

SANOTSH SURI Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

26.02.2026

Present: Sh. Dimple Dhameja, Id. counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Pawan Kumar, Ld counsel for UOI through VC.
Sh. Shivender Gupta, Ld proxy counsel for main
counsel Sh. Nipun Arora, for MCD.
Sh. Sanjay Rai, ASO, L& E, MCD.
Sh. Vipin Goswami, AE KBZ.
Sh. Ashwani Dabas, AE (M-1)/KBZ.

Written submissions has been filed on behalf of the LAC, west by mentioning that due to re-organization of the districts, the record pertaining to the case has been transferred to concerned District i.e. Central for necessary action and compliance.

The bailiff has returned the warrant of attachment unexecuted by mentioning that the DH submitted that he agreed for not executing the warrant of attachment.

The report of the bailiff is not clear as to why he has not executed the warrant of attachment.

Let court notice be issued to the bailiff to appear in person and file his written explanation for not executing the warrant of attachment.

Ld counsel for the MCD seeks an adjournment on the ground that the appeal filed by the MCD against the judgment of the present execution is listed today before Hon'ble High court of Delhi.

The adjournment has been granted to the MCD for getting stay order on the operation of the judgment of the present execution.

It is made clear that on the NDOH, no adjournment for the abovesaid purpose shall be given.

Put up on **12.03.2026** for further proceedings.

(SHIV KUMAR)
DJ-02 (W)THC:26.02.2026 (k)