316-2017	Jaswinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
Karam Singh Vs. State of Punjab	68-2018	Dharam Singh	PW1	Claimant
Labh Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	248-2017	Labh Kaur	PW1	Claimant
Ram Singh Vs. State of Punjab	319-2017	Ram Singh	PW1	Claimant
Kesar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	334-2017	Kesar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Satya Vs. State of Punjab	230-2017	Satya	PW1	Claimant
Bachittar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	258-2017	Bachittar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Gian Singh Vs.	441-	Raghbir Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2

State of Punjab	2017			
Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	216-2017	Bharpur Singh	PW1	Attorney of Claimant Bhupinder Kaur
Prem Singh Vs. State of Punjab	77-2017	Prem Singh	PW1	Claimant
Phuman Singh Vs. State of Punjab	199-2017	Sohni Ram	PW1	Claimant No.2
Surmukh Singh Vs. State of Punjab	460-2017	Jagtar Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Shri Gurudwara Sahib Vs. State of Punjab	238-2017	Harjit Singh	PW1	President of Claimant Shri Gurudwara Sahib
Vishal Kaushal Vs. State of Punjab	215-2017	Vishal Kaushal	PW1	Claimant
Chajju Singh Vs. State of Punjab	127-2017	Chajju Singh	PW1	Claimant
Rajinder Kumar Vs. State of Punjab	122-2017	Rajinder Kumar Mittal	PW1	Claimant
Raj Kumar Vs. State of Punjab	450-2017	Raj Kumar	PW1	Claimant
Malkiat Singh Vs. State of Punjab	480-2017	Malkiat Singh	PW1	Claimant
Jaswinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	418-2017	Sarabjit Kaur	PW1	Legal heir of Claimant
Gurdial Singh Vs. State of Punjab	461-2017	Gurdial Singh alias Dial Singh	PW1	Claimant
Avtar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	231-2017	Avtar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	398-2017	Mohinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab	520-2017	Jaswinder Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab	91-2018	Karnail Singh	PW1	Claimant

Ajaib Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	353-2017	Ajaib Kaur	PW1	Claimant
Bal Krishan goel Vs. State of Punjab	93-2017	Bal Krishan Goyal	PW1	Claimant
Buta Singh Vs. State of Punjab	394-2017	Sarabjit Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Dharam Singh Vs. State of Punjab	247-2017	Dharam Singh	PW1	Claimant
Gurbachan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	92-2017	Gurbachan Singh	PW1	Claimant
Ajmer Singh Vs. State of Punjab	218-2017	Hans Raj	PW1	Claimant
Rupinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	303-2017	Jagdeep Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Harnek Singh Vs. State of Punjab	264-2017	Harnek Singh	PW1	Claimant
Rattan Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	320-2017	Gurdeep Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Krishan Kumar Vs. State of Punjab	259-2017	Sham Lal	PW1	Claimant No.2
Amrik Singh Vs. State of Punjab	256-2017	Amrik Singh	PW1	Claimant
Amar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	397-2017	Gian Singh Jagdish Singh	PW1 PW2	Claimant No.2 Nambardar
Gurdev Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	317-2017	Kulwant Singh Jatinder Singh	PW1 PW2	Claimant No.2 Nambardar
Satinder singh Vs. State of Punjab	298-2017	Gurbachan Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Ram Singh Vs. State of Punjab	92-2018	Ram Singh	PW1	Claimant
Arjan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	63-2017	Mandeep Singh	PW1	Claimant No.6
Malkiat Singh Vs. State of Punjab	333-2017	Malkiat Singh	PW1	Claimant
Sanjiv Kumar Vs. State of Punjab	351-2017	Rajiv Aggarwal	PW1	Claimant No.2

Noarata Ram Vs. State of Punjab	257-2017	Gurnam Singh	PW1	Claimant No.6
Jatinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	255-2017	Jatinder singh	PW1	Claimant
Jaspal Singh Vs. State of Punjab	243-2017	Bant Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Gurdev singh Vs. State of Punjab	360-2017	Gurdev Singh	PW1	Claimant
Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab	437-2017	Karnail Singh	PW1	Claimant
Amar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	449-2017	Amar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Harpal Singh Vs. State of Punjab	301-2017	Harpal Singh	PW1	Claimant
Tarlochan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	419-2017	Kuljinder Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Jagtar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	280-2017	Jagtar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	252-2017	Mohinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
Balbir Singh Vs. State of Punjab	296-2017	Balbir Singh	PW1	Claimant
Mewa Singh Vs. State of Punjab	242-2017	Gurdip Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Balwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab	302-2017	Rajinder Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3 (Same witness examined twice)
Gurdev Singh Vs. State of Punjab	459-2017	Surinder pal Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Gurmail Singh Vs. State of Punjab	109-2018	Ranjit Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Karnail Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	95-2018	Bhupinder Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Bahadur Singh Vs. State of Punjab	443-2017	Bahadur Singh	PW1	Claimant No.1
Randhir Singh Vs. State of Punjab	250-2017	Randhir Singh	PW1	Claimant

Punjab				
Charanjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	254-2017	Charanjit Singh	PW1	Claimant
Jora Singh Vs. State of Punjab	265-2017	Jora Singh	PW1	Claimant
Bhupinder singh Vs. State of Punjab	253-2017	Bhupinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
Sucha Singh vs. State of Punjab	395-2017	Sucha Singh	PW1	Claimant
Sucha Singh Vs. State of Punjab	299-2017	Sucha Singh	PW1	Claimant
Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	392-2017	Harbhajan Singh Jagdish Singh	PW1 PW2	Claimant Nambardar
Gurdial Singh Vs. State of Punjab	129-2017	Avtar Singh	PW1	Claimant No.2
Baldev Singh Vs. State of Punjab	496-2017	Baldev Singh Gian Chand	PW1 PW2	Claimant Civil Draftsman regarding assessment of acquired structure/house .
Jaswant Singh Vs. State of Punjab	209-2017	Bhagwant Kaur	PW1	Claimant No.2
Balwant Singh Vs. State of Punjab	396-2017	Balwant Singh	PW1	Claimant
Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	417-2017	Surjit Singh	PW1	Claimant
Kulwant Singh Vs. State of Punjab	239-2017	Kulwant Singh	PW1	Claimant
Avtar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	283-2017	Avtar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Amrit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	262-2017	Amrit Singh	PW1	Claimant
Tarlochan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	244-2017	Surjeet Kaur	PW1	Claimant No.2

Ravi Nandan Vs. State of Punjab	261-2017	Ravi Nandan	PW1	Claimant
Gurudwara Sahib Vs. State of Punjab	266-2017	Pal Singh	PW1	President of Claimant Gurudwara Sahib
Bhushan Kumar Vs. State of Punjab	241-2017	Jiwan Kumar	PW1	Claimant No.2
Gurudwara Sahib Vs. State of Punjab	238-2017	Harjit Singh	PW1	President of Claimant Gurudwara Sahib
Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	216-2017	Bharpur Singh	PW1	Attorney of claimant Bhupinder Kaur
Jagir Singh Vs. State of Punjab	535-2017	Jagir Singh	PW1	Claimant
Tarlochan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	348-2017	Tarlochan Singh	PW1	Claimant
Balwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	290-2017	Amarjit Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab	305-2017	Karnail Singh	PW1	Claimant
Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab	240-2017	Harbhajan Singh	PW1	Claimant
Piara Singh Vs. State of Punjab	447-2017	Gurmail Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3
Harjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	227-2017	Amar Singh	PW1	Civil Draftsman regarding assessment of structure and tubewell
		Harjit Singh	PW2	Claimant No.1
Bhag Singh Vs. State of Punjab	281-2017	Bhag Singh	PW1	Claimant
Avtar Singh vs.	113-	Avtar Singh	PW1	Claimant

State of Punjab	2018			
Nachattar Singh Vs. State of Punjab	462-2017	Nachattar Singh	PW1	Claimant
Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	393-2017	Mohinder Singh	PW1	Claimant
		Jagdish Singh	PW2	Nambardar
Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab	467-2017	Ranjit Singh	PW1	Claimant
Satinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab	332-2017	Avtar Singh	PW1	Power of attorney holder of Claimant
Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab	451-2017	Dhanna Singh	PW1	Claimant No.3

7. On the other hand, in defence, respondents had examined Patwari Satpal Singh as RW1 and he proved the copy of Award No.527 as Ex.R1, statement under Section 19 of the Act with regard to the acquired land of claimants Nirmal Kaur etc. as Ex.R2. Respondents further examined Harmesh Kumar as RW2 and had proved the copy of undertaking of claimant Nirmal Kaur as Ex.R3, copy of LA-4 as Ex.R4, Ex.R5. Respondents had further examined Girdhar Gopal as RW3 and has proved the copy of the list of time barred cases as Ex.R3/1. Shri P.K Saini Advocate, learned Counsel for respondent No.2 had tendered copies of sale deeds as Ex.R6 to Ex.R9 and had closed his evidence. Shri Ashok Sharma Advocate, Shri Pankaj Sikka Advocate, Shri Maninder Badwal Advocate, Shri R.C Garg Advocate had tendered copies of the statements of under Section 19 of the Act as Ex.R10 to Ex.R128/B, as well as copies of affidavits/undertaking, copies of assessment reports regarding structure/tubewells as Ex.R129 to Ex.R257/D, as well as copy

of judgment dated 14.9.2015 as Ex.R254 and had closed their evidence. Learned Govt. Pleader appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 had also closed his evidence.

8. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties. The issue wise findings are as follows:-

ISSUE NO.1, 2 and 4

9. As all these issues are inter-connected, hence are being taken together for common discussion and decision. Onus to prove issues No.1 and 2 was upon the claimants, whereas that of issue No.4 was upon the respondents.

Admittedly land belonging to the claimants mentioned in the above mentioned land references was acquired for setting up of I.T City in the area of SAS Nagar Mohali. After its acquisition, Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011 was passed by Ms. Hargunjeet Kaur, Land Acquisition Collector, Urban Development Department, Punjab whereby the compensation at the consolidated rate of 1,69,40,000 per acre was proposed which included a provision of 30% solatium, 12% A.P (appreciation price) and 10% non-litigation compensation in case land owners prefers not to file court cases and if the claimants do not prefer to accept the offer of the respondents with regard not to file litigation, they are not entitled for 10% non-litigation compensation.

The said compensation was accepted under protest as the compensation was allegedly much below the market rate. Learned counsel stressed that initially the notification under Section 4 of the Act was issued on 19.1.2004 and at that time amount of compensation was fixed @ Rs.1,08,45,070/- per acre, but now at the time of passing

award, same amount has been awarded, though in the meantime prices of real estate had escalated but a mechanical order was passed without taking into account the prevailing market rate and appreciation of land prices during the intervening period.

A notification u/s 4 of the Act to acquire this land was published in the Extraordinary Gazette on 22.12.2010. Perusal of the Award reveals that for public purposes a total of 1908.6605 acres of land situated in villages Darri, Dhurali, Manakpur Kalran, Bakarpur, Matran, Seo, Chachu Majra, Rurka Nogiari, Chao Majra, Saini Majra and Manoli was acquired at a uniform rate of Rs.1,69,40,000/- (with a basic price of ₹ 10845070/-) which included 30% solatium, 12% appreciation price (AP) and 10% non-litigation compensation. In the remarks' column of the Award, it was mentioned that land owners who would not furnish an affidavit assuring that they would go in for litigation, would not be paid 10% of the non-litigation compensation, meaning thereby that land owners who would furnish an affidavit that they would not go in for litigation challenging the Award would be paid 10% non-litigation compensation extra.

It is an other-wise covered matter but in this case the above said aspect requires elaborate discussion as it has vehemently been pleaded and urged that once the claimants furnished such an affidavit, they were no more entitled to litigate. They received the compensation without protest and as such they could not have brought this petition under section 18 (ibid).

10. So far as the issue regarding payment of 10% non-litigation charges paid by GMADA on the undertaking given by the claimants that they will not institute further litigation for enhancement of compensation is concerned, it is pertinent to mention that such an undertaking in the main case titled as Nirmal Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab is Ex.R3. The claimants in this regard had submitted that GMADA officials had got the papers signed from them without explaining contents thereof. None of the official had intimated them with regard to the particulars of the Award nor regarding 10% amount being paid to them not to litigate further. They had further pleaded that it can not stop them to avail their remedy provided under the Statute, whereas respondents had submitted that sufficient and adequate compensation has been awarded to the claimants/landowners. Contentions raised by the claimants have been denied, thus no specific denial have been made to the averments of the claimants, whereas Harmesh Kumar, Sr Assistant from the office of GMADA while deposing as RW2 had stated that the claimants had given undertaking for not filing the litigation for enhancement of the compensation and received the non litigation premium, which is extra than the awarded amount of compensation for the acquired land, thus they are not entitled to further enhancement as already they had received 10% more compensation. In cross examination, he submitted that award was not announced in his presence and even payment was not made in his presence. He submitted that claimant Nirmal Kaur had signed the undertaking in the presence of Nambardar Didar Singh. Further Girdhar Gopal, Sr. Assistant of the office of

GMADA was examined as RW3. He also stated that the claimants had already received compensation amount along with non-litigation premium and had also consented to receive this 10% amount as per terms of award and had submitted an undertaking that they will not seek enhancement by filing reference in the Court. He submitted that the landlords had voluntarily accepted the amount of compensation along with 10% non-litigation premium without any protest and as such they are estopped by their own act and conduct from filing the present reference, but in cross examination, he also agreed that he was not posted when award was made. He was unable to tell that the contents of the award were read over to the claimants and copy was given to them. He was unable to tell about the policy of non-litigation premium, thus none of the respondent's witnesses were present when award was announced and payment was made to the claimants. It is pertinent to mention that the Land Acquisition Act 1894 do not provide for any provision regarding payment of non-litigation premium, rather the Act provides for remedy to the landowners to make reference to the Court for determination regarding the amount of compensation. Thus, statute do not provide for payment of non-litigation premium. Moreover perusal of Ex.R3 undertaking given by Nirmal Kaur in the main case shows that it has only been signed by Nirmal Kaur and this undertaking bears the name of Nirmal Kaur, but other columns have been left vacant, which shows that they have been filled up in hurry and it do not disclose about the scheme under which this undertaking has been taken, what is award number, what is amount of compensation, what is rate of the acquired land, thus such an undertaking can not be termed as

“Affidavit” being incomplete document. “Affidavit” need to be affirmation on oath in writing, taken before an officer having authority to administer oath and as per Section 139 CPC, oath may be administered to attest affidavit by any Court or Magistrate or any Notary appointed under Notaries Act 1952 or any officer so appointed by the High Court or the State Govt. But here, perusal of Ex.R3 shows that it has not been attested by any Authority, rather it is a simple photo copy having blank columns and without any attestation, therefore such an undertaking can not be taken into account to curtail rights of the claimants and perusal of Ex.R3 also corroborates the statement of the claimants that their signatures have been obtained on some documents without explaining them the contents of those documents. Thus, Ex.R3 is not an undertaking given by the claimant, which is not having any binding effect on the claimants. Similar undertakings of other claimants have been brought on record by respondents where again columns have been left blank, thus in above discussed chronology, such an undertaking do not effect the right of the claimants to seek legal remedy for enhancement of their compensation.

Moreover perusal of Ex.R2 notification dated 22.12.2010 under Section 4(1) of the Act issued by Government for acquisition of the land do not provide for any payment of non litigation premium. Whereas Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011 Ex.R1 provides Collector rate of the land, land pooling scheme, structure, crops, apportionment and had awarded basic rate of compensation per acre as Rs.1,08,45,070/- and 30% Solatium, 12% A.P and further made provisions for 10% non-litigation premium to be paid to the land owners,

who had given undertaking not to file further litigation for enhancement of the compensation. However under which provision this 10% non-litigation premium has been paid, has not been provided, what is the policy of the Government in this regard has also not been placed on record. It is not understandable as to how Collector at the time of passing of Award has granted this 10% non litigation premium.

It need mention here that Section 11 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 provides for enquiry and award by Collector, where he has to make enquiry into the objections, which any person interested has stated pursuant to a notice given under Section 9 to the measurements made under Section 8, and into the value of the land at the date of the publication of the notification under Section 4, sub-section (1) and into the respective interest of the persons claiming the compensation and shall make an award under his hand of the true area of the land, the compensation which in his opinion should be allowed and apportioned and he can make award with previous approval of the appropriate Government. Section 11 further provides that if at any stage of proceedings Collector is satisfied that all the persons interesting in the land, who appeared before him had agreed in writing on the matters to be included in the award of the Collector in the form prescribed by rules made by the appropriate Government, he may without making further enquiry, make an award according to the terms of such agreement and such determination shall not effect the determiantion of the compensation in respect of other land in the same locality and such agreement shall not be liable to be registered under the Registration Act, 1908. Thus, apart

from making enquiry and award for the true area, compensation and apportionment, Collector is competent to make an award if persons interested before him have agreed in writing on the matters to be included in the award. But no such agreement has been brought on record by the respondents to show that the persons interested have agreed for 10% non litigation premium and moreover such an agreement has to be made before passing of the award by the Collector and not afterwards, but here the undertaking Ex.R3 has been executed after passing of the award, as award Ex.R1 had specifically provided 10% non litigation premium to be paid to the persons, who had executed affidavit in this regard and this amount is not paid to the landowners who had not given an affidavit. Thus the provisions of 10% non litigation premium made in the award Ex.R1 is beyond powers of the Collector provided under Section 11 of the Act and the same is not sustainable.

Now payment of non litigation premium is to be perused from another view, as whether any Authority can curtail right of any person to pursue litigation by making payment. Section 18 of the Act provides right, to any person interested, to make a reference to the Collector, for determination by the Court, regarding his objections pertaining to measurement of the land, amount of compensation and entitlement of persons and apportionment of the compensation amongst the persons interested. Thus, any one aggrieved against award made by Collector has a right to make reference to the Court under Section 18 of the Act and this right has been further curtailed by providing for limitation with in which such reference can be made and this right has been

provided by Statute itself and same can not be curtailed by making a payment of 10% as non litigation premium. Thus the providing of non-litigation premium is against the basis-tenet of Land Acquisition Act 1894 and anything which is against the statute is not in public interest and is against public policy.

There is another point of view that relates with Section 28-A of the Act, where Court allows the applicant an amount of enhanced compensation on the amount awarded by the Collector. Here the persons interested in all other land covered by the same notification under Section 4(1) of the Act and who are also aggrieved by the Award of the Collector, notwithstanding that they had made an application under Section 18 of the Act, get redetermination of the amount of compensation through Collector on the basis of the Award of the Court, thus if compensation provided by the Collector is enhanced by the reference Court in a case of the applicants who had not accepted non-litigation premium, then the persons covered by the same notification under Section 4(1) of the Act regarding that award, will also be entitled to enhanced compensation from the Collector under Section 28-A of the Act. Thus, taking into account the scheme of the award, all the persons covered by notification under Section 4(1) of the Act will be entitled to the enhanced compensation as awarded by the Court, even if they had accepted 10% non litigation premium, as the objective behind Section 28-A is to bring parity among all the interested persons, whose land has been acquired under the same notification under Section 4(1) of the Act, thus as per Section 28-A, Collector is bound to re-determination the compensation on the lines of

the award by the Court while answering the references, even for those claimants, who had not preferred an application under Section 18 of the Act. Thus Section 28-A comes as saver to those persons, who had not preferred any reference under Section 18 of the Act and accepted 10% Non-litigation premium..

In view of the above discussion, the alleged undertaking Ex.R3 as well as this like document produced on record by the respondents in other consolidated land references are liable to be ignored. Thus, present references by claimants, who had accepted 10% non-litigation Premium are maintainable.

11. The main prayer of claimants is regarding enhancement of compensation. It has been pleaded that acquired land had great residential as well as commercial potential as it was situated on the road leading from the famous Aerocity Mohali to SAS Nagar. It is hardly 2-3 kilometer from the City Beautiful Chandigarh and has been surrounded by Urban Estates SAS Nagar where a number of commercial establishments have come up. It was further been pleaded that big developers have also constructed residential flats in the vicinity of the acquired land and the entire land had become a part of IT City/Knowledge Park. Although the said compensation was accepted but as per learned counsel for claimants, it was accepted under protest as the compensation was much below the market rate.

The above said contention has been opposed by learned counsel representing the respondents. It was submitted that most appropriate market rate was proposed by the LAC after taking into

account all the facts and circumstances. After referring to copies of sale deeds Ex.R6 to Ex.R9, learned counsel submitted that even at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 (ibid) on 22.12.2010, the market rate proposed by the LAC was not prevalent but keeping in view the compulsory nature of acquisition, compensation more than the market rate was proposed.

I have given a careful thought to these submissions. Be that as it may, in order to support their claim, claimants were required to make out that market value of the acquired land prevailing at the time of issuance of notification under Section 4 of the Act i.e. on 22.12.2010 was much more than the price offered through Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011. Although in order to qualify for compensation higher than that proposed by the LAC, primary evidence in the shape of sale deeds of the adjoining lands which may have been registered at a higher rate have not been led, but in the alternative claimants have relied upon the Awards answered by the learned Predecessor of this Court vide Ex.P1, Ex.P2, Ex.P5, which also pertained to Award No.527 dated 13.12.2011. Claimants have also relied upon the Awards answered by this Court, vide Ex.P6 pertaining to Award No.547 dated 31.12.2013 and Ex.P7 pertaining to Award No.549 dated 31.12.2013 of the adjoining villages. Besides the oral evidence led by the claimants in the shape of their testimonies in the present case as well as in the consolidated cases as referred to above, claimants have also produced on record the Site Plan Ex.P3 of the acquired land and the adjoining areas and Ex.P4 Aks-Shijra. _

12. In **Special Land Acquisition Officer, Special Land Acquisition Officer, Kheda and another Vs Vasudev Chandrashankar and another**, 1997(11) SCC-218, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that the award of reference court relating to the same village, of similar land and possessing the same quality of land and potential offers comparable base for determination of compensation.

In **M/s Faridabad Gas Power Project, Faridabad vs. Narinder Kumar and others**, 2004(2) LACC, page 473 (Supreme Court), it was held that previous precedents are the best evidence to be relied upon while determining the market value of the acquired land.

13. Coming to the facts in hand in the absence of leading primary evidence claimants have relied upon the land references rendered by the learned Predecessor of this Court, titled as 'Raj Rani Vs State of Punjab' decided on 16.7.2015 as Ex.P1; Neena Garg Vs State of Punjab decided on 16.9.2016 as Ex.P2 and Arjan Singh Vs State of Punjab answered on 18.4.2017 Ex.P5.

14. It is relevant to note that vide Award number No.527 dated 13.12.2011 lands situated at villages Dairri, Dhurali, Manakpur Kallar, Bakarpur, Mattran, Seo, Chachu Majra, Rurka, Nogiari, Chao Majra,, Saini Majra, Manauli were acquired at a uniform rate for public purpose i.e for I.T City, by the Punjab Govt. The lands of the claimants of the present land reference as well as the consolidated land references, which were acquired was situated at these villages.

A perusal of Awards Ex.P1 dated 16.7.2015 titled 'Raj Rani Vs State of Punjab', Ex.P2 dated 16.9.2016 titled as Neena Garg Vs. State of Punjab and Ex.P5 dated 18.4.2017 titled as Arjan Singh Vs. State of Punjab, makes out that the learned Predecessor of this Court had disposed of the references, also arising out of award No.527 dated 13.12.2011 regarding acquisition of land situated in villages Manauli, Saini Majra, Chao Majra. Vide the above said Awards Ex.P1, Ex.P2 and Ex.P5, the learned Predecessor of this Court had determined the rate of acquired land @ Rs.3,03,76,920/- per acre along with all statutory benefits.

Learned Counsel for the claimants have also placed on record Ex.P8 copy of order dated 26.4.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in RFA No.3452 of 2017 preferred by the respondent GMADA against the Award dated 18.4.2017 Ex.P5, which shows that the respondent GMADA had also filed an application for staying the operation of the said Award dated 18.4.2017, however vide Ex.,P8, the Hon'ble High Court had observed that no ground for stay of the operation of the Award is made out.

Claimants have also relied upon the Award Ex.P6 dated 20.11.2018 titled as Amrik Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab pertaining to Award No.547 dated 31.12.2013 and Ex.P7 dated 4.12.2018 titled as Shiv Ram and others vs. State of Punjab pertaining to Award No.549 dated 31.12.2013. Notification under Section 4 of the Act of both these Awards No.547 and 549 dated 31.12.2013 was published on 17.5.2013, whereas the notification under Section 4 of the Act of the

present Award in question was published on 22.12.2010, thus are of not much relevance to present case.

In view of the above discussion, I find the claimants entitled to the same enhancement as was proposed by the learned Predecessor of this Court while answering the Awards Ex.P1, Ex.P2 and Ex.P5.

15. It is pertinent to mention that the land reference titled as Avtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing CIS No.113 has been preferred by Avtar Singh son of Teja Singh as well as Gurcharan Singh, Amarjit Singh, Manjit Kaur, Balwinder Kaur all sons/daughter of Ajmer Singh, whereas in the statement under Section 19 of the Act available on the file as Ex.R126 and Ex.R126/A, the acquired land has been shown to be owned by Ajmer Singh and Avtar Singh sons of Teja Singh. In the present claim petition, claimants Gurcharan Singh, Amarjit Singh, Manjit Kaur, Balwinder Kaur have neither moved an application to implead/declare them as legal heirs of deceased Ajmer Singh, nor they have led any evidence in this regard. Hence in these circumstances, the enhancement of compensation as referred to above is granted in favour of Avtar Singh and Ajmer Singh and in the execution proceedings, the claimants Gurcharan Singh, Amarjit Singh, Manjit Kaur, Balwinder Kaur will be at liberty to prove themselves as the only legal heirs of deceased Ajmer Singh if any.

16. Similarly Land Reference bearing CIS No.459-2017 has been preferred by Gurdev Singh and Surinderpal Singh, vide which they have claimed enhanced compensation of the land owned by

themselves as well as of the land owned by their deceased mother Mohinder Kaur being her legal heirs, but they have not produced any document on record, vide which they may have been declared as legal heirs of deceased Mohinder Kaur by any competent Court of law, neither they have moved an application to declare them as legal heirs of deceased Mohinder Kaur in the present claim petition, nor they have led any evidence in this regard. Hence in these circumstances, the enhancement of compensation as referred to above is granted in favour of Gurdev Singh and Surinderpal Singh of the land shown to have been owned by themselves and in favour of Mohinder Kaur of the land owned by her as shown in the statement under Section 19 of the Act and in the execution proceedings, the claimants Gurdev Singh and Surinderpal Singh will be at liberty to prove themselves as the only legal heirs of deceased Mohinder Kaur, if any.

17. Further the Land Reference bearing CIS No.331-2017 has been preferred by Ranjit Singh son of late Sucha Singh, whereas in the statement under Section 19 of the Act Ex.R95, the acquired land has been shown to be owned by Sucha Singh son of Jodh Singh. Perusal of the file further shows that the claimant Ranjit Singh has not produced any document on record, vide which he may have been declared as the only legal heir of deceased Sucha Singh by any competent Court of law, he has moved an application to declare him as legal heir of deceased Sucha Singh in the present claim petition, nor he has led any evidence in this regard. Hence in these circumstances, the enhancement of compensation as referred to above is granted in favour of Sucha Singh of the land

shown to have been owned by him as shown in the statement under Section 19 of the Act and in the execution proceedings, the claimant Ranjit Singh will be at liberty to prove himself as the only legal heir of deceased Sucha Singh, if any.

Similarly in Land References bearing CIS No.318-2017 titled as Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, CIS No.303-2017 titled as Rupinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, CIS No.261-2017 titled as Ravi Nandan Vs. State of Punjab and others, CIS No.241-2017 titled as Bhushan Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, CIS No. 93-2018 titled as Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others, CIS No. 418-2017 titled as Jaswinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others, CIS No. 68-2018 titled as Karam Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, CIS No.263 -2017 titled as Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, claimants or some of the claimants have been shown as legal heirs, but neither they proved on record that they are the only legal heirs of deceased, nor this Court has declared them as the only legal heirs of deceased, hence in these circumstances, respondents are directed to deposit the enhanced compensation in the names of the persons relating to the above mentioned Land References and also similarly situated other land references, whose names are mentioned in statements under Section 19 of the Act produced and proved on record by the respondents. However, the claimants, who have preferred the above referred Land References and other similarly situated land references being legal heirs of deceased/original owner of the acquired land, will be at liberty to

prove themselves as the only legal heirs of deceased/original owner of the acquired land, if any, in execution proceedings.

Structures etc.

18. Learned counsel for claimants submitted that along with the land, underground pipelines, tubewells, super-structures and trees belonging to some of the claimants as mentioned above in detail, as well were also acquired/uprooted, but due compensation was not awarded. To evident this fact, claimants Baldev Singh and others of Land Reference bearing CIS No. 496-2017 had examined Gian Chand Civil Draftsman as PW2, besides the claimant Baldev Singh himself examined as PW1.

19. Claimant Baldev Singh had sought the enhancement of acquired structure along with the land. In the claim petition, the claimant Baldev Singh has pleaded that in the acquired land, he had constructed a house in the year 2008 consisting of 4 rooms, one Verandah with lintel, latrine/bath and kitchen and '16 Khans' for cattle shed with batons and girders along with 65' manger for the cattle. He had also constructed the boundary wall around the entire area, which was 5' in height and was having water and electricity connections in the said house. He had also installed a big iron gate and all the doors were having double pallah and the iron grills were also affixed. The entire construction was 'A' class and the petitioner had spent about 40 lacs on the said construction and he claimed Rs.50 lacs for the acquired house. In order to prove the enhancement of the acquired structure, claimant Baldev Singh had himself stepped into the witness box as PW1 and reiterated his version as made in the claim petition. He had also examined Gian Chand Civil Draftsman as

PW2, who deposed by way of his affidavit Ex.PW2/A that as per his report dated 2.2.2016, the estimated value of the existing building of construction is worth cost of Rs.46,60,000/- at the time of his visit. He has proved the site plan of the acquired structure as Ex.P1 and estimate report as Ex.P2. However during his cross examination, he disclosed that claimant had not produced any purchased bills of the structure and building material, which was used for the construction of the structure. He had not given notice of his visit to the spot, to the Land Acquisition Collector or any other official of the Department. He further stated during his cross examination that the acquired structure was 10-12 years old and he had not taken any rates from the market regarding the building material prevailed in the year about 12 years ago. It is pertinent to mention that acquisition of pipeline, tube-well, Kotha has been mentioned in the statements No.19 of the Act as Ex.R73, Ex.R73/A and Ex.R73/B.

Similarly Harjit Singh claimant of Land Reference bearing No.227 of 2017, who had also sought compensation qua acquired tubewells, Kotha, Chabacha and other superstructures, had examined Amar Singh draftsman as PW1, who also proved on record valuation report of the structure and tubewell acquired by the GMADA along with the land, as Ex.PW1/B and the Plan showing the existence of the above said structure as Ex.PW1/C.

20. Perusal of the files reveals that vide statements under Section 19 of the Act, proved on record by the respondents, compensation qua the super-structures, Tube well, *Kotha* and Pipe line etc. was disbursed to the claimants/land owners, whose super-structures, Tube-

well, *Kotha* and Pipe line etc. was acquired along with the land by the respondents. Admittedly before visiting the spot, expert witness engaged by the claimants as admitted by him in his cross examination as referred to above, did not issue any notice to the respondents nor he required their presence. Consequently, the said valuation assessed by witness Amar Singh draftsman examined by the claimants, cannot be accepted. Hon'ble High Court vide **R.F.A No.3004 of 2006 titled as Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others,** observed that in the absence of any expertise available with the Court to determine the value of the super structure with minute details, as it is always seen that the land owners try to claim much more amount as compared to the actual value and on the other hand, the State makes conservative estimate on the basis of set principles, an increase of 25% on the value so assessed by the Collector is granted as a thumb rule. Accordingly, following the same principal in the present case, for the superstructure existing on the acquired land as mentioned in the Statements under Section 19 of the Act, claimants of the above referred Land References, whose structures/tubewell/Kotha /Pipeline etc. as mentioned in the Statements No.19 of the Act, has been acquired and the claimants who have claimed the enhancement of the compensation qua their acquired superstructure vide Award No.527 Ex.R1, are held entitled to additional amount of 25% over and above the value of the super structure as assessed by the Collector besides the compensation of the acquired land as assessed above by this Court.

21. So far as the compensation claimed by some of the claimants of the above referred Land References for acquisition of their

fruit bearing and non fruit bearing trees is concerned. In this regard, it is submitted that though in some of the claim petitions, as per the statements under Section 19 of the Act, fruit bearing and non-fruit bearing trees were also acquired by the LAC GMADA along with the land, but no evidence has been led by any of the claimant of the above referred Land References in this regard to prove the market value of the acquired trees. Whereas, in the written statements, respondents had submitted that sufficient and adequate compensation has been awarded to the claimants, whose trees have also been acquired along with the land, as per the assessment report received from the concerned Department. Consequently, the claimants whose trees were also acquired along with the land, are not entitled for any enhanced compensation qua trees.

Further, though claimants Nachattar Kaur and others of Land Reference application No.493 of 31.08.2017, have claimed compensation on account of severance of their land, but no independent evidence has been led by them to evident this fact. Consequently, no compensation on account of alleged severance of land can be granted to them.

In view of the above discussion, I decide these issues No.1, 2 and 4 in favour of the claimants and against the respondents.

ISSUE NO.3

22. Onus to prove this issue was upon the claimants. As per proviso to Section 18(2) an application seeking redetermination can be presented within six weeks from the date of Collector's Award and if the land owner was not represented before the Collector at the time of making

Award, time period to move application seeking redetermination is six weeks from the date of receipt of notice under Section 12(2) or within six months from the date of Award whichever is earlier. In other words when a landowner is not represented before the Collector and the Collector also fails to send a copy of Award to the person interested, an application seeking redetermination can be filed within six months from the date of 'constructive knowledge' of the Award, which introduces an element of subjectivity. In such circumstances 'limitation' no more remains a question of law only as imputing knowledge requires leading of evidence.

In the above referred land References, award was passed on 13.12.2011, whereas claimants of the above referred Land References had moved applications/petition under Section 18 (ibid) on different dates, months and year. It has been pleaded by them in the claim petitions that at the time of announcement of the award, claimants were not present nor any notice was ever served upon them as required under Section 12(2)(ibid) and as such the present land Reference applications are being filed by them well within the period of limitation from the date of knowledge. When claimants stepped into the witness box as referred to above in a table, they again reiterated that at the time of announcement of award, none of the claimant was present before Land Acquisition Collector nor any notice under Section 12(2) (ibid) was served upon them. No evidence to rebut these attributions has been brought by the respondents. It is pertinent to mention here that when the respondents had examined Satpal Singh Patwari as RW1, Harmesh Kumar as RW2 and Girdhar Gopal as RW2, they had not uttered even a single word to rebut

the attributions with regard to the limitation of the reference applications, made by the claimants in their pleadings as well as statements before the Court on oath.

Learned Counsel for the claimants submitted that neither the claimants were present at the time of announcement of the award, nor a copy of the same was sent to them under Section 12(2) of the Act.

Further more as per Section 18 (ibid) when any interested person had not accepted the award, he may move a written application to the Collector requiring reference of his matter to the Court for redetermination of compensation, upon which the Collector has to make a reference to the court. On being so referred, the court then enters upon the dispute to determine what the land owner be paid for the compulsive sale. For this purpose the Court is required to hear the interested persons by holding proceedings in the open court. Section 23(ibid) provides for matters to be considered in determining compensation while Section 24 (ibid) provides for matters which are to be neglected in determining compensation by the court.

Once the Court answers a reference by awarding an excess compensation than determined by the Collector under Section 11, all other interested persons, in all other lands, covered by the same notification under Section 4 and who are also aggrieved by the Award of the Collector may move a written application to the Collector, requesting redetermination of compensation awarded to them, on the basis of the compensation enhanced by the court by invoking section 28-A (ibid),

whereupon the Collector is required to conduct an enquiry and to make an Award determining compensation accordingly. In other words even those land owners who had not moved applications under Section 18 seeking redetermination of compensation, may reap benefits of the enhanced compensation awarded by the Court who had answered the reference under Section 28-A (ibid) and they need not file applications under Section 18 (ibid).

Hon'ble Apex Court in **Union of India & Anr., vs Hansoli Devi & Ors**, (1999-2004) Supreme Court Judgments on Land Acquisition, 1704 has held that dismissal of an application under Section 18 on account of delay does not amounts to filing of that application within the meaning of Section 28-A and even a land owner who had received compensation determined by the Collector without protest and who had not sought reference under Section 18 can also make application under Section 28-A, as such a person would be a 'person aggrieved' within the meaning of Section 28-A. Following the said law, Hon'ble Kerala High Court in **Krishnan Kutty Vs. The Special Tahsildar** 2004(1) RCR (Civil) 411, has held that even those land owners whose land had been acquired and who had received compensation with or without protest but had not applied for making reference under Section 18(ibid) being aggrieved person may file an application under Section 28-A for redetermination of compensation when some other land owner's application is answered by the reference court. Hon'ble Apex Court in **Jalandhar Improvement Trust vs The State of Punjab**, 2003(1) RCR (Civil) 292 has gone to the extent of laying that even dismissal of an

application under Section 18 as delayed cannot constitute a ground to deny co-sharers enhanced compensation determined by a reference court when an application under Section 28-A is moved and that application of a similarly situated person under Section 28-A cannot be dismissed on the ground that his claim under Section 18 was rejected as belated and that there is no reason to differentiate the claims of co-owners for differential treatment. Hon'ble Apex Court in **Union of India vs Munshi Ram** 2006(2) Apex Court Judgments 453 (SC) has laid down that benefit of enhanced compensation is to be conferred even on those land owners who did not seek a reference under Section 18 of the Act and that similarly in case higher compensation determined by reference court is subsequently reduced by superior court then the same consequences shall visit others who have been given benefit of enhanced compensation in pursuant to the decree passed in reference proceeding on application of others. Our own Hon'ble High Court in **Lal Singh and another vs State of Haryana and others**, 2003(1) RCR (Civil) 718 has gone to the extent of laying that denial of compensation under Section 28-A would be violative of Section 14 and 21 of Constitution of India as it would amount to unreasonable discrimination against similarly situated persons. Hon'ble Apex Court in **V. Ramakrishna Rao vs The Singareni Collieries Company Ltd. And others**, 2010(3) Apex Court Judgments 728 (SC) has laid down that provision under Section 28-A is aimed at removing inequality in the payment of compensation in lieu of acquisition of land under the same notification. It has further been laid down that a person who is not satisfied with an Award made under Section 28-A can make an application

to the Collector under Section 28-A (3) for making a reference to the Court and that this right cannot be frustrated merely because as a result of redetermination made under Section 28-A(2) read with Section 28-A(1) the applicant becomes entitled to receive compensation at par with other land owners. Even in case of **Bir Wati Vs. Union of India 2017(4) RCR (Civil) 256**, the Hon'ble Supreme Court had even ignored the delay in filing of application under Section 28-A of the Act and in order to do complete and substantial justice, decided the matter in favour of claimant and directed the Collector to entertain application.

Thus, it has rightly been contended by learned counsel for claimants that even if the present claimants instead of bringing a petition under Section 18 may have moved a petition under Section 28-A, even then the Collector could not have denied enhanced compensation as arrived at by the reference court while answering references arising out of the same notification under Section 4 of the Act.

23. Consequently, I hold that the references are within time from the date of knowledge. As such I decide this issue in favour of the claimants and against the respondents.

RELIEF

24. In view of my findings on the above referred issues, all the above referred land references are answered by holding that the claimants of the present land reference as well as the above referred all consolidated land references, are entitled to compensation of their acquired land at the uniform rate of Rs.3,03,76,920/- per acre as basic rate of the land. Further the claimants/landowners, whose structures as

mentioned in the statements No.19 of the Act, has also been acquired vide Award No.527 Ex.R1 and the claimants who claimed the enhancement of compensation of the acquired structure in their claim petitions, are held entitled to additional amount of 25% over and above the value of the super structure as assessed by the Collector besides the compensation of the acquired land as assessed above by this Court, whereas the claim made by the claimants with regard to the severance of the land and enhancement of acquired trees stands declined. Claimants are also held entitled to all statutory benefits available under Section 23(1-A), 23 (2) and 28 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Counsel's fee of the present claim petition/land reference and the consolidated claim petitions/land references is assessed at Rs.1000/- each. A copy of this Award be placed in the other connected/consolidated land references as referred to above. Memo of costs be prepared. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court

Dated: 20.2.2019

*Balwinder Singh
Stenographer grade I

(Monika Goyal)
Addl. District Judge
SAS Nagar Mohali
Unique I.D No.PB.0439

Present: Sh. Sher Singh Rathore, Shri Randeep Singh Rathore, Adv. and Shri Kuldeep Singh Rathore Advocates for the claimants
 Sh. S.K. Attri Adv., counsel for claimant Baldev Singh(Land Reference CIS No.496-2017)
 Sh. Manoj Dhiman Adv. counsel for the claimants Nachattar Kaur and others (LAC CIS No.482/2017)
 Sh. Gurbir Singh Antal Adv. for the claimants Harjit Singh and others (LAC CIS No.486/2017)
 Shri Puneet Chauhan Adv., counsel for claimants Ranjit Singh and others (LAC bearing CIS No.331-2017)
 Claim petition bearing CIS No.333-2017 titled as Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab has already been dismissed as withdrawn only on behalf of claimant No.4 Ajmer Singh.
 Sh. Manjit Singh G.P for respondent No.1.
 Sh. Maninder Badwal, Shri R.C Garg, and Shri Pankaj Sikka Advocates for respondent No.2.

Arguments have been heard Vide separate detailed Award of even date, the present land reference as well as the consolidated land references titled as Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.533 of 19.12.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.350 of 04.08.2017, Balwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.255 of 28.07.2017, Jarnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.486 of 31.08.2017, Karnail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.270 of 29.07.2017, Shadi Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.473 of 31.08.2017, Harbhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.300 of 28.07.2017, Piara Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.461 of 29.08.2017, Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.334 of 28.07.2017, Avtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.113 of 15.11.2018, Nachattar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.476 of 31.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.400 of 19.08.2017, Ranjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.479 of 31.08.2017, Satinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.218 if 29.07.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.470 of 31.08.2017, Bhag Singh and others Vs. State of

Punjab, bearing No.477 of 31.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.458 of 29.08.2017, Balwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.267 of 29.07.2017, Karnail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.450 of 23.08.2017, Amar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.463 of 29.08.2017, Harpal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.344 of 28.07.2017, Jatinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.210 of 28.07.2017, Jaspal Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.241 of 28.07.2017, Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.484 of 31.08.2017, Gurmail Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.110 of 30.10.2018, Gurdev Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.367 of 10.08.2017, Karnail Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.95 of 04.09.2018, Harpal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.355 of 04.08.2017, Ranjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.219 of 29.07.2017, Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.289 of 29.07.2017, Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.225 of 29.07.2017, Sanjiv Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.357 of 04.08.2017, Narata Ram and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.301 of 28.07.2017, Baldev Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.506 of 12.09.2017, Jaswant Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.232 of 28.07.2017, Balwant Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.398 of 19.08.2017, Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.409 of 21.08.2017, Kulwant Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.203 of 28.07.2017, Bahadur Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.445 of 23.08.2017, Avtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.256 of 28.07.2017, Amrit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.247 of 28.07.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.236 of 28.07.2017, Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.324 of 28.07.2017, Charanjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.299 of 28.07.2017, Jora Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.240 of 28.07.2017, Ravi Nandan Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.321 of 28.07.2017, Gurudwara Sahib Paatshahi Chewi Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.318 of 28.07.2017, Bhushan Kumar and

others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.237 of 28.07.2017, Harnek Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.329 of 28.07.2017, Bhupinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.316 of 28.07.2017, Sucha Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.402 of 19.08.2017, Randhir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.347 of 28.07.2017, Rattan Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.335 of 28.07.2017, Sucha Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.286 of 29.07.2017, Kuldip Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.467 of 31.08.2017, Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.93 of 08.08.2018, Krishan Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.205 dated 28.07.2017, Amrik Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.325 of 28.07.2017, Amar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.397 of 19.08.2017, Gurdev Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.273 of 29.07.2017, Satinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.266 of 28.07.2017, Harbhajan Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.401 of 19.08.2017, Arjan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.66 of 21.04.2017, Tarlochan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.411 of 21.08.2017, Ram Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.92 of 02.08.2018, Jagtar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.258 of 28.07.2017, Bal Krishan Goel Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.96 of 20.05.2017, Buta Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.399 of 19.08.2017, Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.217 of 28.07.2017, Dharam Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.317 of 28.07.2017, Gurbachan Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.97 of 20.05.2017, Ajmer Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.282 of 28.07.2017, Nachattar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.455 of 29.08.2017, Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.456 of 29.08.2017, Amarjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.485 of 31.08.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.274 of 29.07.2017, Rupinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.284 of 28.07.2017, Mohinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.396 of 19.08.2017, Mewa Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.314 of 28.07.2017, Gian Singh and others Vs.

State of Punjab, bearing No.528 of 09.11.2017, Nachattar Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.443 of 31.08.2017, Karnail Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.91 of 02.08.2018, Ajaib Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.359 of 04.08.2017, Malkiat Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.487 of 31.08.2017, Jaswinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.410 of 21.08.2017, Gurdial Singh @ Dial Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.481 of 31.08.2017, Jaswant Kaur and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.465 of 31.08.2017, Avtar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.250 of 28.07.2017, Kesar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.226 of 29.07.2017, Karam Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.68 of 31.05.2018, Smt. Satya Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.204 of 28.07.2017, Bachhittar Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.320 of 28.07.2017, Ajmer Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.336 of 28.07.2017, Gian Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.451 of 25.08.2017, Sher Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.459 of 29.08.2017, Bhupinder Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.298 of 28.07.2017, Jit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.356 of 04.08.2017, Mukhtiar Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.293 of 29.07.2017, Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No. 348 of 04.08.2017, Rattan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.444 of 23.08.2017, Kamlesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.478 of 31.08.2017, Prem Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.80 of 01.05.2017, Harbhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.12 of 08.02.2018, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.471 of 31.08.2017, Labh Kaur Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.315 of 28.07.2017, Surinder Kumar and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.480 of 31.08.2017, Ram Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.292 of 29.07.2017, Bhag Singh @ Bhara Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.211 of 28.07.2017, Bhajan Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.112 of 15.11.2018, Phuman Ram and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.202 of 24.07.2017, Gurdial Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.124 of 04.07.2017, Surmukh Singh and

others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.482 of 31.08.2017, Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.498 of 31.08.2017, Shri Gurudwara Sahib, bearing No.239 of 28.07.2017, Vishal Kaushal Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.215 of 28.07.2017, Chhaju Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.130 of 04.07.2017, Rajinder Kumar Mittal and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.132 of 04.07.2017, Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.360 of 04.08.2017, Raj Kumar Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.457 of 29.08.2017 and Pal Singh Vs. State of Punjab, bearing No.227 of 29.07.2017 and Harjit Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab bearing No. 295/28.7.2017, have also been answered, as per the details enumerated therein. Counsel fee of Rs.1000/- is assessed in each of the land references. Memo of costs be prepared. Copy of the judgment passed in this land reference is ordered to be placed in the files of the above referred land References, which were consolidated with the file of this land reference. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in open court
Dated: 20.2.2019

*Balwinder Singh
Stenographer grade I

(Monika Goyal)
Addl. District Judge
SAS Nagar Mohali
Unique I.D No.PB.0439