

BA 55 2025

State Vs. Rupinder Kaur

CNRNo.PBGDA1000532-2025

Present: Sh. S.S. Maan, Advocate counsel for accused.

Heard on bail application. It has been alleged by the applicant that she was regularly appearing in the Court but during the pendency of the case, she had to go out of station to earn her livelihood where she got ill and therefore, she could not come present in the Court. Thus, it has been alleged that her absence from the Court was not intentional and hence prayer for grant of bail has been made. Statement of IO/ASI Balraj Singh has been recorded. As per his statement, no recovery has been effected from accused Rupinder Kaur and she is in custody since 07.01.2025. Present application has been orally resisted by Ld. APP for the State. Perusal of the main file shows that the accused has not put an appearance in the present case and later on absented herself from the Court. Due to her absence, she was declared PO vide order dated 18.11.2022. The accused is in custody since 07.01.2025. This Court is of the opinion that accused might have learnt her lesson of absenting herself from the Court. Therefore, no purpose shall be served by keeping the accused confined in Jail. Hence, while relying upon settled principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is a right and jail is an exception, present accused/applicant Rupinder Kaur stands admitted to bail subject to furnishing of bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety of like amount. Application stands disposed of. Requisite bonds not furnished. Papers be attached with the main file.

Dated: 28.01.2025

Surbhi, Stenographer-III

Randeep Kumar, PCS
JMIC, Batala.
UID No. PB0465