

Ankush Vs. State of Haryana

IN THE COURT OF JAGJIT SINGH,
SESSIONS JUDGE, SONIPAT

HRSO010074762026 	Case No. BA/2144/2026		
	Registered on	:	18.08.2026
	Decided on	:	21.08.2026

Ankush son of Mukesh, resident of Shyam Nagar, Mehлана Road, Sonipat.

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

FIR No. 200 dated 28.06.2024

Under Sections: 379-B,201, 412

IPC read with Section 34 IPC

Police Station – Sadar Sonipat.

-.-

Application u/s 483 of B.N.S.S. for regular bail

-.-

Argued by: Sh. Sandeep Kumar Singhal, Advocate, counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar and Sh. Jitender Kumar, Public Prosecutors for the State

ORDER

This order of mine shall dispose of an application for bail under Section 483 BNSS moved on behalf of petitioner-mentioned above,

through his counsel.

2. The first information report in question was registered on the statement of complainant Dilbagh, who had levelled allegations of snatching of motor-cycle, ATM card and mobile phone make make REDMI 9 from him, by the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the accused has argued that the accused could not appear in this case on 17.03.2026 due to his illness and lateron he was confined in Sonipat Jail since 04.06.2026, his absence was neither intentional nor malafide. Lateron, the accused was produced before the Court through production warrants. The accused is ready to furnish fresh bail bonds and deserves to be released on bail.

4. Learned Public Prosecutor for the State meanwhile argued that arrest warrants were issued against the accused on 17.03.2026 and despite repeated arrest warrants, he did not appear and proclamation proceedings were issued against him. If accused is released on bail he is most likely to again misuse the concession of bail and therefore, his application deserves to be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the applicant/accused.

6. The accused admittedly absented himself on 17.03.2026 when the case was fixed for awaiting of death report of co-accused and his warrants of arrest were issued. Thereafter, he was produced before the Court through production warrants on 27.07.2026, and is in custody since then.

The accused was earlier also on bail and keeping, in view the above circumstances and he being in custody since 04.06.2026 in another case, accused can be granted one opportunity to reform his conduct and as a result finding merit in the bail application as filed, his application is allowed, granting him benefit of bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 1,00,000/- with two sureties in the like amount to the satisfaction of this Court.

7. Nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. After doing the needful, papers of this bail application be tagged with the main case file.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 21.08.2026

(Jagjit Singh),
Sessions Judge, Sonipat
UID No. HR-0090

(Chanchal Madaan, Executive Assistant)

Ankush Vs. State of Haryana

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Singhal, Advocate, counsel for the
petitioner.
Sh. Mukesh Kumar and Sh. Jitender Kumar, Public Prosecutors
for the State

Orders pronounced. Vide separate order of even date, the
present bail application has been **allowed**. Papers be tagged with the main
case file.

Pronounced in open Court.
Dated: 21.08.2026

(Jagjit Singh),
Sessions Judge, Sonipat
UID No. HR-0090

(Chanchal Madaan, Executive Assistant)