



Filed on:	17/06/2021
Registered on:	17/06/2021
Decided on:	24/07/2026
Duration:	Y M D 05 01 07

IN THE COURT OF 2nd ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, AT BHARUCH



SESSIONS CASE NO. 41/2021

Exh. _____

Complainant...

The State of Gujarat.

VERSUS

Accused...

1. Mayurbhai Satishbhai Vasava.

Age: 19, Occu: Labor work,
R/o: Limdi Chowk,
Bharuch.

2. Rohitbhai Dineshbhai Vasava.

Age: 20, Occu: Labor work,
R/o: Limdi Chowk,
Bharuch.

3. Vishalbhai Dineshbhai Vasava.

Age: 19, Occu: Labor work,
R/o: Limdi Chowk,
Bharuch.

4.Akashbhai Babubhai Vasava.

Age: 21, Occu: Labor work,
R/o: Limdi Chowk,
Bharuch.

Appearance:

Ld. Advocate for the state: J.J.Parmar

Ld. Advocate for the accused persons: A.K.Vora

JUDGEMENT

Facts of the case:

(1) The brief facts of the case is that the accused, suspecting that the complainant had an illicit relationship with his sister, and keeping this in mind the accused had abused and assaulted the complainant with an iron punch and accused no.2 and 3 also assaulted with fist blow on the complainant's chest, thereafter, accused no.1 throw the complainant on the road, caused injuries, and struck him on the head with an axe and also caused injuries to the witnesses and by breaching the notification committed an offence under Sections 323, 324, 504, 427 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code and FIR was registered vide C.R.No. 1St 61/201 at 'B' Division Police Station- Bharuch.

(2) During the course of investigation, the Investigating Officer recorded the statement of witnesses, panchnama was drawn and at the end of the investigation the concerned

Investigating Officer filed charge-sheet against the accused for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 324, 504, 427 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code.

(3) The case was registered as Criminal Case No.607/2019 in the Court of 5th Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate F.C.-Bharuch and then committed to the District Court and was registered as Sessions Case No.41/2021.

(4) Vide Exh.06 charges were framed against the accused for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 504, 427 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code and Vide **Exhs.7, 8, 9 & 10** statements of the accused persons before the Additional Sessions Court were recorded, the accused pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried and therefore this trial is rested for recording evidence.

(5) This Court has heard both the side and considered the evidence and as well as the record.

ORAL EVIDENCES:

Sr. No.	Oral Evidence	Exhs.
PW1	Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava	15
PW2	Suny Rameshbhai Vasava	17
PW3	Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava	18
PW4	Avinashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava	19
PW5	Sureshnandan Ramnarayan Yadav	21
PW6	Sudhir Prabhatbhai Vasava	23
PW7	Dr. Shital Sundralal Ahari	27
PW8	Dr. Suketu Dave	30

PW9	Dr. Abhinavkumar Rameshkumar Sharma	36
PW10	Ashokbhai Naththubhai Patil	41
PW11	Divyang Girishbhai Mistri	44
PW12	Dhanajibhai Majarbhai Vasava	45

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE:

Sr. No.	Documentary Evidence	Exhs.
1	Original complaint	16
2	Panchnama of physical body condition of the accused nos. 1- Mayur and 2- Rohit	38
3	Panchnama of site of offence	39
4	Panchnama of physical body condition of the accused nos. 3- Vishal and 4- Akash	40
5	Copy of treatment certificate of the complainant from the Bharuch Civil Hospital	22
6	Copy of treatment certificate of the complainant from the Welfare Hospital of Bharuch	31
7	Copy of certificate of the complainant from the Welfare Hospital of Bharuch	32
8	Copy of injury certificate of the witness- Sunybhai Vasava	28
9	Copy of injury certificate of the witness- Bharatiben Vasava	37
10	Notification under Section 37(1) of G.P. Act.	49

(6) SUBMISSION:

(6.1) Submission on behalf of State:

The Ld. Advocate on behalf of state has submitted that the reason

best known to the complainant and witnesses, they have not supported the case of the prosecution and are declared hostile, the case of prosecution rests on the evidence of police witness and medical officer, further it is submitted by the Ld. APP that though the complainant has not supported the case of prosecution, it is submitted that, the medical evidence and the evidence of the medical officer is required to be considered. It is submitted that the medical officers and the police witness has supported the case of the prosecution, hence, as the prosecution has proved their case the accused are required to be convicted as per law.

(6.2) Submission on behalf of accused:

The Ld. advocate on behalf of accused, by referring the evidence, has mainly submitted that none of the witnesses, including the complainant and injured persons has supported the case of prosecution and during the deposition they have categorically stated that they have no information regarding the incident, even the complainant had deposed that, due to the scuffle he fell down and got injured, hence, the prosecution has failed to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt and merely on the basis of the deposition of medical officers or the police witness i.e., the Investigating Officer, it is not a case wherein the accused persons can be convicted. It is further submitted that the matter has been settled between the parties and it may be considered and as the prosecution has failed to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt, the accused persons are required to be acquitted.

(7) This Court has heard the submission of both the side and considered the evidence oral as well as documentary on record and following points has emerged for the determination of this Court.

1. Whether the prosecution proves that the accused persons had abused and assaulted the complainant and the witnesses and had beaten them with a four-pointed iron punch and with an iron axe and committed the offence under Sections 323, 324, 504, 427 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code?

2. What order?

(8) The findings of this Court on the above points are as under:

1. In Negative.
2. As per final order.

Reasons

(9) Before further discussion at this stage, it is required to be noted that vide Exh.43 compromise pursis has been submitted which was signed by both the parties wherein it is stated that the case has been settled between the parties, hence, the application for compromise for the compoundable offence is submitted, the offence mentioned in the compromise pursis are under Sections.323, 504, 427 of the Indian Penal Code.

(9.1) This Court has passed Order below Exh.43 and as per Section 320(1) of CrPC the accused were acquitted for the offence under Sections.323, 504 & 427 of the Indian Penal Code as the said offence are compoundable. For the rest of offence the trial has been conducted.

Discussion of Evidence...

(10) Firstly, let's discuss the evidence of the **complainant-Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava at Exh. 26**, who during his deposition has deposed that the incident took place about four years ago, when he was going to refill petrol at a petrol pump in Bharuch Navi Market at 8:00 pm., a DJ was playing near the Santoshi Mata Temple and some persons were dancing there, due to which there was a traffic on that road, at that time the complainant was passing by that road and near Limdi Chowk, he had a simple altercation with the accused. Further, he has deposed that it was dark at the time of incident and at that time due to the scuffle he fell down and sustained injuries on his stomach and head, except this no other incident has happened and thereafter, he filed a complaint which was shown to him vide Mark.5/1, he has identified his signature in it and which is exhibited as Exh.16. Further, he has stated that he is not knowing the accused.

(10.1) As the above complainant had not supported his complaint at Exh.16, the Ld. APP had declared him hostile and though with

the permission of this Court the complainant was cross-examined but nothing supporting the complaint has been deposed.

(10.2) During the cross-examination on behalf of the accused, the complainant has admitted that, at the time of incident it was dark there and many persons gathered there and due to the scuffle he fell down and sustained the injuries.

(11) Vide Exh.17 Suny Rameshbhai Vasava, vide Exh.18 Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava, vide Exh.19 Avinashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava and vide Exh.23 Sudhir Prabhatbhai Vasava are examined.

(11.1) vide Exh.18 Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava and Vide Exh.23 Sudhir Prabhatbhai Vasava has been examined who during their deposition has deposed that on the day of incident, Prakash returned from his work and then went to meet his friend, thereafter he came home at around 8:00 pm, and informed his family that near Limadi Chowk one person- Mayurbhai and some of his friends bit him. Thereafter, they all went there and simple altercation had happened between them and many persons gathered there and due to the scuffle they fell down and sustained injuries and were taken to Welfare Hospital for treatment. Further, they have stated that the complaint was given by Prakashbhai, police has recorded their statements and they are not knowing the accused persons of this case.

(11.2) Vide Exh.17 Suny Rameshbhai Vasava has been examined by the prosecution, who during his deposition has deposed that

the incident had occurred about four years ago, on the day of incident he had gone with his mother near Zadeshwar bridge for catching prawns and while returning home, he noticed an altercation between two groups near the police station and intervened to pacify them, during the scuffle, he fell down and sustained injuries on his cheek and head, thereafter, he was taken to the Civil Hospital for treatment. He further stated that Prakashbhai had lodged the complaint and police had recorded his statement, and he is not knowing the accused persons.

(11.3) Vide Exh.19 Avinashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava has been examined, during his deposition he has deposed that the incident had occurred about four years ago, on the day of incident he was at Ankleshwar, at around 8:00 pm., his cousin brother informed him about the incident happened with Prakash, and he along with them went at Limdi Chowk where a simple altercation had happened with Mayur and some of his family members, except this nothing had happened. Further, he has stated that due to scuffle he and Sunny fell down and got injured and his brother-Prakashbhai gave the complaint, police has recorded his statement and he is not knowing the accused persons of this case.

(11.4) As all the above referred witnesses, at Exhs.17, 18, 19 & 23 had not supported the case of the prosecution the Ld. APP had declared all of them as hostile and though, with the permission of this Court all the above witnesses were cross-examined but nothing supporting the case of the prosecution has come on record.

(11.5) During the cross-examination on behalf of the accused all the above witnesses have admitted that it was dark at the time of incident, and many persons gathered there and they sustained injuries as they fall down during the scuffle.

(12) Vide Exh.44 the prosecution has examined Divyang Girishbhai Mistri, who during his deposition has deposed that when the incident has happened is not remembered by him, he was not the eye-witness of the incident and he is not knowing between whom the incident has happened. Further, he has stated that whether he came to know about the incident afterwards is not remembered to him and except this he is not knowing anything about the incident and police has not enquired him.

(12.1) As the above witness has not supported the case of the prosecution the Ld. APP has declared him as hostile and though with the permission of this Court the above witness was cross-examined but nothing supporting the case of the prosecution has come on record.

(12.2) No cross-examination on behalf of accused has been done.

Evidence of medical witnesses...

(13) vide Exh.21 Dr. Sureshnandan Ramnarayan Yadav has been examined by the prosecution, during his deposition he has stated that on 19/10/2018, when he was on his duty at Civil Hospital, the injured, Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava came at around 10:20 pm for treatment without a police yadi and he gave

his history that at around 8:00 pm, Mayur, Akash, Dev and his relatives assaulted him with an axe and stones. During the treatment the doctor found a lacerated wound measuring 5 cm* 0.5 cm* 0.5 cm over the left side of the scalp with active and clotted bleeding and two reddish contusions measuring 1 cm* 0.5 cm* and 0.5 cm* 0.5 cm over the lower left side of the chest and there was swelling and complaint of pain in chest, the injured was admitted to the Civil Hospital and later was referred to SSG Hospital- Vadodara for further treatment. Further, he has stated that the injuries were fresh and could have been caused by a hard and blunt object including an axe and stones. Further, he has stated that the injured was examined by him and he had given a medical certificate to the injured, and the witness has identified the medical certificate which was exhibited as Exh.22.

(13.1) During the cross-examination on behalf of the accused the witness has denied that no history was given to him by the injured. Further, he has admitted that the injuries were simple in nature and could also be caused if a person fell while running.

(14) vide Exh.27 Dr. Sheetal Sundarlal Ahari has been examined by the prosecution, during her deposition she has stated that on 20/10/2018 at around 11:40 am, when she was serving at Civil Hospital, she has examined the injured, Sunnybhai Rameshbhai Vasava, aged 20 years, he was conscious at that time and the injured gave his history that on 19/10/2018 at around 8:00 pm, some unknown person assaulted him with a fist punch at Tariya Khadi Village. During the treatment of the injured the witness found a 2 cm* 2 cm swelling over the right

parietal region of the scalp, and a 3 cm* 2 cm lacertated wound over the chin and the injured was treated as OPD patient. Further, she has stated that the injuries were about eight hours old and could have been caused by a hard and blunt object and the injured was given a medical certificate. Further, the witness has identified her signature in the medical certificate which was exhibited as Exh.28.

(14.1) During the cross-examination on behalf of the accused, the witness has admitted that both injuries sustained by the injured were simple in nature. Further, she has admitted that she could not specify the exact hard and blunt object by which the injuries has been caused and as the injured was treated as an OPD patient, the medical certificate did not mention the time of discharge and at what time the injured was brought to her and who was with the injured, was not mentioned in the certificate. Further, she has admitted that in the case of OPD patient, there is no need to record the name of the accompanying person, and the injured had directly approached the witness for treatment without any referral note and the injured patient was treated by the doctor herself. Further, she has admitted that the injuries could also be caused if a person fell down and no treatment was provided to the injured as an indoor patient.

(15) Vide Exh.30 the prosecution has examined Dr. Suketu Dave, who during his deposition has deposed that on 20/10/2018 when he was on his duty at Welfare Hospital, at that time injured, Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava, aged 21 years, resident of Tariya, Bharuch, came for a treatment, who had given a history

of having been assaulted by Mayurbhai Vasava, Akashbhai, Devabhai and two other persons by sticks on 19/10/2018 at around 9:00 pm., the injured had initially received treatment at Civil Hospital, Bharuch. During the treatment the witness found a sutured wound near the head and blunt trauma over the chest, the injured was treated as indoor patient from 20/10/2018 to 26/10/2018 and the interim medical certificate on 22/10/2018 and final medical certificate on 26/10/2018 after discharge were given to the injured and the first one was signed by the witness and the second one was prepared by his junior doctor as per his instructions. Further, the witness has identified his signature in the medical certificates which were exhibited as Exh.31 & 32. further, he has stated that the injuries could have been caused by sticks and were in a simple nature.

(15.1) During the cross-examination on behalf of accused the witness has admitted that the injured had come to him on 20/10/2018 and the history was recorded as narrated by the injured. He further admitted that he had not enquired the injured as to why, though the incident had allegedly occurred on 19/10/2018, he had approached the Doctor only on 20/10/2018 and had not enquired about the time gap between the treatment received at Civil Hospital and the injured's arrival at Welfare Hospital and the history of OPD was recorded. Further, he has admitted that the injuries could also be caused if a person fell from a vehicle or struck against a hard and blunt surface and his records did not contain any details of the treatment received at Civil Hospital and the injuries were simple in nature. Further, he has denied that any person with simple injuries could be

discharged after OPD treatment. Further he has admitted that he could not say whether the injuries noted in his certificate had in fact been sustained on 19/10/2018 by the injured, and had not mentioned the duration of injury and further denied that despite having sustained simple injuries, the injured patient had been kept in the hospital as per his own request.

(16) Vide Exh.36 the prosecution has examined Dr. Abhinavkumar Rameshkumar Sharma, who during his deposition has deposed that on 24/10/2018 at around 11:22 pm., while on duty in the Emergency Department of Civil Hospital, Bharuch, the woman police constable of Bharuch City 'B' Division Police Station, i.e., Shital Devajibhai Range No.1902 had brought Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava, age- 40 years, resident of Tadiya Village, Taluka & District- Bharuch, for medical examination and treatment along with police yadi. Further, he has stated that the injured gave his history that she had been assaulted by a mob of 15 to 20 persons with an unknown object at Limdi Chowk, Bharuch between 8:30 pm. and 9:00 pm., on 19/10/2018. further, he has deposed that on examining her, he found an irregular blackish contusion measuring 10 cm * 5 cm over the right upper arm between the shoulder and elbow, with two abrasions measuring 2 cm * 1 cm and 1 cm * 2.2 cm, covered with brownish-black scabs, he also found three abrasions measuring 2 cm * 2 cm, 1 cm * 1 cm, and 1 cm * 0.5 cm over the anterior aspect of the left knee, which were also covered with brownish-black scabs. Further, he has stated that a medical certificate bearing MLC No.2865/2018 has also been given to the injured

patient, he has identified his signature and seal in the medical certificate which was exhibited as Exh.37.

(16.1) During the cross-examination on behalf of accused the witness has admitted that the injured had neither disclosed the names of the assailants nor the weapon used in the alleged assault. He has further admitted that all the injuries mentioned in Exh.37 were simple in nature and that such injuries could also be sustained if a person fell while running. Further, he has denied that the history was written by himself and had issued a false medical certificate without examining the injured.

Evidence of Police witness...

(17) Vide Exh.41 Ashokbhai Naththubhai Patil, has been examined, who during his deposition has stated that on 19/10/2018, while he was in charge as the PSO at Bharuch City 'B' Division Police Station, a complaint of Prakashbhai Vasava has been lodged before ASI D.M.Vasava, which was forwarded to the witness for the registration of offence and was registered as Crime Registration No. 1st 61/2018 for the offences punishable under Sections 323 & 324 of the Indian Penal Code, and entrusted the investigation to ASI D.M.Vasava, and also made an entry in the station diary as Entry no.42 and the computerized copy of the station diary has been produced, which was exhibited as Exh.42.

(17.1) During the cross-examination on behalf of accused the above police witness had admitted that, offence under Sections

323, 324 & 354(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of the POCSO Act had also been registered vide Crime Registration No. 1st 60/2018 at 'B' Division Police Station, Bharuch, against Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava. Further, he had admitted that as per the instructions of his Superior Officer he had only registered the offence, except this he had not done any further procedure and denied that on the say of his Superior Officer a false case has been registered by him and wrongly entrusted the investigation.

(18) Vide Exh. 45 the witness, Dhanajibhai Majarbai Vasava, the Investigating Officer, has been examined, according to him, on 19/10/2018 while serving as PSI at Bharuch City 'B' Division Police Station, he received a hospital vardhi from Civil Hospital, Bharuch, and complaint of the injured complainant, Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava, was recorded in his presence against the accused persons, Mayurbhai Satish Chandu Vasava, Rohitbhai Dineshbhai Vasava, Vishalbhai Dineshbhai Vasava & Akashbhai Babubhai Vasava, thereafter, he forwarded a report to the PSO for registration of the offence, pursuant to which Bharuch City 'B' Division Police Station C.R.No: 1st 61/2018 came to be registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 504 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 135 of the G.P.Act and the investigation was entrusted to him, during the investigation panchnama was prepared and statements of the witnesses i.e., Avinashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava, Sunny @ Rango Ramesh Vasava, Rahul Suresh Vasava, Sudhirbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava & Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava, all resident of Tadiya, and Sanjaybhai Ratilal Vasava, Sushilaben Ishwar Bechar Vasava, Ramilaben Chhotubhai Vasava & Savitaben Dinesh Chandu

Vasava, all resident of Limdi Chowk and Divyang @ Lalu Giri Mistri resident of Vejalpur were recorded, the medical certificates of complainant, Prakashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava and witnesses, Sunny @ Rango Ramesh Vasava and Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava were also obtained from Bharuch Civil Hospital and Welfare Hospital Bharuch, thereafter, accused persons were arrested and upon finding sufficient evidence against them, filed the charge-sheet. The complaint was exhibited as Exh.46, the yadi to the medical officer of the Civil Hospital Bharuch and Welfare Hospital for obtaining the medical certificates of the injured were exhibited as Exhs.47 & 48, vide Mark.5/10 the xerox copy of the notification issued by the District Magistrate regarding the prohibition of carrying weapons was produced. During his deposition at Exh.16 the complaint, the panchnamas at Exhs.38, 39, 40, the medical certificates at Exhs.22, 31, 32, 28 are also referred by him, the above Investigating Officer has identified the accused present in the Court.

(18.1) During the cross-examination, the witness admitted that offence under Sections. 143, 147, 323, 324, 504, 354d and 114 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 12 of the POCSO Act and Section 135 of the G.P. Act against the complainant, Prakashbhai and other witnesses was registered at Bharuch City 'A' Division Police Station vide C.R. No. 1St 60/2018. Further, he has admitted that he himself had recorded the complaint and the investigation was also done by him and further admitted that the place of offence is a populated area. Further, the Investigating Officer has denied that the statements of the witnesses were typed by himself and the signature of the panch witnesses were obtained at his

office and though, there was no sufficient evidence false charge-sheet was filed against the accused persons.

Conclusion....

(19) Hence, considering the oral and documentary evidence adduced by the prosecution, this Court finds that the prosecution has failed to establish the guilt of the accused persons beyond reasonable FIR. As per the evidence of the complainant at Exh.15, he during his deposition before the Court, merely stated that a simple altercation had taken place and except this nothing has happened, and he sustained injuries as he fell down during the scuffle as many persons gathered there due to the altercation and he did not know the accused persons. Though he was declared hostile and extensively cross-examined by the Ld. APP, nothing favourable to the prosecution could be elicited from his testimony.

(19.1) Similarly, the material witnesses named in the FIR that is the mother of the complainant, Bharatiben Prabhatbhai Vasava (Exh.18), and brother of the complainant, Sudhir Prabhatbhai Vasava (Exh.23) as well as other witnesses namely, Sunny Rameshbhai Vasava (Exh.17), Avinashbhai Prabhatbhai Vasava (Exh.19) and , who are closely connected with the complainant, have also not supported the prosecution case. All of them have consistently deposed that there was only a simple altercation, that many persons had gathered at the place of occurrence, and they sustained injuries as they fell down during the scuffle, they were declared hostile and were cross-examined by the prosecution,

nothing incriminating against the accused has emerged from their evidence.

(20) Hence, considering the evidence on record, this Court is of the opinion that the prosecution has failed to prove the case of the prosecution against the accused persons beyond reasonable doubt. The complainant as well as the material witnesses have not supported the prosecution case and were declared hostile. Despite their cross-examination by the Ld. APP, no material has emerged to substantiate the prosecution version.

(20.1) Moreover, considering the deposition of the Medical Officers at Exhs.38 & 42, it is an undisputed fact that the complainant and the injured witness stated in the medical certificate has taken the treatment with the above said Medical Officers, but at the same time it is to be noted that nothing is on record indicating that the injuries to the complainant were caused or inflicted by any of the accused persons. This Court has discussed in detail that neither the complainant nor the witnesses had supported the case of the prosecution, nothing has been stated that any injury was inflicted by any of the accused. Merely, admitting the site panchnama at Exh.39 and Panchnama regarding the body condition of the accused persons at Exh.38 and 40 would not be sufficient by which it can be said that the prosecution had succeeded to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt.

(21) Hence, nothing is on record stating that any of the accused persons had committed the said offence. Further during evidence

nothing has come on record by which it can be said that the offence u/s 135 of GP Act has been committed by any of the accused, it is undisputed that the notification of Add. District Magistrate was in existence during the period of offence but no evidence is on record indicating that any of the accused had breached the notification at Exh.49, the injured persons has categorically deposed that as they fell down they were injured. In short, this is not a case where merely relying on the police witness and medical evidence the accused can be convicted. It is a duty of the prosecution to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt but as discussed in detail and considering the evidence on record it can not be said that the prosecution has succeeded to prove their case beyond reasonable doubt, the prosecution has failed to prove their case, hence, this Court answers issue no. 1 in negative and at the same time issue no. 2 is answered accordingly. Hence, following order...

ORDER

1. The accused nos. (i) Mayurbhai Satishbhai Vasava, (ii) Rohitbhai Dineshbhai Vasava, (iii) Vishalbhai Dineshbhai Vasava, (iv) Akashbhai Babubhai Vasava are acquitted as per Section 235(1) of CrPC for the offences under Sections. 324 & 114 of the Indian Penal Code and section 135 of GP Act.
2. The accused nos.1 to 4 are acquitted for the offence under Sections.323, 504, & 427 of the Indian Penal Code as per

Section 320(1) of CrPC by passing order below Exh.43
i.e., Compromise pursis.

3. The bail bond and surety bond of the accused persons stands cancelled.
4. The Ld. advocate for the accused has submitted a pursis vide Exh. 51, alongwith a certificate of Juvenile Justice Board, Bharuch, certifying that the child in conflict with law in Juvenile Case No.26/2019 arising out of Bharuch City 'B' Division Police Station, Crime Registration No. 1st 61/2018, was acquitted by the Juvenile Justice Board vide Order dated 05/04/2019, and no proceedings in the said case are pending, hence the Muddamal i.e., an iron axe three inches long with a handle of one and a half feet and a four-pointed iron punch vide Muddamal Receipt No.122 of 2018 be disposed of after the appeal period, as per law.
5. Accused persons are directed to furnish the bail of Rs.10,000/- each under Section 437A of CrPC.

Signed and pronounced in the open Court today i.e., 24th July 2026.

Date : 24/07/2026 Place: Bharuch (KKC)	Ejaz Mohsinali Shaikh 2 nd Additional District & Sessions Judge Bharuch(GJ00627)
--	--