

25.07.2026

Present : Mr. Wajeeh Shafiq, Ms. Azka Ahmad, Mr. Rahul Yadav and Ms. Shagufta
Ansari, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Ld. Counsel for respondent.

Matter is listed for arguments on the application under Section 54 of the DRC Act read with Order VII Rule 11 CPC, whereby a challenge is made to the applicability of the DRC Act on the tenanted premises. It is the case of the applicant/respondent that the area where the tenanted premises fall is not covered under Section 1 read with Schedule of the DRC Act.

Reply has been filed on behalf of petitioner on 12.02.2026. Copy supplied to the opposite side.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner has raised an objection that no such defense has been taken by the respondent in his application seeking leave to defend filed under Section 25-B of the DRC Act and hence, the application is not maintainable.

In the considered opinion of this court, the objection regarding the subject matter jurisdiction, if so raised by the respondent, has to be dealt with by this court before proceeding on the merits of this case.

On the merits of the aforesaid application, Ld. Counsel for petitioner has sought an adjournment.

Heard. Allowed.

Be adjourned for arguments and disposal of the aforesaid application for
05.09.2026.

(Bharat Aggarwal)
ACJ-cum-ARC, Central
District, Tis Hazari Courts
25.07.2026