



Abhay Pardi Vs. State BA-662-2026

Present: Sh. K.P. Lamba, Advocate for the applicant-accused
Sh. Anuj Malik, APP for the State with IO PSI Vikash

O R D E R:

1. Heard on the 1st regular bail application under Section 483 BNSS filed by applicant-accused Abhay Pardi son of Joni Pardi, resident of village Kanera, Post Office Dharnawada , Guna, Madhya Pradesh, in FIR No.93 dated 22.05.2026, registered under Sections 109(1), 132, 190, 191(3), 221 of BNS and 25/27 Arms Act, at Police Station-City, Mahendergarh.
2. The brief facts, as emanating from the FIR, are that on 22.05.2026 at about 1:45 AM, secret information was received that some suspicious individuals were roaming around Mahendergarh city with intention to commit a crime. They had also been seen engaging in similar activities in the past. Recently, a gang called Pardi has been active in the Districts of Bhiwani, Mahendergarh, and Narnaul. Their methods of crime include robbery and burglary, as well as attempted murder. This gang's methods of committing crimes are heinous. Recently, this gang has been active in Bhiwani, Mahendergarh, and Narnaul.
3. Upon which, two CIA teams were constituted alongwith service

pistol and police officials were distributed government weapons. On receiving information from Police Control Room that these suspicious individuals were heading towards Satnali turn, Mahendergarh. When their vehicle's lights fell on the road ahead, they saw 5-6 men running from Satnali turn towards HUDA Sector. The second team was directed to approach from the other side of HUDA Sector.

4. It has been alleged that the assailants became suspicious of them, so, these 7 persons started running in different directions. The police party started chasing them on foot and the drivers were instructed to keep the vehicle's lights on the assailants. It has been alleged that the assailants were warned to stop but they opened fire on the police party. The police party also fired in the air and warned the assailants to surrender themselves to the police. upon which 4 boys ran towards the fields so two police officials followed them. However, 2 boys while running on the road, fired on the police party but the police party was already moving ahead defending itself so no harm was caused. Despite warning, these people again fired on the police party while running away. The police party fired at these fleeing boys, upon which, one boy fell on the ground and these boys shouted to surrender. All 7 boys were apprehended and on asking they disclosed their names and addresses.
5. As per reply, one pistol 32 bore, 1live cartridges KF8MM, 2 empty

cartridges KF8MM, one country made pistol 32 bore alongwith 2 empty cartridges and 3 live cartridges 32 HPM 25, 2 bags, rebar, one iron Reti, plier, three pants, screwdriver, mobile phone make VIVO, wrist watch, bed-sheet from the accused were recovered. On these allegations, legal action was sought against the accused persons.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant-accused submitted that the applicant-accused has been wrongly implicated in the present case. He is in custody since 22.05.2026. It has been submitted that the applicant-accused has not committed any alleged offences and he is totally innocent. No specific role and attribution has been shown towards the applicant-accused and no recovery is to be effected him. Considering also that the trial is likely to take considerable time, counsel prayed that the applicant-accused be extended the concession of regular bail.
7. On the other hand, learned PP for the State, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, vehemently opposed the bail application. The prosecution urged that the gravity of the allegations and the surrounding circumstances necessitate continued judicial custody. Lastly prayed for dismissal of the present bail application.
8. After considering the rival submissions and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that no ground is made out for grant of regular bail at this stage. The allegations against the applicant are serious in nature. As per the prosecution case, the applicant was part

of a group which allegedly opened fire upon the police party while attempting to evade arrest, besides being found in possession of firearms and other articles allegedly used for commission of offences. Considering the gravity of the accusations and the nature of the offences alleged, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of regular bail to the applicant at this stage. Any observation made herein shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

9. Consequently, the present application under Section 483 Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed on behalf of the applicant-accused Abhay Pardi son of Joni Pardi, is dismissed.

10. Nothing stated herein shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

Pronounced in open Court
30.07.2026

Vandna Yadav SG-I

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul
(UID No. HR-0200)

Certified that all the pages of this order have been checked and signed by the undersigned.

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul
(UID No. HR-0200)

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul UID No. HR-0200 30.07.2026

HRNR010035242026



Abhay Pardi Vs. State BA-662-2026

Present: Sh. K.P. Lamba, Advocate for the applicant-accused
Sh. Anuj Malik, APP for the State with IO PSI Vikash

Arguments heard. Order pronounced. Vide separate order of
even date, bail application stands dismissed. File be consigned to record
room after due compliance.

Pronounced in open Court
30.07.2026

(Harshali Chowdhary)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Narnaul.

Vandna Yadav SG-I

UID No. HR-0200