Bail Matters 2230/2025
STATE Vs. HARKWAL KOUR
FIR no. 533/2025
PS Moti Nagar

30.03.2026

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Shivam Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Complainant in person
SHO Inspector Varun Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the present case has been lodged by the complainant with only to harass, humiliate and pressurize for heavy monetary settlement. It is further submitted that the allegations against the applicant/accused are wholly concocted, exaggerated and far from the actual facts. It is further submitted that the applicant is the sister in law of the complainant and is living with her husband in Chandigarh. It is further submitted that the complainant and her husband were residing separately. It is further submitted that the applicant has already joined the investigation of the case with the IO and has duly cooperated in

SATVIR SINGH LAMBA
Digitally signed by SATVIR SINGH LAMBA
Date: 2026.03.30 16:55:42 +0530

Contd...

the investigation, but still is having apprehension for her arrest in present false case. It is further submitted that the Ld. Counsel further submits that the custodial interrogation of the accused is not required in any manner. Ld. Counsel further submits that accused/applicant will further join the investigations in future, as per the requirement of the IO. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that accused/applicant against the accused are serious in nature and prays for its dismissal.

The allegations in the present case are under section 498A/406/354C/506 & 377 IPC. As per the reply of the IO, the applicant/accused has already joined the investigation and cooperated in the same. The matter is still at the initial stage of investigation. However, till date, no such evidence has been brought on record against the applicant for which the police may sought permission from the higher police officials for the arrest of the applicant/accused. Considering the overall aspect of investigation, it is apparent that investigation in the present case will consume further considerable time in its conclusion. Moreso, it is evident from the record that there is difference in the alleged list of articles/stridhan as claimed by the complainant. Hence, considering the facts & circumstances of the case, it is apparent

Contd….

that the custodial interrogation/arrest of the applicant/accused is not required for any purpose, at this stage. Hence, considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the present case, the applicant/accused is directed to join the investigation of the present case in future, as per the requirement of the IO. Further, in case of revealing of any evidence against the applicant/accused of any nature pertaining to the involvement in present case or if IO deems necessary for the arrest of the accused keeping in view any cogent evidence against the applicant/accused, then he shall give seven days prior notice to the applicant/accused before his apprehension/arrest.

With these directions, present application stands disposed off. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.03.2026