

State Vs. Aslam

BA-1412-2023

Present: Sh. Ajay Yadav, APP for State.
Sh. Ashok Singh, Advocate for accused Aslam.

Reply to bail application filed. Learned counsel for accused argued that he has falsely implicated in the present case by the complainant as the name of the applicant/ accused is not mentioned in the present FIR. Accordingly, he has prayed for granting bail to accused.

On the other hand, learned Assistant Public prosecutor has opposed the bail application and argued that the release of accused on bail would defeat the ends of justice. He prayed for dismissing the bail application.

I have heard the arguments advanced by learned counsel for both the parties and have perused the case file. Trial of this case is likely to take long time to conclude. No recovery is to be effected against him. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused Aslam behind the bars anymore. So, the accused Aslam is hereby admitted to bail on furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Requisite bonds furnished, which are accepted and attested. Accused be released in this case if not required in any other case. Accordingly, bail application stands disposed of being allowed. Paper be tagged with main file.

September 22, 2023

anita

(Harleen Kaur)
JMIC, Rewari
(UID HR-00542)