

Ex. No. 606/2022
Mohinder Goel HUF thr Karta Mohinder Goel
Vs. Adarsh Behl

04.08.2023

Present: Sh. Ganesh Gaurav, proxy for Sh. Ashok Goel, Ld.
Counsel for DH.
None for JD / applicant despite repeated calls.

As per report of bailiff, the warrants of attachment, same could not be executed as the premises was found locked.

After LDOH, an application u/o XXI Rule 26 CPC, was moved on behalf of JD for stay of execution of warrant of attachment.

None has appeared on behalf of JD despite repeated calls to pursue the said application, hence, the same is dismissed in default for non-prosecution.

Sometime is sought on behalf of DH for moving an appropriate application for further proceeding in the matter.

At request, put up for consideraion on 10.11.2023.

(GOPAL SINGH CHAUHAN)
ADJ-03, WEST/DELHI
04.08.2023