

**IN THE COURT OF 3rd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
AT PETLAD**

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 28 OF 2026

ACCUSED:-

1. Raufbeg Rafikbeg @ Kabhaibhai Sharifbeg Mirza,
Age 24, labour,
R/o Zanda Bazar, Gosiya Hall, Mirzavad,
At Petlad, Dist. Anand
2. Faijuddin @ Fayo Safiyuddin Shermahommad Shaikh,
Age 59, Labour,
R/o Navgnanvada, On Tekra,
At Petlad, Dist. Anand

V E R S U S

Complainant :-

The State of Gujarat

Appearance :-

Ld. Advocate Mr. M.D. Makwana for the Accused
Ld. A.P.P. Mr. G.K. Pandya for the Complainant

: J U D G M E N T :

1. The applicants accused have preferred the present regular bail application u/s 483 of the BNSS, in connection with Petlad Town Police Station I FIR NO. 112125021260067/2026 for the offence punishable u/s 325, 299 and 54 of the BNS, Section 5 (1), 6 (b-1), 8 (1) (2) (3) (4), 10, of the Gujarat Animal Protection Act, and Sec. 11 (I) of the Animal Cruelty Act, and Section 119.

2. Ld. advocate for the applicant has argued that the applicants were arrested on 17/02/2026 and they have filed regular bail application before the Id. trial court which was rejected by Id. trial court, and hence they were taken into judicial custody. It is argued that the applicants were innocent and falsely implicated in the offence on the basis of doubt. Initial investigation has been completed and applicants have given co-operation in the investigation. No muddamal is required to be recovered from the applicants. Applicants have no knowledge about the muddamal. Applicants are the only earning person of their family. Applicants are ready and willing to abide with all the terms and conditions imposed upon them, if they will released on bail. Ultimately, it is prayed to grant present anticipatory bail application.

3. Ld. A.P.P. Mr. Pandya for the State has argued that the prima-facie applicants were involved in commission of offence and name of the accused shown in the FIR. Applicant No. 1 has brought calf which was slaughtered and beef was found at the time of raid. Further, investigation is not completed and if applicant be released on regular bail, they may tamper with the evidence. Therefore, present bail application should be rejected.

4. It appears from the police papers that name of present applicants mentioned in the FIR. The investigation is not

completed. The offence is serious in nature as it has had bad impact on the society. Therefore, looking to the role played by the present applicants-accused, this court is of the view that present regular bail application preferred by the applicants deserves to be dismissed. Hence, court passes following final order.

: O R D E R :

1. Present Regular bail application preferred by applicants-accused is hereby dismissed.
2. Pronounced and signed in the open court on today 9th day of March, 2026.

Date : 09-03-2026

Place : PETLAD

(Zankhana V. Trivedi)
3rd Additional Sessions Judge,
Petlad,
JUDGE CODE - GJ-00676

Tarun Patel
PS