

SC 18/2022
STATE Vs. MONA DEVI
FIR no.724/2021
PS Mundka

12.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Mona Devi produced from JC.
Sh. M. Nabi, Ld. Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for remaining final arguments. Remaining final arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

Vide separately pronounced judgment in the open court, the accused is acquitted for the offence u/s 20 (b) (ii) (C) NDPS Act.

In compliance of provisions of Section 481 BNSS (old Section 437-A Cr.P.C.), accused is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bond/surety bond furnished and same are accepted, as per rules. The accused Mona Devi may be released, if not required in any other case.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

STATE Vs. Govind Singh
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch
u/s 20/25/29 NDPS Act

12.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Anshula Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant Govind Singh for the release of vehicle no. DL-8C BA 5387 on supardari.

Reply has already been filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Heard. Perused the reply filed by the IO.

IO does not have any objection for the release of the abovesaid vehicle only on supardari.

Accordingly, IO is directed to release the abovesaid vehicle on supardari.

Directions regarding release of case property i.e. abovesaid vehicle

The aforesaid vehicle be released by the SHO/IO to the registered owner on the following conditions:

Contd...

- i. SHO/IO should verify the particulars of the ownership of the case property vehicle and identity of the applicant.
- ii. Vehicle can also be released to the AR of the owner of the case property on showing of the authority letter on a properly stamped paper.
- iii. Photographs from all angles of the case property should be taken including the engine and chasis number and such photographs should be filed in the police report in the court.
- iv. Such photographs should be attested under signatures by all concerned parties.
- v. A Panchnama as per law should be prepared detailing the proceedings of the release of the case property in favour of a party.

(Such photographs and panchnama should suffice for the purpose of evidence during the trial proceedings as a secondary evidence admissible in law if in the event the original of the case property is not produced during the evidence).

- vi. An indemnity bond should be taken from the party in whose favour the property is released as per the valuation of the case property mentioning the undertaking to indemnify any other person in whose

Contd...

-3-

favour any claim relating to case property is determined by any legally competent forum.

- vii. Mechanical inspection of the vehicle should be done before releasing the vehicle if it is a case of accident.

With these directions, the present application is disposed off. However, if there is any objection to release of case property, objections will be sent in writing on next working day.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

STATE Vs. Kaushika Selvaraj Devendra
FIR no. 565/2025
PS Anti Narcotics West
u/s 21/25/29 NDPS Act

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 94 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for seeking direction from the Hon'ble Court to the investigating officer to preserve the phone CDR and the CCTV Footage of the camera installed at World Brain Hospital.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Chander Shekhar Yogi in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

Bail Matters 1903/2025
STATE Vs. PRASHANT CHAUBEY
FIR no. 315/2025
PS Moti Nagar

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Prashant Chaubey for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
SI Shubham on behalf of PS Moti Nagar

File perused. Heard.

It is submitted by SI Shubham that IO is on leave and sought time for filing of reply to the present application.

At request, let the reply be filed for consideration on the present application on 15.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

SC 558/2025
STATE Vs. OMVEER & ORS.
FIR no. 637/2025
PS NIHAL VIHAR

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Gaurav @ Ashu @ Pandit for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Rajesh Kumar Koli in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 10.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant. It is contended that earlier the applicant was diagnosed with OPIOID dependence syndrome and tobacco dependence syndrome and was on regular treatment from NDDTC. Ld. Counsel further contended that the applicant/accused was accordingly prescribed for daily doses of 11 tablets of Buprenorphine – naloxone (2 Mg) every two weeks and was on medication regularly. It is further

Contd....

contended the applicant is maintaining abstinence from heroin and latest urine screen done on 28.05.2025 and same confirms his abstinence from heroin. It is further submitted that except the disclosure statement of co-accused Parveen Hudda, there is nothing on record against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and the applicant is no more required for further investigation in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant belongs to a respectable family and he is not a previous convict in any criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case on 22.08.2025 the co-accused Ombir was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and 62 Buprenorphine Tablets and 396 Pheniramine Maleate Injection Vials (Avil 10 ml each) along with cash of Rs.82,800/- were recovered from his possession. During investigation he disclosed that Jaiveer and Parveen Hudda had supplied him the contraband. Thereafter, on 08.09.2025, co-accused Parveen Hudda was apprehended and 207 tablets of Buprenorphine Tablets and cash of Rs.6310 were recovered from

Contd....

his possession. During further investigation, co-accused Parveen Hudda disclosed that the applicant/accused used to supply contraband tablets to him in Ranhola area. Thereafter, at the instance of co-accused Parveen Hudda, applicant/accused was arrested. The contention raised by the applicant/accused is that he was advised by the concerned doctor to take 154 Buprenorphine tablets for 2 weeks periodically and same was verified and found genuine during the investigation proceedings. IO has affirmed and verified the medical documents of the applicant/ accused during the investigation. Applicant/accused is found on regular treatment from NDDTC. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused. In the present case investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. Applicant/accused is in JC since 10.09.2025. Admittedly, applicant/ accused is not involved in any other case. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- along with one surety in the like amount on the following conditions:

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.

Contd....

- ii) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- iii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
- v) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

Bail Matters 1878/2025
STATE Vs. SURAJ PRAKASH SURAJ ALIAS SACHIN
FIR no. 878/2015
PS Anand Parbat

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

TCR received. Reply also filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

Put up for clarifications, if any/orders on 13.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

**SC 129/2025
STATE Vs. NWABUF
FIR no. 558/2024
PS Tilak Nagar**

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Nwabuf for grant of bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application for 20.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

**SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI & ORS.
FIR no. 100/2018
PS Hari Nagar**

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for grant of interim bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO with request some more time to verify the medical document of the mother of the applicant.

At request, put up for filing of verification report and for consideration on the present application on 19.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

1

FRESH APPLICATION

2 M 381/2025 STATE Vs. APPLICANT BABITA 151/2016

(Khyala)

Charge

3 SC 362/2025 STATE Vs. MUBARAK 114/2025 (Moti Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

4 SC 271/2017 STATE Vs. KAMAL KUMAR 151/2013 (Rajouri

Garden)

5 SC 264/2019 STATE Vs. DHARMENDER 290/2018 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

6 SC 375/2023 STATE Vs. YASHWANT 753/2023 (NIHAL

VIHAR)

Final Arguments

7 SC 122/2017 STATE Vs. VIJAY KUAMR @ PANDEY

465/2016 (Anand

Parbat)

For Bail

8

9

10

11

12

SC 18/2022
STATE Vs. MONA DEVI
FIR no.724/2021
PS Mundka

12.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Mona Devi produced from JC.
Sh. M. Nabi, Ld. Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for remaining final arguments. Remaining final arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

Vide separately pronounced judgment in the open court, the accused is acquitted for the offence u/s 20 (b) (ii) (C) NDPS Act.

In compliance of provisions of Section 481 BNSS (old Section 437-A Cr.P.C.), accused is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs.40,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bond/surety bond furnished and same are accepted, as per rules. The accused Mona Devi may be released, if not required in any other case.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

STATE Vs. Govind Singh
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch
u/s 20/25/29 NDPS Act

12.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Anshula Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant Govind Singh for the release of vehicle no. DL-8C BA 5387 on supardari.

Reply has already been filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Heard. Perused the reply filed by the IO.

IO does not have any objection for the release of the abovesaid vehicle only on supardari.

Accordingly, IO is directed to release the abovesaid vehicle on supardari.

Directions regarding release of case property i.e. abovesaid vehicle

The aforesaid vehicle be released by the SHO/IO to the registered owner on the following conditions:

Contd...

- viii. SHO/IO should verify the particulars of the ownership of the case property vehicle and identity of the applicant.
- ix. Vehicle can also be released to the AR of the owner of the case property on showing of the authority letter on a properly stamped paper.
- x. Photographs from all angles of the case property should be taken including the engine and chasis number and such photographs should be filed in the police report in the court.
- xi. Such photographs should be attested under signatures by all concerned parties.
- xii. A Panchnama as per law should be prepared detailing the proceedings of the release of the case property in favour of a party.
(Such photographs and panchnama should suffice for the purpose of evidence during the trial proceedings as a secondary evidence admissible in law if in the event the original of the case property is not produced during the evidence).
- xiii. An indemnity bond should be taken from the party in whose favour the property is released as per the valuation of the case property mentioning the undertaking to indemnify any other person in whose

Contd...

-3-

favour any claim relating to case property is determined by any legally competent forum.

- xiv. Mechanical inspection of the vehicle should be done before releasing the vehicle if it is a case of accident.

With these directions, the present application is disposed off. However, if there is any objection to release of case property, objections will be sent in writing on next working day.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

STATE Vs. Kaushika Selvaraj Devendra
FIR no. 565/2025
PS Anti Narcotics West
u/s 21/25/29 NDPS Act

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 94 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for seeking direction from the Hon'ble Court to the investigating officer to preserve the phone CDR and the CCTV Footage of the camera installed at World Brain Hospital.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Chander Shekhar Yogi in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

Bail Matters 1903/2025
STATE Vs. PRASHANT CHAUBEY
FIR no. 315/2025
PS Moti Nagar

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Prashant Chaubey for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
SI Shubham on behalf of PS Moti Nagar

File perused. Heard.

It is submitted by SI Shubham that IO is on leave and sought time for filing of reply to the present application.

At request, let the reply be filed for consideration on the present application on 15.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

SC 558/2025
STATE Vs. OMVEER & ORS.
FIR no. 637/2025
PS NIHAL VIHAR

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Gaurav @ Ashu @ Pandit for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Rajesh Kumar Koli in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 10.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant. It is contended that earlier the applicant was diagnosed with OPIOID dependence syndrome and tobacco dependence syndrome and was on regular treatment from NDDTC. Ld. Counsel further contended that the applicant/accused was accordingly prescribed for daily doses of 11 tablets of Buprenorphine – naloxone (2 Mg) every two weeks and was on medication regularly. It is further

Contd....

contended the applicant is maintaining abstinence from heroin and latest urine screen done on 28.05.2025 and same confirms his abstinence from heroin. It is further submitted that except the disclosure statement of co-accused Parveen Hudda, there is nothing on record against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and the applicant is no more required for further investigation in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant belongs to a respectable family and he is not a previous convict in any criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case on 22.08.2025 the co-accused Ombir was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and 62 Buprenorphine Tablets and 396 Pheniramine Maleate Injection Vials (Avil 10 ml each) along with cash of Rs.82,800/- were recovered from his possession. During investigation he disclosed that Jaiveer and Parveen Hudda had supplied him the contraband. Thereafter, on 08.09.2025, co-accused Parveen Hudda was apprehended and 207 tablets of Buprenorphine Tablets and cash of Rs.6310 were recovered from

Contd....

his possession. During further investigation, co-accused Parveen Hudda disclosed that the applicant/accused used to supply contraband tablets to him in Ranhola area. Thereafter, at the instance of co-accused Parveen Hudda, applicant/accused was arrested. The contention raised by the applicant/accused is that he was advised by the concerned doctor to take 154 Buprenorphine tablets for 2 weeks periodically and same was verified and found genuine during the investigation proceedings. IO has affirmed and verified the medical documents of the applicant/ accused during the investigation. Applicant/accused is found on regular treatment from NDDTC. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused. In the present case investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. Applicant/accused is in JC since 10.09.2025. Admittedly, applicant/ accused is not involved in any other case. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- along with one surety in the like amount on the following conditions:

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.

Contd....

- ii) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- iii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
- v) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

Bail Matters 1878/2025
STATE Vs. SURAJ PRAKASH SURAJ ALIAS SACHIN
FIR no. 878/2015
PS Anand Parbat

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

TCR received. Reply also filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard.

Put up for clarifications, if any/orders on 13.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025

**SC 129/2025
STATE Vs. NWABUF
FIR no. 558/2024
PS Tilak Nagar**

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Nwabuf for grant of bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application for 20.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

**SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI & ORS.
FIR no. 100/2018
PS Hari Nagar**

12.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for grant of interim bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO with request some more time to verify the medical document of the mother of the applicant.

At request, put up for filing of verification report and for consideration on the present application on 19.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/12.11.2025**

1

FRESH APPLICATION

2 M 381/2025 STATE Vs. APPLICANT BABITA 151/2016

(Khyala)

Charge

3 SC 362/2025 STATE Vs. MUBARAK 114/2025 (Moti Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

4 SC 271/2017 STATE Vs. KAMAL KUMAR 151/2013 (Rajouri

Garden)

5 SC 264/2019 STATE Vs. DHARMENDER 290/2018 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

6 SC 375/2023 STATE Vs. YASHWANT 753/2023 (NIHAL

VIHAR)

Final Arguments

7 SC 122/2017 STATE Vs. VIJAY KUAMR @ PANDEY

465/2016 (Anand

Parbat)

For Bail

8

9

10

11

12

Bail Matters 1869/2025
STATE Vs. SALMAN KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

11.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Affidavit along with documents filed. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard. During arguments clarification
asked from the Ld. Counsel regarding the alleged amount of
Rs.27 Lakhs.

Pass over is sought on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the
accused/applicant. At request, be awaited.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

11:00 AM

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the accused/applicant
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

Ld. Counsel for the accused is not present. Be awaited.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

Contd.....

12:00 Noon

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the accused/applicant.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of the applicant/accused. Ld.
Counsel for the complainant seeks adjournment.

In the interest of justice, put up for consideration on the
application on 14.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

Bail Matters 1870/2025
STATE Vs. RAHBHAR KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

11.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Affidavit along with documents filed. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard. During arguments clarification asked from the Ld. Counsel regarding the alleged amount of Rs.27 Lakhs.

Pass over is sought on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant. At request, be awaited.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

11:00 AM

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the accused/applicant
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

Ld. Counsel for the accused is not present. Be awaited.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

Contd.....

12:00 Noon

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the accused/applicant.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

None has appeared on behalf of the applicant/accused. Ld.
Counsel for the complainant seeks adjournment.

In the interest of justice, put up for consideration on the
application on 14.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

SC no. 641/2024
STATE Vs. Saddam Hussain
FIR no. 472/2024
PS Rajouri Garden

11.11.2025

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Saddam Hussain for cancellation of NBWs issued against him.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Applicant in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Considering the facts mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submissions, NBWs of the accused/applicant are stayed till NDOH.

Put up for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e. 28.11.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Concerned SHO be intimated accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

SC 57963/2016
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH @ KALI @ SONU
FIR no. 49/2016
PS Tilak Nagar

11.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC, but on bail in this case.
Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for the
accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for DE/final arguments.

Record reveals that an application u/s 348 of BNSS (old section 311 Cr. PC) for recalling of witnesses PW2, PW3 and PW12 for their cross examination is filed after LDOH.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that abovesaid witnesses have not been cross examined in the present case due to non-availability of counsel for the accused. It is further submitted that the said witnesses i.e. PW2, PW3 and PW12 are very necessary to be cross examined for the fair disposal of the case.

Considering the facts mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submissions, the abovesaid application is allowed, subject to availability of proposed witnesses.

Issue summons to the PW2 Vijay Ghai & PW3 Jitender Ghai for their cross examination for 26.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025

**Bail Matters 1888/2025
STATE Vs. ARSHAD KHAN
FIR no.198/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

11.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Arshad for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Mohd. Haider Ayyoob, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
applicant/accused.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for consideration on the application on 18.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/11.11.2025**

Final Argument

1 SC 18/2022 STATE Vs. MONA DEVI 724/2021 (Mundka)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 870/2018 STATE Vs. RAJESH KUMAR 607/2018 (Rajouri Garden)

3 SC 572/2025 STATE Vs. SUMIT ALIAS PERRYANNA

482/2025 (Rajouri

Garden)

Charge

4 SC 389/2023 STATE Vs. SAHIL KUMAR @ LADDU AND ANR

41/2023 (Crime Branch-

West Delhi)

5 SC 482/2023 STATE Vs. AZEEM 317/2023 (Rajouri

Garden)

6 SC 141/2025 STATE Vs. LOKESH AND Etc. 304/2024 ()

7 SC 395/2025 STATE Vs. MOHAMMAD MEHRAJ

MANSORI

286/2025 (Anand

Parbat)

Prosecution Evidence

8 SC 140/2019 STATE Vs. SUNIL PUNIA 835/2015 (Paschim Vihar West)

9 SC 320/2021 STATE Vs. EDWIN @ JACKSON @

MADUKA 828/2020 (Tilak Nagar)

10 SC 744/2021 STATE Vs. IRFAN SAIFI @ BONA 108/2021

(Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

11 SC 52/2022 STATE Vs. ZAFAR KHAN AND ORS 514/2021

(Moti Nagar)

12 SC 405/2024 STATE Vs. RABEENA @ MAMMI 248/2024

(Moti Nagar)

Final Arguments

13

For Bail

14

16

SC 418/2025
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH & ORS.
FIR no.47/2025
PS Crime Branch- West Delhi

10.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Amit Kumar for grant of bail.

Part arguments heard.

Put up for remaining argument/clarifications, if any on
13.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/10.11.2025

Bail Matters 1869/2025
STATE Vs. SALMAN KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

10.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file
Ld. Counsel for the complainant

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that there are some subsequent changes in the present case and he want to file some documents. Let the facts of subsequent changes, if any be filed by way of affidavit, so that fresh reply be called.

At request, put up for consideration on the present application on 11.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/10.11.2025

Bail Matters 1870/2025
STATE Vs. RAHBHAR KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

10.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file
Ld. Counsel for the complainant

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that there are some subsequent changes in the present case and he want to file some documents. Let the facts of subsequent changes, if any be filed by way of affidavit, so that fresh reply be called.

At request, put up for consideration on the present application on 11.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/10.11.2025

Bail Matters 1831/2025
STATE Vs. MOHD. SOHIL
FIR no. 308/2025
PS Anand Parbat

10.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Mohd. Sohil for grant of bail. TCR not received.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. counsel that the present matter has already committed to Ld. Sessions Court and now same is pending for adjudication before the court of Sh. Vishal Pahuja, Ld. ASJ, West District, Tis Hazari Court.

Ld. Chief PP as well as Ld. Counsel for both the parties prays for sending the present bail application to the concerned court of Sh. Vishal Pahuja, Ld. ASJ for its proper adjudication.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the abovesaid submissions, let the present application be put up before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts today itself at 2 PM with a request to transfer the present bail application to the court, where the case file is pending for trial & adjudication.

Contd...

-2-

Parties are directed to appear before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts today at 2 PM for appropriate directions/further proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/10.11.2025

SC 274/2025
STATE Vs. RAKESH KALRA
FIR no. 99/2025
PS Kirti Nagar

10.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO absent
Both complainant/injured in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicants/accused Rakesh Kalra for grant of bail.

Reply has been filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

IO is not present today.

Issue notice to the IO to appear in person for consideration on the present application for 17.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/10.11.2025

1 SC 110/2023 STATE Vs. GULZAAR 252/2022 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)

2 SC 250/2025 STATE Vs. MUNIP MAHTO AND ANR
246/2024 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)

Charge

3 SC 112/2025 GOVT NCT OF DELHI Vs. RAVI KISHAN
KUMAR RAM AND OTHERS

107/2025 (Punjabi
Bagh)

Prosecution Evidence

4 SC 244/2019 STATE Vs. EMEKA 612/2018 (Tilak Nagar)

5 SC 273/2024 STATE Vs. MUSLIM 141/2024 (Moti Nagar)

6 SC 12/2025 STATE Vs. JAYPRAKASH SINGH 435/2024
(Anand

Parbat)

For Bail

7

8

9

10

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicants/accused Rakesh Kalra for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Case file gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicants/accused persons have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that in the present FIR there is no incriminating evidence against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the both the parties have amicably settled the present matter vide MOU/settlement dated 25.10.2025, however, same could not be signed due to some issues. It is further submitted that the alleged offence in the present case is under the imprisonment of several years and as per the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, accused/applicant should be released on bail. It is further submitted that the applicant is a married man having minor

children as well as old aged/ailing mother and other brothers of the applicant/accused are also in Jail. It is further submitted that in the absence of the applicant/accused and his brother, family of the applicant/accused is facing hardship and difficulties in the ordinary course of social life. It is further submitted that co-accused Bhawana has already been granted bail and the role of the applicant is similar to the co-accused. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the applicant/accused had beaten the complainant and his brother and they received multiple stitches and fracture. Ld. Chief PP for the state has further submitted that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application.

The accused persons are chargesheeted for the commission of offence u/s 110/3(5) BNS. Present case is registered on the version of the complainant, that on 14.03.2025, he & his brother had a verbal altercation with the accused persons and the applicant/accused and co-accused persons had thrown plants-pots on them, which hit them on their hands and heads. It is further alleged that the accused/applicant along with co-accused persons had brutally beaten the victims and the injuries received by one of them are serious.

Perusal of record reveals that previous bail applications were dismissed on 13.10.2025. There is no change in the facts and circumstance of the case, after dismissal of previous bail application of the accused/applicant. Ground raised on behalf of the applicant that the complainant and the victim has no grievance against the accused persons and they have settled their matter with accused persons vide deed of Memo of Understanding dated 25.10.2025

Contd....

-3-

26.09.2025. Perusal of the said deed reveals that the same is draft only and same is even not signed by either by the complainant or the victim. Moreover, victims and complainant are also absent. Hence, no new ground is made out for grant of bail.

In the present case allegations against the accused persons are under section 110/3(5) BNS, which attracts severe punishment. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused persons namely Rakesh Kalra, Vinod Kalra @ Kaka and Jugal Kalra, at this stage. Hence, the present three applications

are dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

File perused. Heard. Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the accused/applicant was arrested on 23.07.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant has been implicated in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. It is further submitted that no person can be made accused in the case merely on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and call connectivity without transcript. It is further submitted that the disclosure statement of co-accused cannot be used against any other person, when no recovery is made from the said person. It is further submitted that in the present chargesheet there is no legally admissible incriminating material against the accused/applicant.

Contd....

It is further submitted that no contraband has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that in the present case alleged contraband was recovered from the possession of the co-accused namely Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. It is further submitted that name of applicant/accused was involved in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. It is further submitted that there are no evidences of any financial transactions or any other conclusive link between the applicant and the other co-accused persons in the present case except the abovesaid false disclosure statement. It is further submitted that there are no chances of absconding of the applicant or tempering with the prosecution evidence as all the witnesses are police officials. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has no connection with the commission of alleged offence. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant on bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail. Ld. Chief PP for the state further submits that the recovery in the present case is of

Contd....

commercial in nature and there is bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act regarding the bail. Ld. Chief PP has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 24.02.2025, a secret information was received that one Vijay S/o Sampat Singh, R/o Rajasthan would come to Delhi in a white Toyota Innova bearing no. RJ-14 TF 0146 between 8 - 10 PM to deliver ganja in Rohini area. In pursuance thereof a trap was laid and accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh was intercepted at 8:35 PM, near Raja Garden Flyover, Outer Ring Road, Delhi after serving notice u/s 50 NDPS Act, search was made and contraband of ganja 156.742 Kg was recovered from the abovesaid Innova Car. The role of the applicant was emerged on the basis of disclosure statement of the accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh, where in he has disclosed that he was working on the directions of one Vijay @ Biju S/o Shrichand and Vineet & the abovesaid contraband was to be delivered to brother in law of Vineet. During further investigation, the Vijay @ Biju was arrested on the basis of technical/manual inputs and his disclosure was recorded to the effect that he used to procure the Ganja from Andhra Pradesh with the help of a local resident Tumu.

Admittedly, the alleged contraband in the present case is recovered from co-accused namely Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. Admittedly, no contraband was recovered from the

Contd.....

possession of accused/applicant or at his instance, after his arrest in the present case. The investigating agency has claimed that accused/applicant was having CDR connectivity with other co-accused persons namely Vijay @ Biju and Vineet Kumar. Admittedly, the abovesaid Vineet Kumar and applicant and are the relatives (brother in law of each other). Further, admittedly, there is no CDR connectivity of the ////////////////accused/applicant with the main accused Vijay Singh @ Sampat, on the day of incident or during nearby days prior of the date of present incident.

Further investigation of the case reveals that there are only ////////////////two calls between the accused/applicant and the co-accused Vijay @ Biju during the period from 01.06.2024 to 25.02.2025. Further, there are only eight calls between the accused/applicant and the other accused Vineet Kumar during the period from 01.06.2024 to 25.02.2025. Admittedly, there is no////////// whatsapp chats between the accused/applicant and other co-accused persons. Admittedly, there is no transcription of the alleged calls of the applicant/accused with the co-accused persons. It is not disputed that the applicant/ accused and co-accused Vijay @ Biju and applicant are relatives and the record of a few calls amongst the relatives between a period around eight months is neither unnatural nor abnormal nor suspicious in any manner, instead same appears to be normal and natural.

Contd.....

It is relevant to mention here that on the one hand investigating agency is claiming that accused/applicant is the master mind and principal conspirator of the alleged offence and used to arrange contraband from Andhra Pradesh. Admittedly, the accused/applicant has neither obtained himself any possession of consignment of contraband of ganja nor delivered the possession of any consignment of contraband of Ganja to any person at any point of time. As per the investigation of the IO, the alleged consignment of the contraband of Ganja was delivered by another co-accused Tumu to the main accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh in Maharashtra. Thereafter, from Maharashtra, the said accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh transported the said consignment of contraband of ganja to Delhi via States of Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan. Admittedly, the vehicle in question from which alleged contraband is recovered is not in the name of the accused/ applicant. Admittedly, there is no CDR location of the accused/ applicant that he was along with the co-accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh at the time of recovery in present case. Further, there is also no CDR location of the applicant/accused that he was present in Maharashtra at any point of time, during the alleged transfer of consignment in the present case. Mere presence of applicant/ accused in the nearby area for sometime and without any corroborative evidence, without any

Contd....

financial link is not sufficient to link the accused/applicant in the present offence.

It is relevant to mention here that as per case law Dalip Singh Vs. State (NCT of Delhi): 2019 SCC OnLine Del 6494, it is held that merely because the applicant and the other co-accused persons were in touch with each other, is not sufficient to establish the offence against the applicant. Moreso, it is well settled law that the evidentiary value of the CDR is a matter of trial and at the stage of consideration on an application for bail, court cannot enter into the appreciation of such material. Morso, in another case law i.e. Phundreimayum Yas Khan V. State (NCT of Delhi), 2023 SCC OnLine Del 135, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has held that in the absence of any other incriminating evidence, bail cannot be denied only on the basis of CDR of the accused persons. Further, in case law Deepak Nangia Vs. State (NCT of Delhi), 2023 SCC OnLine Del 5641 Hon'ble High Court of Delhi pleased to grant anticipatory bail, when the only incriminating evidence against the accused was the disclosure statement of the co-accused and CDR.

Merely because that the applicant is having telephonic conversation with some of the accused would not be sufficient to affirm that he is guilty of the alleged offence, specifically when no recovery was made from him. Considering the totality of facts

Contd....

of the case, in my humble opinion requirement of section 37 of the NDPS Act are satisfied and there are reasonable grounds to believe that the petitioner is not guilty of the said offence. Moreover, the accused is not involved in any other criminal cases. Further, the investigation qua the applicant/accused has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹40,000/- with one local surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

1. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
2. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Contd....

6. That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

SC no. 443/2025
STATE Vs. Najim & Nazir
FIR no. 436/2025
PS Punjabi Bagh

07.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicants/accused persons namely Najim & Najir for release of one mobile phone, Rs.6950/- and one e-rickshaw Army Green Colour having chasis no. 00131 and engine no. 005651.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO.

As per the reply of IO, he does not have any objection for the release of the Jamatalashi articles of the applicant, as per personal search memo memo i.e. one keypad mobile phone and cash Rs.6950/-. Accordingly, IO is directed to release the jamatalashi articles of the accused as per the Personal Search memo, as per rules.

IO also does not have any objection for the release of the case property i.e. abovesaid vehicle only on supardari. Accordingly, IO is directed to release the abovesaid vehicle on supardari.

Directions regarding release of case property i.e. abovesaid vehicle

The aforesaid vehicle be released by the SHO/IO to the

Contd...

registered owner on the following conditions:

- xv. SHO/IO should verify the particulars of the ownership of the case property vehicle and identity of the applicant.
- xvi. Vehicle can also be released to the AR of the owner of the case property on showing of the authority letter on a properly stamped paper.
- xvii. Photographs from all angles of the case property should be taken including the engine and chasis number and such photographs should be filed in the police report in the court.
- xviii. Such photographs should be attested under signatures by all concerned parties.
- xix. A Panchnama as per law should be prepared detailing the proceedings of the release of the case property in favour of a party.

(Such photographs and panchnama should suffice for the purpose of evidence during the trial proceedings as a secondary evidence admissible in law if in the event the original of the case property is not produced during the evidence).

- xx. An indemnity bond should be taken from the party in whose favour the property is released as per the valuation of the case property mentioning the undertaking to indemnify any other person in whose

Contd...

-3-

favour any claim relating to case property is determined by any legally competent forum.

xxi. Mechanical inspection of the vehicle should be done before releasing the vehicle if it is a case of accident.

With these directions, the present application is disposed off. However, if there is any objection to release of case property, objections will be sent in writing on next working day.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1873/2025
STATE Vs. DEVENDRA KAUSHIKA SELEVARAJ VASANTI
@ KITTU
FIR no. 565/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

07.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Harshvardhan and Ms. Renu Nahar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Chander Shekhar Yogi in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

Fresh vakalatnama is filed on behalf of accused/applicant.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that applicant/accused is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is permanent resident of Mumbai and is pursuing her graduation. It is further submitted that the applicant has visited Delhi on 23.10.2025 to meet her maternal relatives during semester break. It is further submitted that on the day of incident, applicant accompanied co-accused Roza for visit to the doctor as she was not feeling well. It is further submitted that the alleged scooty being driven by the applicant, however, she had no knowledge, of

Contd.....

the alleged contraband substance and same was neither in her physical possession nor in conscious possession. It is further submitted that the abovesaid scooty was being used by the husband of co-accused Roza for his personal purpose and the applicant was not having any control or dominion over the said vehicle. It is further submitted that no independent witness was joined the recovery proceedings of the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is not involved in any other criminal case. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of bail and Ld. Counsel has relied upon the case laws of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi titled as Guru Sewa Singh Vs. State Govt. of NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no. 1000/2025 dated 09.05.2025, Dilip Kumar Mishra Vs. State Govt. of NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no. 2169/2025 dated 19.09.2025, Rajender Kumar Vs. NCB in Bail Appln. no. 1215/2021 dated 27.05.2021 and case law of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur in case titled as Muskan Vs. State of Rajasthan in Bail Appln. no. 13082/2024 dated 22.10.2024.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P. P. for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that huge quantity of contraband has been recovered in the present case, which is a commercial quantity and therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act is applicable. Ld. Addl. P.P has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Contd....

Brief facts of the case are that on 30.10.2025, on the basis of a secret information, commercial quantity of the contraband i.e. 439.55 grams Heroin/Smack was recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant and co-accused Roza. Thereupon, after due compliance the present case was registered and further investigation was carried out. Investigation of the case is at crucial stage. Custodial interrogation of the accused/applicant is necessary to ascertain the source of contraband and to unearth the complete conspiracy in the present case. Moreso, the case laws relied upon by the Ld. Counsel also are not applicable to the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, as in the said cases chargesheet was filed after completion of investigation and the period of custody of the accused was much more than the period of custody of the applicant/accused.

Further, the menace of selling of contraband has become rampant and the young generation is being seriously indulged in consumption of the contraband and in this regard, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as "*Union of India Vs. Ram Samujh & Ors.*" 1999 (9) SCC 429, has elaborated that offences under NDPS Act are even more serious and grave than Murder cases. The Apex Court observed, "It is to be borne in mind that the aforesaid legislative mandate is required to be adhered to and followed. It should be borne in mind that in a murder case, the accused commits murder of one or two persons,

Contd....

while those persons who are dealing in narcotic drugs are instrumental in causing death or in inflicting death blow to a number of innocent young victims, who are vulnerable; it causes deleterious effects and a deadly impact on the society; they are a hazard to the society; even if they are released temporarily, in all probability, they would continue their nefarious activities of trafficking and/or dealing in intoxicants clandestinely. Reason may be large stake and illegal profit involved. This line of reasoning has been reiterated in the pronouncement in “***State of Kerala Vs. Rajesh***” in Criminal Appeal No.(S). 154157 of 2020 (arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s).7309-7312 of 2019) decided on 24.01.2020 by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

It is trite principle of law that while granting bail the court has to keep in mind (a) The nature and gravity of the accusation and the exact role of the accused must be properly comprehended before arrest is made; (b) the antecedents of the applicant including the fact as to whether the accused has previously undergone imprisonment on conviction by a court in respect of cognizable offence; (c) the possibility of the applicant to flee from justice; (d) the possibility of the accused's likelihood to repeat similar or other offences; (e) Where the accusations have been made only with the object of injuring or humiliating the applicant by arresting him or her; (f) Impact of grant of bail particularly in cases of large magnitude affecting a very large

Contd....

number of people; (g) The court should consider reasonable apprehension of tampering of the witnesses or apprehension of threat to the complainant and any other aspects of the case should be taken into consideration while granting bail.

The allegations against the accused/ applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit the offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

Bail Matters 1753/2025
STATE Vs. SAPAN KUMAR GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

07.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.
IO SI Manish in person with case file

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail. Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be supplied.

Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that the applicant/accused has already joined the investigation of the present case with IO and has duly cooperated. On the contrary, IO claimed that the applicant/accused has not cooperated in his interrogation and has evasive reply to the queries put to him.

IO submits that the custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is required to unearth the alleged source of income of applicant and for carrying out further investigation.

Record reveals that IO has called the accused for the joining of the investigation only once. Admittedly, thereafter IO has not called the applicant/accused for any queries since the last date of hearing. Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that the applicant/accused is still ready and willing to join the investigation, if required by the IO.

Contd....

-2-

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case as well as keeping in view the submissions, applicant is directed to join the investigation of the present case as per the requirement of the IO. IO is directed to file a fresh reply after further investigation, upon joining of the investigation by the applicant and for further consideration of the present application on 14.11.2025. Till then interim protection in favour of accused/applicant is extended.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

Bail Matters 1880/2025
STATE Vs. SHAKUNTALA DEVI GUPTA
FIR no. Not known
PS Anand Parbat

07.11.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
HC Harish from PS Anand Parbat

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of PS Anand Parbat.

As per reply no FIR has been registered against the applicant till date.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

Bail Matters 1879/2025
STATE Vs. BODHRAJ GUPTA
FIR no. Not known
PS Anand Parbat

07.11.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
HC Harish from PS Anand Parbat

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of PS Anand Parbat.

As per reply no FIR has been registered against the applicant till date.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

Bail Matters 1878/2025
STATE Vs. SURAJ PRAKASH SURAJ ALIAS SACHIN
FIR no. 878/2015
PS Anand Parbat

07.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed on behalf of the IO.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the submissions, let TCR be called from concerned court for further consideration on the present application for 12.11.2025. Also issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application for NDOH.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

SC 553/2025
Bail Matters 1798/2025
STATE Vs. ANIL KUMAR SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

07.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Anil Kumar Singh for grant of bail.

Reply to the application filed on behalf of the IO already supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel further submits that the role of the accused is alleged involved in present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused persons. Ld. Counsel contended that the disclosure by the co-accused person is not admissible against the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is arrested in the present case on 01.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. Ld. Counsel further submits that no recovery was effected from the accused/applicant and the alleged recovery, if any, is of intermediate quantity, therefore there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act. It is further submitted that investigation has already been completed and chargesheet is also filed. It is further submitted

Contd....

that no written communication of grounds of arrest had been given to the applicant/accused. Ld. Counsel further submits that neither photography nor videography nor any CCTV footage of the alleged proceedings of recovery of contraband was done. Ld. Counsel further submits that no independent witnesses were joined at the time of the alleged recovery proceedings of contraband from the accused/ applicant. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature. Ld. Chief PP for the state further submitted that as per the report of the IO, accused/applicant is actively involved in the offence in the present case as he was part of well organised international network in drug trafficking.

Present case was registered on 27.08.2025 after the recovery of 3.708 Kg of OG Ganja from the possession of accused persons namely Pratap and Mehraj Khan. It is a case of prosecution that on 26.08.25 a secret information was received regarding the supply of OG Ganja in and around Tilak Nagar/Park Hospital/ Golden Mansion. Thereupon, after due compliance, a raiding team was prepared and at around 3 PM police intercepted a Silver Swift Dzire (DL-4C AS 9695) near Hotel Golden Mansion. The occupant of abovesaid car were

Contd....

identify by the secret informer as Pratap (Driver) and Mehraj Khan. Thereafter, on inspection of boot/diggy of abovesaid car five polythene packets were recovered and the said substance tested positive for Marijuana. The quantity of contraband was 2.667 Kg of OG Ganja. Further, on the basis of leads given by accused Mehraj, police team also inspected another black KIA Black Sonet (DL-14CF 0533) car parked in the parking of Hotel Golden Mansion and also recovered another two similar packets, which tested positive for Marijuana. The quantity of contraband was 1.041 Kg of OG Ganja.

During further investigation and on the basis of disclosure of the abovesaid co-accused persons namely Mehraj Khan and Pratap, it is revealed that they were engaged in the supply of OG Ganja by applicant/accused, who used to visit Bangkok to bring contraband. It is further revealed that the applicant/accused be friended them with one Ishaan, who lives in Dubai and used to get contraband supply through foreigners. During further investigation, the applicant/accused was also arrested in the present case and during his PC Remand further recovery of 2.029 OG Ganja was effected from the diggi of KIA Sonet Car bearing no. DL-BC BA 5387 parked near his house in Village Gangepur, Azamgarh and the said car is in the name of his brother.

During further investigation, mobile phone of the applicant/accused was also analysed and same revealed &

Contd.....

affirmed the CDR & Whatsapp connectivity with other co-accused persons.

Allegations against the accused are of criminal conspiracy in the alleged offence with the co-accused persons. The conspiracies are always made in secrecy, hence, it is very tough to obtain explicit evidence for the same. The allegations against the accused/applicant are of part of well organised international network, which is engaged in transporting contraband in India for monetary gain. Chargesheet has been filed in the present case for the offence u/s 20/25/29 NDPS Act. Charges are yet to be framed.

Moreso, the contention raised by Ld. Counsel that neither accused nor his family member were communicating in writing the grounds of his arrest, no independent witness has joined the investigation or there is no photography/videography of the alleged recovery does not hold water as the reasons of arrest are duly mentioned in arrest memo of the applicant/accused and the other grounds need to be tested during trial.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, there are apprehensions that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit the similar offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and

Contd....

-5-

seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/07.11.2025

1 SC 364/2025 STATE Vs. OM PRAKASH 373/2025 (Ranhola)

2 SC 572/2025 STATE Vs. SUMIT ALIAS PERRYANNA
482/2025 (Rajouri
Garden)

Prosecution Evidence

3 SC 317/2018 STATE Vs. HARGOVIND SINGH 213/2017
(Crime
Branch-West Delhi)

4 SC 40/2020 STATE Vs. RAJA SINGH 386/2019 (Rajouri
Garden)

5 SC 54/2022 STATE Vs. GAGANDEEP SINGH 908/2020
(Rajouri
Garden)

6 SC 95/2024 STATE Vs. ALBAN JOHN ALEXANDRE
AND OTHERS
1082/2023 (NIHAL
VIHAR)

7 SC 389/2024 STATE Vs. GANESH AND ANR 09/2024
(Crime Branch-
West Delhi)

Final Argument

8 SC 94/2017 STATE Vs. VICKY ETC. 763/2015 (Paschim
Vihar East)

For Bail

9

10

11

13

14

15

SC 418/2025
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH & ORS.
FIR no. 47/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

06.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vineet Kumar @ Rahees for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.
IO ASI Aditya in person

File perused. Heard. Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the accused/applicant was arrested on 23.07.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant has been implicated in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. It is further submitted that no person can be made accused in the case merely on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and call connectivity without transcript. It is further submitted that the disclosure statement of co-accused cannot be used against any other person, when no recovery is made from the said person. It is further submitted that in the present chargesheet there is no legally admissible incriminating material against the accused/applicant.

Contd....

It is further submitted that no contraband has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that in the present case alleged contraband was recovered from the possession of the co-accused namely Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. It is further submitted that name of applicant/accused was involved in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. It is further submitted that there are no evidences of any financial transactions or any other conclusive link between the applicant and the other co-accused persons in the present case except the abovesaid false disclosure statement. It is further submitted that there are no chances of absconding of the applicant or tempering with the prosecution evidence as all the witnesses are police officials. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has no connection with the commission of alleged offence. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant on bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail. Ld. Chief PP for the state further submits that the recovery in the present case is of

Contd....

commercial in nature and there is bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act regarding the bail. Ld. Chief PP has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 24.02.2025, a secret information was received that one Vijay S/o Sampat Singh, R/o Rajasthan would come to Delhi in a white Toyota Innova bearing no. RJ-14 TF 0146 between 8 - 10 PM to deliver ganja in Rohini area. In pursuance thereof a trap was laid and accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh was intercepted at 8:35 PM, near Raja Garden Flyover, Outer Ring Road, Delhi after serving notice u/s 50 NDPS Act, search was made and contraband of ganja 156.742 Kg was recovered from the abovesaid Innova Car. The role of the applicant was emerged on the basis of disclosure statement of the accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh, where in he has disclosed that he was working on the directions of one Vijay @ Biju S/o Shrichand and applicant & the abovesaid contraband was to be delivered to brother in law of applicant. During further investigation, the accused/applicant was arrested on the basis of technical/manual inputs and his disclosure was recorded to the effect that he used to procure the Ganja from Andhra Pradesh with the help of a local resident Tumu.

Admittedly, the alleged contraband in the present case is recovered from co-accused namely Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh. Admittedly, no contraband was recovered from the

Contd.....

possession of accused/applicant or at his instance, after his arrest in the present case. The investigating agency has claimed that accused/applicant was having CDR connectivity with other co-accused persons namely Vijay Singh S/o Sampat and Amit Kumar. Admittedly, the abovesaid Amit Kumar and applicant and are the relatives (brother in law of each other).Further, admittedly, there is no CDR connectivity of the accused/applicant with the main accused Vijay Singh @ Sampat, on the day of incident or during nearby days prior of the date of present incident.

Further investigation of the case reveals that there are only two calls between the accused/applicant and the co-accused Vijay @ Biju during the period from 01.06.2024 to 25.02.2025. Further, there are only eight calls between the accused/applicant and the other accused Amit Kumar during the period from 01.06.2024 to 25.02.2025. Admittedly, there is no whatsapp chats between the accused/applicant and other co-accused persons. Admittedly, there is no transcription of the alleged calls of the applicant/accused with the co-accused persons. It is not disputed that the applicant/ accused and co-accused Vijay @ Biju and Amit Kumar are relatives and the record of a few calls amongst the relatives between a period around eight months is neither unnatural nor abnormal nor suspicious in any manner, instead same appears to be normal and natural.

Contd.....

It is relevant to mention here that on the one hand investigating agency is claiming that accused/applicant is the master mind and principal conspirator of the alleged offence and used to arrange contraband from Andhra Pradesh. Admittedly, the accused/applicant has neither obtained himself any possession of consignment of contraband of ganja nor delivered the possession of any consignment of contraband of Ganja to any person at any point of time. As per the investigation of the IO, the alleged consignment of the contraband of Ganja was delivered by another co-accused Tumu to the main accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh in Maharashtra. Thereafter, from Maharashtra, the said accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh transported the said consignment of contraband of ganja to Delhi via States of Madhya Pradesh and Rajasthan. Admittedly, the vehicle in question from which alleged contraband is recovered is not in the name of the accused/ applicant. Admittedly, there is no CDR location of the accused/ applicant that he was along with the co-accused Vijay Singh S/o Sampat Singh at the time of recovery in present case. Further, there is also no CDR location of the applicant/accused that he was present in Maharashtra at any point of time, during the alleged transfer of consignment in the present case. Mere presence of applicant/ accused in the nearby area for sometime and without any corroborative evidence, without any

Contd....

financial link is not sufficient to link the accused/applicant in the present offence.

It is relevant to mention here that as per case law Dalip Singh Vs. State (NCT of Delhi): 2019 SCC OnLine Del 6494, it is held that merely because the applicant and the other co-accused persons were in touch with each other, is not sufficient to establish the offence against the applicant. Moreso, it is well settled law that the evidentiary value of the CDR is a matter of trial and at the stage of consideration on an application for bail, court cannot enter into the appreciation of such material. Morso, in another case law i.e. Phundreimayum Yas Khan V. State (NCT of Delhi), 2023 SCC OnLine Del 135, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has held that in the absence of any other incriminating evidence, bail cannot be denied only on the basis of CDR of the accused persons. Further, in case law Deepak Nangia Vs. State (NCT of Delhi), 2023 SCC OnLine Del 5641 Hon'ble High Court of Delhi pleased to grant anticipatory bail, when the only incriminating evidence against the accused was the disclosure statement of the co-accused and CDR.

Merely because that the applicant is having telephonic conversation with some of the accused would not be sufficient to affirm that he is guilty of the alleged offence, specifically when no recovery was made from him. Considering the totality of facts

Contd....

of the case, in my humble opinion requirement of section 37 of the NDPS Act are satisfied and there are reasonable grounds to believe that the petitioner is not guilty of the said offence. Moreover, the accused is not involved in any other criminal cases. Further, the investigation qua the applicant/accused has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹40,000/- with one local surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

1. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
2. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Contd....

6. That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

SC no. 451/2025
STATE Vs. Aditya @ Honey
FIR no. 129/2025
PS Paschim Vihar

06.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Aditya @ Honey for release of his personal search articles.

Reply filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

Bail Matters 1817/2025
STATE Vs. SHANU
FIR no. 383/2025
PS Anand Parbat

06.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Shanu for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

By way of the present application, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated the present case. It is further submitted that that the applicant/ accused never involved in any criminal activity. It is further submitted that there is a considerable delay in lodging the present FIR & there are glaring contradictions, inconsistencies and discrepancies in its content. It is further submitted that parents of the applicant/accused brought the accused to police station for his surrender and thereupon he was arrested on 19.08.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of accused. It is further submitted that the police had not maintained proper documents of the present case at the time

Contd.....

of production of the accused after his arrest and IO has not taken any steps for the TIP of the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the present case has been registered against the accused/applicant only to harass the applicant/accused. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that in the CCTV footage, the accused/applicant is clearly seen along with co-accused persons while committing the crime and subsequent running away from there. Ld. Chief PP further submits that accused/applicant is clearly seen in the CCTV Footage that co-accused Pritam had handed over him a pistol and same was used by the applicant in present case. It is further submitted that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and he was prays for its dismissal.

Present case was registered on the complaint of complainant, wherein he alleged that on 26.07.2025 at about 09:30 PM when he was sitting on his shop then two persons came there and applicant/ accused pointed out pistol upon him and demanded money. Upon his refusal, the accused persons tried to take away the money from cash box. The complainant pushed one of them upon which applicant/accused fired upon him twice. However, the complainant escaped from the said fires. Thereafter, the applicant/accused again fired upon complainant,

Contd.....

which hit him on the thigh. Moreso, during investigation it is revealed that the applicant/accused is clearly seen in the CCTV footage committing the crime and subsequently running away from the spot. It is relevant to mention here that accused was correctly identified by the victim during TIP proceedings. Investigation of the case is still under progress.

The allegations against the accused/applicant are u/s 109(1)/309(6)/3(5) of BNS and u/s 25/27 Arms Act. The offence under section 109(1) IPC attracts severe punishment. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

Bail Matters 1869/2025
STATE Vs. SALMAN KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

06.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant through VC
IO HC Sona Ram in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be
supplied.

At request, put up for consideration on the present
application on 10.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

Bail Matters 1870/2025
STATE Vs. RAHBHAR KHAN
FIR no. 28988/2025
PS Moti Nagar

06.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant through VC
IO HC Sona Ram in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be
supplied.

At request, put up for consideration on the present
application on 10.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

SC 418/2025
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH & ORS.
FIR no. 47/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

06.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant through VC
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Amit Kumar for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be
supplied.

At request, put up for consideration on the present
application on 10.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.11.2025

1 SC 18/2022 STATE Vs. MONA DEVI 724/2021 (Mundka)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 195/2023 STATE Vs. SONU KUMAR etc. 56/2023 (Hari
Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

3 SC 280/2020 STATE Vs. SURAJ 359/2020 (Mundka)

4 SC 479/2020 STATE Vs. RAHUL KUMAR MISHRA
168/2020 (Rajouri

Garden)

5 SC 766/2021 STATE Vs. GANUGA BASHA AND ORS

566/2021 (Rajouri

Garden)

For Bail

6

7

8

**FIR no. 463/2022
PS Rajouri Garden
u/s 20/61/85 NDPS Act
State Vs. Akash**

04.11.2025

**Matter is taken up on receiving of kalandra u/s 35(1) (d)
BNSS, 2023 vide DD no. 40A, dated 04.11.2025 PS IGI Airport.**

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Akash produced after arrest by HC Banty Yadav
no.396A PO Cell and HC Vikas.
Sh. Hari Om Gupta, Ld. LAC for the accused.

Kalandra perused. Heard.

Case file also gone through. Record reveals that accused Akash was declared proclaimed absconder on 08.08.2024 in the present case. Now the accused has been arrested by the PO Cell and is produced before the court. Considering the facts of the case and the nature of allegations, the accused Akash is taken into custody in the present case and be produced for further proceedings on 18.11.2025.

In the meanwhile, issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for further investigation in the present case for NDOH.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025**

Bail Matters 1858/2025
STATE Vs. SHANKAR
FIR no. 534/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

04.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Shankar for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the accused/applicant was arrested in the present case on 07.10.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that in the present alleged recovery of contraband is shown as 1132 grams of ganja, however, at the time of sampling of the same, it was found 1094 grams of ganja. Ld. Counsel further submitted that no contraband was recovered from the applicant/accused or at his instance and the recovery, if any, has been shown is planted one. It is further submitted that no notice u/s 50 of the NDPS was given to the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery shown in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37

Contd....

of the NDPS Act in the present case. It is further submitted that all the witnesses are police witnesses and hence, there is no chance of tempering of evidences. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant/accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

In the present case, allegations against the applicant/accused are that on 07.10.2025, on a secret information, applicant/accused was apprehended and 1132 grams of ganja was recovered from his possessions. The alleged recovery in the present is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act. Accused is in JC since 07.10.2025. Further, the investigation qua the applicant/accused is almost completed. FSL result is yet to be received. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹25,000/- with one local surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

1. That he shall join the investigation as and when directed by the IO/SHO concerned.

Contd....

2. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
3. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
4. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
5. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
6. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025

SC 522/2025
Bail Matters 1707/2025
STATE Vs. SANJEEV RANJAN
FIR no. 493/2025
PS Ranhola

04.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused for grant of statutory bail.

None has appeared on behalf of the applicant despite
several calls. It is already 2 PM.

Considering the absence on behalf of the applicant/
accused, present application is dismissed in default for non-
appearance and for non-prosecution.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025

Bail Matters 1804/2025
STATE Vs. ARSHAD
FIR no. 198/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

04.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Khushi A. Ayubi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Arshad for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks
permission to withdraw the present bail application of the
accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the
submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn.
However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh
application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025

SC 553/2025
STATE Vs. MEHRAJ KHAN AND OTHERS
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

04.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aman Akhtar, Sh. Faraz Khan, Sh. Mohd Asif, Ld.
Counsels for the applicant/accused.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajwant Singh for grant of interim bail for two weeks.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that marriage and other rituals of marriage of brother in law of the accused/applicant are fixed for 8th and 9th of November 2025 at S.D. Public School, Near Sachin Dairy, Hastinapur District, Meerut (UP). It is further submitted being husband of the groom's sister presence of the applicant/accused is required. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of interim bail for two weeks.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present interim bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

In the present case, the accused is chargesheeted for the offence under section 20/25/29 NDPS Act. Further, it is relevant to mention here the further investigation qua the other accused persons is pending and other co-accused persons are yet to be arrested. Further allegations against the accused/applicant is that he was a PAX and FSL data related to mobile phone of accused, containing the details of PAX is yet to be obtained. Moreso, as per the report of IO there are 04 other married sisters and one brother of the wife of the applicant and the presence of the applicant/accused is not so much needed to carry out the ceremonial events.

The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature. Moreso, the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out, in case applicant/accused is admitted on interim bail. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused is dismissed.

Nothing discussed here-in-above shall tantamount to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Contd....

-3-

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025

SC no. 451/2025
STATE Vs. Aditya @ Honey
FIR no. 129/2025
PS Paschim Vihar

04.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Aditya @ Honey for release of his personal search articles.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Considering the same, let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application on 06.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.11.2025

Misc./ Appearance

**1 SC 702/2021 STATE Vs. MBAEGBU UCHENNA JOHN
AND ORS. 356/2021 (Ranhola)**

Charge

**2 SC 29/2025 STATE Vs. NITESH AND ANR 145/2024 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)**

**3 SC 186/2025 STATE Vs. FARHAN AND ORS. 789/2024
(Ranhola)**

Prosecution Evidence

4 SC 293/2017 STATE Vs. ROHIT 740/2014 (Patel Nagar)

**5 SC 583/2019 STATE Vs. SONA LAL S/O DHUPPAN RAI
264/2019 (Kirti Nagar)**

**6 SC 61/2020 STATE Vs. AJAY CHATRA S/O DARSHAN
CHATRA**

623/2019 (Rajouri

Garden)

7 SC 377/2023 STATE Vs. ABRAR 329/2022 (Khyala)

Final Argument

**8 SC 57885/2016 STATE Vs. MAHAVIR ETC 307/2013 (Uttam
Nagar)**

For Bail

9

11

12

13

Aditya @ Honey

Reply filed on behalf of the IO.

As per the reply of IO, he does not have any objection for the release of the Jamatalashi articles of the applicant, i.e. one mobile phone and cash Rs.8,270/-. Accordingly, IO is directed to release the jamatalashi articles of the accused as per the Personal Search memo, as per rules, except original notice u/s 50 of NDPS Act.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

SC 182/2025
STATE Vs. JAGESHWAR
FIR no.115/2025
PS Tilak Nagar

03.11.2025

This is an application for release of impounded mobile phone ITEL Keypad Black/ Blue Colour with IMEI no. 357983841669456 on supardari.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed by the IO. Copy supplied. Same is gone through. Perusal of reply reveals that IO has no objection if the mobile phone in question is released to the applicant on supardari.

In view of the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled as **Sunder Bhai Ambalal Desai Vs. State of Gujrat, AIR 2003 SC 638** and Hon'ble High Court in case titled as **Manjit Singh Vs. State in Crl. M.C. No. 4485/2013 dated 10.09.2014**, the mobile phone in question be released to the applicant on furnishing **security bond as per valuation report of the mobile phone**. IO is directed to prepare a detailed panchnama and shall also take the photographs of the mobile phone from all the angles which shall be countersigned by the complainant as

Contd...

well as by the accused and the person to whom the mobile phone is released. The said panchnama shall be filed alongwith the chargesheet.

IO is directed to get the valuation done of the mobile phone prior to releasing the same to the applicant as per directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court. Applicant is directed not to dispose off the mobile phone till the pendency of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/03.11.2025

**M 340/2025 STATE Vs. APPLICANT BABALPREET
KAUR
225/2025 PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

03.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/registered owner Babalpreet for release of vehicle bearing no. DL-4CAS 9695 on supardari.

As per the reply of the IO, the vehicle in question is in the name of wife of the accused. It is further stated that the vehicle in question was used in commission of the offence under NDPS Act. It is further stated that vehicle in question may be again used for the similar activities, if released.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the applicant/registered owner.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/03.11.2025**

STATE Vs. Vikash Kumar Yadav
FIR no. 115/2025
PS Tilak Nagar
u/s 20 NDPS Act

03.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

Fresh vakalatnama filed. Same is taken on record.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vikash Kumar Yadav for cancellation of NBWs and furnishing fresh bail bond.

Ld. Counsel submits that vide order dated 17.10.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Bail Appln. no. 3624/2025 titled as Vikash Kumar Yadav Vs. State of Govt. of NCT of Delhi, accused was directed to appear before this court as well as to furnish fresh bail bond before this court.

Vide the abovesaid order Hon'ble High Court has pleased to admit the accused on anticipatory bail in the present case. Hence, the coercive proceedings of NBW and process u/s 84 BNS stands extinguished. Perusal of above said order further reveals that as per the para no. 15 of the order, it is directed that in the event of arrest of the accused, he shall be admitted to anticipatory bail. Further as per the condition of anticipatory bail as mentioned in para no. 15 (i) the accused shall furnish personal

Contd....

bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of investigating officer/arresting officer. Meaning thereby, in the abovesaid order Hon'ble High Court has not given any direction to the accused either for appearance in present case or for furnishing fresh bail bond/surety bond as desired by the applicant by way of present application. Hence, the present application is not maintainable in present form and is disposed off accordingly.

However, the applicant is at liberty to appear/approach the Investigating Officer of the present case for the joining of the investigation of the present case in terms of the abovesaid order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/03.11.2025

M 360/2025
STATE Vs. AKASH SINGH
FIR no. 685/2025
PS Ranhola

03.11.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Saurabh Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Akash Singh for giving directions to the concerned Jail Superintendent to transport the accused separately in Jail Van while bringing him to the court from Jail.

Report received from the concerned Jail Superintendent. As per the report, accused/applicant has already been released from JC. Hence, no direction is required to be passed in the present application. Accordingly, present application stands disposed off.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/03.11.2025

Misc./ Appearance

1 SC 297/2020 STATE Vs. ROSHAN SILAL 703/2020 (Rajouri Garden)

2 SC 715/2022 STATE Vs. WILLIAM EMEKA OKAFOR ADDO

963/2022 (NIHAL VIHAR)

3 CA 341/2025

E CITY PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AND SERVICES PVT LTD Vs. THE STATE AND ORS

/2017 (Kirti Nagar)

FRESH APPLICATION

4

5

Charge

6

7 SC 305/2025 STATE Vs. PAWAN SAMARIYA 939/2023

(Rajouri Garden)

Prosecution Evidence

8 SC 51/2015 57408/2016 STATE Vs. YASH PAL ETC 823/2015 (Hari Nagar)

9 SC 715/2017 STATE Vs. DALBIR 440/2017 (Hari Nagar)

10 SC 264/2018 STATE Vs. ANAND YADAV 102/2018

(NIHAL

VIHAR)

11 SC 390/2020 STATE Vs. MAHESH PANDEY 16/2020

(Anand Parbat)

12 SC 120/2024 STATE Vs. RAJESH PANDEY 373/2023

(Anand

Parbat)

13 SC 509/2024 STATE Vs. RAJ KUMAR 188/2024 (Anand

Parbat)

Final Arguments

14 SC 313/2017 STATE Vs. RAKESH ETC 59/2017 (Nangloi)

For Bail

15 Bail Matters 1806/2025 STATE Vs. GAURAV 513/2024

(Mundka)

SC 122/2017
STATE Vs. VIJAY KUAMR @ PANDEY
FIR no. 465/2016
PS Anand Parbat

01.11.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Accused in person
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for DE, if any/final arguments.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused submits that main
counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for purpose fixed for 12.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/01.11.2025

SC 136/2024
STATE Vs. PREM KUMAR & ORS.
FIR no. 810/2023
PS Ranhola
u/s 302/34 IPC

01.11.2025

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sumit for grant of interim bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

By way of this application, interim bail is sought on the ground that the marriage of the applicant/accused is fixed for 06.11.2025.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the marriage function is of the brother of the applicant and the averment regarding the marriage of applicant is clerical mistake. Ld. Counsel submits that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that being real brother, presence of the applicant/accused is very essential to perform social rituals in the said marriage. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of interim bail for 30 days.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused and prays for the dismissal of the same.

In the present case, the allegations against the applicant accused are for the offences u/s 302/34. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature, which attracts severe punishment. Moreover, the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out, in case applicant/accused is admitted on interim bail. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused is dismissed.

Nothing discussed here-in-above shall tantamount to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/01.11.2025

**SC 134/2017
STATE Vs. ISRAEL ECHAM
FIR no.179/2016
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

01.11.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC

File perused. Heard.

Supplementary chargesheet has already been filed. Copy
supplied.

Put up for scrutiny of documents/arguments on charge on
18.12.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/01.11.2025**

SC 572/2025
STATE Vs. SUMIT ALIAS PERRYANNA
FIR no. 482/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

01.11.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/ accused Sumit @ Perryanna for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested in the present case on 13.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed on the present case and applicant is no more required for further investigation in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused and the recovery if any, has been shown is planted one. Ld. Counsel further submits that no independent witnesses were joined at the time of the alleged recovery of contraband from the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is

Contd....

of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of the NDPS Act. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and prays for the dismissal of the present application of the accused. Brief facts of the case are that on 12.09.2025 the applicant/accused was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and 1272 Grams of Ganja was recovered from applicant/accused. Thereupon, after due compliance the present case was registered and further investigation was carried out. The investigation of case is completed and accused/applicant is chargesheet for the offence u/s 20 (b) (ii) of NDPS Act. The recovery from the applicant/accused is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS. Applicant/ accused is in JC since 13.09.2025. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail on ground of parity upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

Contd....

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- ii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iii) That accused shall keep his mobile phone on at all times.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same immediately by way of an affidavit.
- v) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/01.11.2025

**SC no. 553/2025
STATE Vs. Rajwant Singh
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch**

01.11.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajwant Singh for grant of interim bail.

**Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC**

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

Issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application for 04.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/01.11.2025**

Misc./ Appearance

1 SC 14/2020 STATE Vs. SUNNY 361/2019 (Rajouri Garden)

2 SC 230/2024 STATE Vs. AMIT KUMAR 136/2024 (Ranhola)

3 CA 333/2025 Shri Suresh Chand Sharma Vs. The State And Another /0 ()

4 CA 334/2025 SURESH CHAND SHARMA Vs. THE STATE NCT Of Delhi AND ANOTHER /0 ()

5 CA 335/2025 SURESH CHAND SHARMA Vs. State Govt Of NCT AND ANOTHER /0 ()

6 CA 336/2025 SURESH CHAND SHARMA Vs. THE STATE NCT Of Delhi AND ANOTHER /0 ()

7 CA 337/2025 SH SURESH CHAND SHARMA Vs. THE STATE AND ANOTHER /0 ()

Misc. cases/purpose

8 FRESH APPLICATION

9 M 371/2025 STATE Vs. RAM AVTAR GUPTA AND ORS 89/2019 (Paschim Vihar West)

Charge

10 SC 255/2025 STATE Vs. RAM CHANDER SHAH AND ANR 33/2025 (NIHAL VIHAR)

11 SC 274/2025 STATE Vs. RAKESH KALRA 99/2025 (Kirti Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

12 SC 674/2017 STATE Vs. RAJU @ KARTAR SINGH 328/2016 (Mundka)

13 SC 239/2020 STATE Vs. HARJEET SINGH ETC. 6/2020 (Tilak Nagar)

14 SC 12/2021 STATE Vs. AMIT @ TOTO 189/2017 (Mundka)

15 SC 135/2021 STATE Vs. MANDEEP SINGH 384/2017 (Tilak Nagar)

16 SC 154/2022 STATE Vs. LADLA @ FARHAN AND ETC. 999/2021 (Rajouri Garden)

17 SC 46/2023 STATE Vs. JATIN AND ANR 621/2022 (Tilak Nagar)

Final Arguments

18 For Bail 19 20

FF no. 1/20225

31.10.2025

Pr: None

File perused.

None has appeared on behalf of the Gaurav Bhutani.

In the interest of justice, fresh notice be issued to the Gaurav Bhutani, now Reader in the court of Sh. Nitin Shah, Ld. JMFC, NI Act, Saket Court, Delhi through Officer Incharge Admin (West) for filing of reply, if any, for 13.11.2025

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

Bail Matters 1591/2025
STATE Vs. DEEPU
FIR no. 283/2025
PS Anand Parbat

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Deepu for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply has already been filed by the IO. Let copy of the same be supplied. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted Ld. Counsel for the applicant that matter has been settled between the complainant/injured and the accused persons vide MOS dated 18.08.2025 and now there is no grievance between them. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that applicant/accused had already joined the investigation and is ready and willing to join the investigation of the present case, in future also, but is having apprehension of his arrest.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in

Contd....

nature and prays for its dismissal. Ld. Counsel for the complainant/injured has no objection on the allowing of the present application.

Admittedly, quashing petition is already filed by both the parties and same is pending adjudication before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Applicant/accused has already joined the investigation of the present case and nothing incriminating was recovered from his possession and during interrogation has fully cooperated in the investigation. Considering the totality of the facts and the submissions on behalf of complainant/victim as well as keeping in view the abovesaid MOS dated 18.08.2025, present application for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the event of his arrest, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of concerned Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer on the following terms and condition:

1. That the applicant shall join investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer concerned.
2. That the applicant shall intimate the learned Trial Court by way of an affidavit and to the Investigating Officer regarding any change in residential address.
3. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.

Contd....

-3-

4. That the applicant shall appear before the Ld. Trial Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing.
5. That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of Ld. Trial Court.

Application stands disposed off. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

SC no. 474/2024
STATE Vs. Ibemegbulam Chibueze Henry
FIR no. 746/2024
PS Nihal Vihar

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Hari Om Gupta, Ld. LAC for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the accused/applicant Ibemegbulam Chibueze Henry for reduction of surety amount. Arguments heard on the application.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is in JC since 15.06.2024. It is further submitted that in the present case applicant/accused was granted bail on furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount vide order dated 25.04.2025. It is further submitted that later on vide order dated 14.08.2025, the surety amount was reduced to Rs.20,000/-. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused belongs to a very poor family and is not able to arrange the surety amount in terms of the above said order granted in his favour. Prayer is made for the allowing of the present application.

Ld. Addl. PP has strongly opposed the present application and prays for the dismissal of the same.

Record reveals that applicant/accused was admitted to bail

Contd....

vide order dated 25.04.2025 on furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Moreso, vide order dated 14.08.2024 the surety amount was reduced from Rs.25,000/- to Rs.20,000/-. However, the accused has not been able to furnish the bail bond/surety bond in compliance of the above said bail orders till date.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the grounds mentioned in the application, the condition of bail, whereby surety amount was reduced from 25,000 to Rs.20,000/- is again modified to the extent of furnishing the bail bond in sum of Rs.15,000/- along with one surety in the like amount. All other terms and conditions of above said bail order remains unchanged.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

STATE Vs. Khokhan Barman
FIR no. 93/2024
PS Rajouri Garden
u/s 20/29 NDPS Act

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Hari Om Gupta, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for the
applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused Khokhan Barman seeking reduction of surety amount.

Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/
accused was granted bail in present case vide order dated
02.09.2025 on furnishing of bail bond in sum of Rs.30,000/- with
one surety in the like amount. Ld. Counsel further submits that
the applicant/accused is poor person and not in position to
arrange any surety. With these submissions, prayer is made for
allowing of the present application.

On the other hand Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly
opposed the present application and prays for the dismissal of the
present application.

Contd.....

Perusal of record reveals that the applicant/accused was granted bail vide order dated 02.09.2025. However, the accused has not been able to furnish the bail bond/surety bond in compliance of the above said bail order till date.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping in view the grounds mentioned in the application, the condition of bail, whereby the accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount is modified to the extent of furnishing the bail bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- along with one surety in the like amount. All other terms and conditions of above said bail order remains unchanged.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

SC 251/2017
STATE Vs. BABLOO @ AKASH & ORS.
FIR no. 214/2016
PS Mundka

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Accused Babloo @ Akash produced from JC.
Accused Rekha is present
Ld. Counsel for the accused persons.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. No PW is present today.

Issue fresh summons to the PWs in terms of previous order
for 28.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

SC 251/2017
STATE Vs. BABLOO @ AKASH & ORS.
FIR no. 214/2016
PS Mundka

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Babloo @ Akash for grant of bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance moved on behalf of the IO. Heard. Allowed for today only.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that earlier applicant/accused was on bail, however, due to ill health accused could not appear before the court and NBWs were issued against the accused. It is further submitted that on the next date of hearing leg of the accused got fractured and he again could not produce on 10.06.2025. It is further submitted that the non-appearance of the applicant/accused was neither intentional nor deliberate. It is further submitted that applicant/accused will be careful in future and shall appear on each and every date of hearing without failure. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

Contd....

On the other hand Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present application and prays for its dismissal.

Perusal of record reveals that in the present case due to the absence of the accused, coercive proceedings of NBWs were issued against him and he was taken into custody on 05.08.2025. Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions and nature of allegations, the applicant/ accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties in the like amount with following conditions:

- i) The applicant/accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- ii) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- iii) That accused shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

**SC 98/2021
STATE Vs. MOHD IMRAN
FIR no. 4/2020
PS Paschim Vihar West**

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be
supplied.

Put up for consideration on 11.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025**

Bail Matters 1516/2025
STATE Vs. HONEY GOEL
FIR no. 365/2024
PS Moti Nagar

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Complainant in person
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Honey Goel for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same is supplied. As per the reply of the IO, custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required.

Complainant submits that matter has been settled between him and the accused for a sum of Rs.27,50,000/-. Complainant further submits that he earlier received an amount of Rs.23,00,000/- and now the cheques given by the accused for the remaining amount i.e. cheque no. 000536 dated 02.10.2025 for an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and cheque no. 000537 dated 06.10.2025 for an amount of Rs.2,50,000/-, have also been cleared. Complainant further submits that now nothing remains in the present case and the matter has already been compounded before Ld. Trial Court.

Statement of Complainant recorded separately.

Contd.....

At this stage, Ld. Proxy Counsel has also filed order qua the compounding of the present matter between the parties before Ld. Trial Court.

In the present case chargesheet has already been filed and matter has already been compounded. Custodial interrogation is also not required by the IO. Hence, no direction is required to be passed in the present application. Accordingly, present application stands disposed off.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

SC 238/2025
Bail Matters 1799/2025
STATE Vs. ROHIT AND ANR
FIR no. 589/2024
PS Patel Nagar

31.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/31.10.2025

Final Arguments

1 SC 57871/2016 STATE Vs. RATAN SINGH AND ORS
240/2016 (NIHAL
VIHAR)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 58204/2016 STATE Vs. ASHOK 475/2016 (Hari Nagar)
Charge

3 SC 353/2025 STATE Vs. ANAYO VENITIUS 123/2025
(NIHAL
VIHAR)

Prosecution Evidence

4 SC 57987/2016 STATE Vs. GOPI @ ROHIT AND ORS
263/2016 (Ranjit
Nagar)

5 SC 58023/2016 STATE Vs. AJEET ETC .1 328/2016 (NIHAL
VIHAR)

6

7 SC 802/2018 STATE Vs. VAIBHAV SHARMA @ VIBHAV
SHARMA
463/2018 (Punjabi

Bagh)

8 SC 296/2019 STATE Vs. SANJEEV @ MOLA 117/2015 (Moti
Nagar)

9 SC 319/2019 STATE Vs. VIJAY @ BHAIRAV 146/2019
(Rajouri
Garden)

10 SC 113/2020 STATE Vs. KHOKAN BARMAN 680/2019
(Rajouri
Garden)

11 SC 348/2020 STATE Vs. SURENDER PARSAD @ LALA
744/2020 (Rajouri
Garden)

12 SC 446/2021 STATE Vs. CHRISTIAN 157/2021 (Tilak
Nagar)

For Bail

13

14

15

16

SC no. 154/2022
STATE Vs. Raja Babu
FIR no. 999/2021
PS Rajouri Garden

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

This is a bail bond/surety bond filed on behalf of the accused Raja Babu.

Verification report of bail/surety bond received whereby it is intimated that the surety is not residing at the given address. Ld. Counsel is apprised of report.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the accused submits that he wish to withdraw the present bail bond/surety bond with liberty to file fresh bail bond/surety bond. Heard. Allowed.

Considering the submissions, present bail bond/surety bond is disposed as withdrawn.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

SC 286/2025
STATE Vs. ASIF AND ANR
FIR no. 167/2025
PS Hari Nagar

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Asif Khan for grant of bail.

Reply has been filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.
Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case and he has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is fruit vendor and striving to build a promising future with hard work. It is further submitted that the applicant was merely accompanying his friend and co-accused Ritik upon his casual request, without any knowledge, involvement or participation in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not attracted in the present case. It is further submitted that the FSL Report has also

Contd....

been received. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is having clean antecedents and he never involved in any criminal activity. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Brief facts of the case are that on 24.04.2025 applicant along with the co-accused Ritik were apprehended on the basis of secret information and a white colour bag containing 1.107 Kg of Ganja and 530 grams of hybrid ganja were recovered from their possession. The amount of contraband recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out in the present case. Applicant is in the custody in the present case since 25.04.2025. Investigation of the case has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. FSL Result also received. Further, the accused/applicant is not having involvement in any other criminal case.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions & period of incarceration, applicants/accused persons are admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of

Contd....

₹30,000/- each with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicants shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the applicants will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
3. That applicants shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That applicants shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

SC no. 538/2025
STATE Vs. Vivek etc.
FIR no. 271/2025
PS Paschim Vihar

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Inspector Ritesh Incharge Crime Branch along with IO.
Accused Sandeep along with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed by the IO u/s 107 BNSS for the attachment of proceeds of crime i.e. Rs.12,90,000/- seized during the investigation in the present case.

Summons sent to the accused Vivek received back unserved.

Ld. Counsel for the accused Sandeep submits that he has not received the copy of the application. Let copy of the same be supplied.

Issue fresh summons to the accused Vivek and for consideration on the present application on 21.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1826/2025
STATE Vs. ABHISHEK BHARGAVA
FIR no.268/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO with case file.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Abhishek Bhargava for grant of interim bail for two months on the ground of illness of his mother.

Reply filed on behalf the IO. Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case and he is in custody since 26.09.2025. It is further submitted that the mother of the applicant/accused is suffering from chronic venous insufficiency as well as chronic neurological impairment. It is further submitted that the presence of applicant is very much necessary to take care of his mother and to provide treatment/operation of her & for arrangement of funds. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of interim bail for two month.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly

Contd...

opposed the present interim bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

In the present case, chargesheet has been filed against the applicant/accused for the offences u/s 22/23/29 of NDPS Act of NDPS Act. It has been held by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *“Athar Pervez vs State” (Crl. Ref. 1/2015, decided on 26.01.2016)* that the power to grant interim bail is to be sparingly used, when intolerable grief and suffering in the given facts may justify temporary release. It has been further held that while rejecting or accepting an application for grant of “interim” bail, the trial Court will keep in mind the strict provisions of Sec 37/32 of NDPS Act and only when there are compelling reasons which would justify and require the grant of “interim” bail, should the application be allowed. It was further held that the Court must take into account whether or not the accused/convict is likely to commit or indulge in similar violations.

The medical documents of the mother of the applicant for the abovesaid illness have already been verified by the IO and were found genuine. Admittedly, the concerned doctor has advised the surgery for the further treatment of mother of accused. Admittedly, father of the accused/applicant is senior citizen and no other sibling of the applicant/accused is residing along with his mother. Accordingly, without going into merits of

Contd...

the case, on humanitarian grounds, the applicant/ accused is admitted to interim bail for one month from his release on furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.50,000/- along with one surety in the like amount on the following conditions:

1. That the accused shall provide his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO on which accused may be contacted, if required or necessary. Accused shall ensure that the said number shall be kept active and switched on all the times.
2. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on interim bail.
3. That accused shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
4. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same.
5. That accused will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry of one month from the day of release.

Nothing stated herein above shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation and record.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Bail Matters 1798/2025
STATE Vs. ANIL KUMAR SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
SI Amit on behalf of PS Crime Branch

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Anil Kumar Singh for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

At request, put up for consideration on the application on
07.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Bail Matters 1828/2025
STATE Vs. PRATHAM ALIAS ANSHU
FIR no. 424/2025
PS Moti Nagar

30.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Pratham @ Anshu for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO with case file

File perused. Heard.

Reply to the application already filed. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has no nothing to do with the alleged offences. It is further submitted that the applicant was not present at the spot at the time of incident. It is further submitted that applicant/accused was arrested on 10.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has no direct or indirect evidence against him. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the accused and the recovery, if any, has been planted upon him. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and applicant/accused is no more required for custodial interrogation and no purpose will be served by keeping the applicant/accused behind the bars.

Contd....

With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that accused/applicant plays an active role in the alleged commission of present offence and prays for its dismissal.

Present case was registered on the complaint of complainant, wherein he alleged that on 27.08.2025, after closing his shop at Karol Bagh, he proceeded to his home and hired an auto. It is further alleged that when he reached at Zakhira Flyover, three boys stopped their scooty in front of his auto and two of them took out knives and threatened him to kill and snatched away his bag containing approximately Rs.3.5 Lakhs along with some documents. Thereafter, they all ran away from the spot.

During investigation on the basis of CCTV footage, five boys were apprehended and from their possession Rs.1,28,520, two illegal knives and one TVS Ntorq Scooty bearing regn. no. DL1SAF 7764 were recovered. It is pertinent to mention here that the applicant/accused used to work in the shop of the complainant at Karol Bagh one year ago and was very well aware about the day to day activities of the complainant. It is alleged that applicant knows that the complainant used to carry his daily earnings of the shop with him. Further allegations against the

Contd....

accused/applicant is that he has obtained amount of Rs.60,000/- as his share from the robbed money in the present case and out of which substantial amount was already spent by the applicant. During further investigation, alleged share out of the robbed money to the tune of Rs.29,000/-, one bag of the complainant, two bank pass books and one RC of the vehicle were recovered from the possession of the applicant.

Applicant/accused is also involved other criminal case. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the conduct of the applicant/accused and keeping in view facts & seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Bail Matters 1837/2025
STATE Vs. REHAN KHAN ALIAS REHAN
FIR no.537/2025
PS Anand Parbat

30.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
SI Madan Lal in person
Ld. Counsel for the victim also present

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard.

It is submitted Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant was arrested on 06.10.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the further custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required for any other purpose. It is further submitted that the matter has been settled between the complainant/injured and the accused persons and now there is no grievance between them. It is further submitted that now the parties are ready and willing to file quashing petition. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present application and prays for its dismissal. Ld. Counsel for the complainant/injured also affirmed the abovesaid submissions on behalf of accused and claimed that they have no objection on the allowing of the present application.

Admittedly, present matter has been settled between the parties and they are ready to file quashing petition. In the present case there are four accused persons, out of them three are bound down and one accused is in JC. Considering the totality of the facts and the submissions on behalf of complainant/victim and without going into merits, the applicant/accused is admitted to interim bail for one month from his release, in the interest of justice, for approaching Hon'ble High Court for quashing the present FIR, upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of concerned court/Ld. Duty JMFC/Ld. Link JMFC and subject to the following conditions:

1. That the accused shall provide his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO on which accused may be contacted, if required or necessary. Accused shall ensure that the said number shall be kept active and switched on all the times.
2. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on interim bail.

Contd....

3. That accused shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
4. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same.
5. That accused will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry one month from the day of release.

Nothing stated herein above shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation and record.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Bail Matters 1836/2025
STATE Vs. RAHUL
FIR no. 538/2025
PS Anand Parbat

30.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
ASI Yad Ram in person
Ld. Counsel for the complainant/injured

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard.

It is submitted Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant was arrested on 08.10.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the further custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required for any other purpose. It is further submitted that the matter has been settled between the complainant/injured and the accused persons and now there is no grievance between them. It is further submitted that now the parties are ready and willing to file quashing petition. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present application and prays for its dismissal. Ld. Counsel for the complainant/injured also affirmed the abovesaid submissions on behalf of accused and claimed that they have no objection on the allowing of the present application.

Admittedly, present matter has been settled between the parties and they are ready to file quashing petition. In the present case there are four accused persons, out of them three are bound down and one accused is in JC. Considering the totality of the facts and the submissions on behalf of complainant/victim and without going into merits, the applicant/accused is admitted to interim bail for one month from his release, in the interest of justice, for approaching Hon'ble High Court for quashing the present FIR, upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of concerned court/Ld. Duty JMFC/Ld. Link JMFC and subject to the following conditions:

1. That the accused shall provide his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO on which accused may be contacted, if required or necessary. Accused shall ensure that the said number shall be kept active and switched on all the times.
2. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on interim bail.

Contd....

3. That accused shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
4. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same.
5. That accused will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry one month from the day of release.

Nothing stated herein above shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation and record.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

**Bail Matters 1831/2025
STATE Vs. MOHD. SOHIL
FIR no. 308/2025
PS Anand Parbat**

30.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Mohd. Sohil for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO WSI Saloni in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

Issue notice of the present application to the victim for 10.11.2025.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel as well as IO that chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the same, let TCR be also called for NDOH.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025**

Bail Matters 1726/2025
STATE Vs. RAKESH KUMAR
FIR no. 372/2025
PS Moti Nagar

30.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the present matter has already been committed to Ld. Sessions Court and now same is pending for adjudication before the court of Ms.Priya Mahendra, Ld. ASJ, West District, Tis Hazari Court.

Ld. Addl. PP as well as Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for sending the present bail application to the concerned court of Ld. ASJ for its proper adjudication.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the abovesaid submissions, let the present application be put up before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts today i.e. 30.10.2025 at 2 PM with a request to transfer the present bail application to the court, where the case file is pending for trial & adjudication.

Contd...

-2-

Parties are directed to appear before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts at 2 PM today itself for appropriate directions/further proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

SC 418/2025
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH & ORS.
FIR no. 47/2025
PS Crime Branch- West Delhi

30.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Amit Kumar for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Considering the same, let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for 06.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/30.10.2025

Prosecution Evidence

1 SC 35/2014 57399/2016 STATE Vs. RAJAN SACHDEVA
ETC 116/2013 (Janak Puri)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 316/2025 STATE Vs. MANJEET SINGH AND ORS.
889/2023 (Tilak Nagar)

3 Cr Rev / 303/2025 VINEETA NAIR Vs. STATE 120/2023
(Tilak Nagar)

4 CA 351/2025

ARIKAS LOGISTICS PVT LTD Vs. SANJAY
JOSHI DIRECTOR OF PERFECT SEA
LOGISTICS

/2017 ()

5 SC 553/2025 STATE Vs. MEHRAJ KHAN AND OTHERS
225/2025 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

6 SC 558/2025 STATE Vs. OMVEER 637/2025 (NIHAL
VIHAR)

Charge

7 SC 619/2024 STATE Vs. LOVELY KUMAR 442/2024 (Anand
Parbat)

Prosecution Evidence

8 SC 315/2018 STATE Vs. KHOKAN HAQUE 5/2018 (Crime
Branch-

West Delhi)

9 SC 172/2021 STATE Vs. SAHIL KADYAN 317/2018 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)

10 SC 680/2021 STATE Vs. LALIT KUMAR 688/2021
(Ranhola)

11 SC 185/2023 STATE Vs. GULSHAN KUMAR SINGH
255/2023 (Paschim

Vihar West)

12 SC 422/2023 STATE Vs. AKASH 430/2023 (Patel Nagar)

Defence Evidence

13 SC 136/2018 STATE Vs. RUPINDER SINGH 150/2017
(Patel Nagar)

Final Argument

14 SC 66/2015 56780/2016 STATE Vs. BINESH @ BUNTY
919/2015 (Uttam

Nagar)

Final Arguments

15 SC 222/2025 STATE Vs. CHANDAN AND ORS. 152/2017

(Patel Nagar)

For Bail

16

17

18 Bail Matters 1575/2025 STATE Vs. JANNAT CHOPRA

146/2025 (Maya Puri)

19

20

21 Bail Matters 1800/2025 STATE Vs. ASIF KHAN 167/2025

(Hari Nagar)

22

23

24

25

26

SC 513/2025
STATE Vs. DHANJAY KUMAR AND ORS.
FIR no.1009/2024
PS Paschim Vihar West

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused Dhanjay Kumar for grant of bail.

Reply has already been filed on behalf of the IO. Copy
already supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that applicant/
accused is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is neither
the sender nor the receiver of the alleged parcel containing
contraband. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has
been recovered from the possession of the accused or at his
instance. It is further submitted that police officials had sent the
request letter to preserve the CCTV footage of booking office of
courier company, however, the said office has only shared a
photograph of a person. Ld. Counsel contends that the said
photograph is not clear and same is forged, manipulative and
baseless and establishing the identity of said photograph with
accused is not proper. It is further submitted that no certificate u/s

Contd....

65 B of Indian Evidence Act is filed regarding the abovesaid photograph. It is further submitted that the booking agent/clerk had not mentioned the name of the person who had booked the parcel. It is further submitted that neither any date of the booking nor AWB number nor any booking details have been mentioned in the present case. It is further submitted that neither any TIP of the applicant was conducted nor the identity of the applicant was confirmed by the booking clerk. It is further submitted that there is no evidence with prosecution to prove any connectivity of the applicant with the contraband or any of the co-accused persons. It is further submitted that there is delay in the procedure of the sampling and its sending to FSL for its examination. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P. P. for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that huge quantity of contraband has been recovered in the present case, which is a commercial quantity and therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act is applicable. Ld. Addl. P.P has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that commercial quantity of the contraband cannabis/marijuana was recovered from office of DTDC Courier Services situated at Paschim Vihar, Delhi on the basis of secret information. The alleged parcels were having

Contd....

details of sender and receiver with name, mobile number and address. During further investigation and on the basis of analysis of CDR it came on record that the sender namely Santosh Kumar as well as the accused/applicant are lodged in Vishakhapatnama jail for NDPS Offence. During further investigation and upon interrogation with one Ranveer Kumar it is revealed that the mobile number mentioned in the above detail of sender of the alleged parcel containing the contraband is of applicant/accused. During further investigation, accused/applicant was arrested in the present case on 30.03.2025. It is relevant to mention here that after the issuance of NBWs against the alleged receiver of the contraband namely Kundan Kumar, a further coercive action u/s 84 BNSS has been issued.

Further, the menace of selling of contraband has become rampant and the young generation is being seriously indulged in consumption of the contraband and in this regard, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as "*Union of India Vs. Ram Samujh & Ors.*" 1999 (9) SCC 429, has elaborated that offences under NDPS Act are even more serious and grave than Murder cases. The Apex Court observed, "It is to be borne in mind that the aforesaid legislative mandate is required to be adhered to and followed. It should be borne in mind that in a murder case, the accused commits murder of one or two persons,

Contd....

while those persons who are dealing in narcotic drugs are instrumental in causing death or in inflicting death blow to a number of innocent young victims, who are vulnerable; it causes deleterious effects and a deadly impact on the society; they are a hazard to the society; even if they are released temporarily, in all probability, they would continue their nefarious activities of trafficking and/or dealing in intoxicants clandestinely. Reason may be large stake and illegal profit involved. This line of reasoning has been reiterated in the pronouncement in “***State of Kerala Vs. Rajesh***” in Criminal Appeal No.(S). 154157 of 2020 (arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s).7309-7312 of 2019) decided on 24.01.2020 by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India.

It is trite principle of law that while granting bail the court has to keep in mind (a) The nature and gravity of the accusation and the exact role of the accused must be properly comprehended before arrest is made; (b) the antecedents of the applicant including the fact as to whether the accused has previously undergone imprisonment on conviction by a court in respect of cognizable offence; (c) the possibility of the applicant to flee from justice; (d) the possibility of the accused's likelihood to repeat similar or other offences; (e) Where the accusations have been made only with the object of injuring or humiliating the applicant by arresting him or her; (f) Impact of grant of bail particularly in cases of large magnitude affecting a very large

Contd....

number of people; (g) The court should consider reasonable apprehension of tampering of the witnesses or apprehension of threat to the complainant and any other aspects of the case should be taken into consideration while granting bail.

It is relevant to mention here that the accused is chargesheeted for the commission of offence u/s 20 (c)/29 NDPS Act. The alleged recovery of contraband in the present case is 52.64 Kg and the said quantity is commercial quantity. Hence, the bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act is applicable in the present case. Therefore, considering the facts & circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the nature & gravity of the allegation against the applicant/accused, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

Bail Matters 1795/2025
STATE Vs. SAMEER KHAN
FIR no. 4000/2025
PS Anand Parbat

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO HC Chhagan Lal in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sameer Khan for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 24.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant is having clean antecedents and never involved in any other criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Contd.....

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case one accused namely Hemant Kumar was arrested on 13.02.2025 for the offence 305(b)/3(5) BNS. During further investigation, it is revealed that the said Hemant Kumar had sold the stolen vehicle to the applicant/accused. Further allegations against the accused is that thereafter, the accused/applicant had sold the vehicle in question to one dealer near Milan Cinema, Moti Nagar for a sum of Rs.5,000/-. The accused is in JC Since 24.09.2025.

The allegations in the present case are under section 305(b)/3(5) BNS. Record further reveals that the applicant/accused is having multiple involvement in other criminal case of similar nature. Further, the investigation of case qua the accused/applicant is under progress. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit similar offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Contd.....

-3-

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

Bail Matters 1796/2025
STATE Vs. SAMEER KHAN
FIR no. 4403/2025
PS Anand Parbat

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO HC Chhagan Lal in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sameer Khan for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 24.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant is having clean antecedents and never involved in any other criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Contd.....

Brief facts of the case are that one accused namely Hemant Kumar was arrested on 13.02.2025 for the offence u/s 305 (b) BNS. During investigation, the role of the applicant/accused is revealed. Further, as per the report of IO, accused persons are seen and present in the CCTV footage at the time of alleged offence. Further allegations against the accused/applicant are that he had sold the alleged stolen vehicle to one dealer near Milan Cinema, Moti Nagar on a sum of Rs.5,000/-. The accused is in JC Since 24.09.2025.

The allegations in the present case are under section 305(b)/3(5) BNS. Record further reveals that the applicant/accused is having multiple involvement in other criminal case of similar nature. Further, the investigation of case qua the accused/applicant is under progress. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit similar offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Contd.....

-3-

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

Bail Matters 1793/2025
STATE Vs. SAMEER KHAN
FIR no. 4022/2025
PS Anand Parbat

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO HC Chhagan Lal in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sameer Khan for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 24.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the applicant is having clean antecedents and never involved in any other criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Contd.....

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case one accused namely Hemant Kumar was arrested on 13.02.2025 for the offence 305(b)/3(5) BNS. During further investigation, it is revealed that the said Hemant Kumar had sold the stolen vehicle to the applicant/accused. Further allegations against the accused is that thereafter, the accused/applicant had sold the vehicle in question to one dealer near Milan Cinema, Moti Nagar for a sum of Rs.5,000/-. The accused is in JC Since 24.09.2025.

The allegations in the present case are under section 305(b)/3(5) BNS. Record further reveals that the applicant/accused is having multiple involvement in other criminal case of similar nature. Further, the investigation of case qua the accused/applicant is under progress. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit similar offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Contd.....

-3-

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

M 340/2025
STATE Vs. APPLICANT BABALPREET KAUR
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

29.10.2025

**This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
registered owner namely Babalpreet Kaur for the release of
vehicle no. DL-4CAS 9695 on supardari.**

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant**

File perused. Heard.

**Reply already filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the
same be supplied to the Ld. Counsel.**

**At request, put up for consideration on the application on
03.11.2025.**

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

SC 553/2025
Bail Matters 1766/2025
STATE Vs. MEHRAJ KHAN
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

29.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Mehraj Khan for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Tajinder Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

**SC 553/2025
Bail Matters 1763/2025
STATE Vs. PRATAP SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

29.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Pratap Singh for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Tajinder Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025**

Bail Matters 1606/2025
STATE Vs. CHANDAN ALIAS ROHIT
FIR no. 424/2025
PS Moti Nagar

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Mohan Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant
through VC.
Ms. Mansi Shukla, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant in
person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Chandan @ Rohit for grant of anticipatory
bail. Reply to the application already filed. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant is
innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is
further submitted that applicant/accused gave his scooty to co-
accused Rohit @ Mona to take his mother to the hospital on the
good faith. Ld. Counsel contended that the co-accused persons
had misused the scooty of the applicant in the commission of the
alleged crime. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused
has no knowledge that co-accused will use the scooty in the
commission of alleged crime. It is further submitted that the
name of the applicant is not mentioned in the FIR. It is further
submitted that the police authority is deliberately and
intentionally harassing the applicant and he is having

Contd....

apprehension of his arrest in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has already joined the investigation and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when directed by the IO. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that accused/applicant plays an active role in the alleged commission of present offence and prays for its dismissal.

Present case was registered on the complaint of complainant, wherein he alleged that on 27.08.2025, after closing his shop at Karol Bagh, he proceeded to his home and hired an auto. It is further alleged that when he reached at Zakhira Flyover, three boys stopped their scooty in front of his auto and two of them took out knives and threatened him to kill and snatched away his bag containing approximately Rs.3.5 Lakhs along with some documents. Thereafter, they all ran away from the spot.

During investigation on the basis of CCTV footage, five boys were apprehended and from their possession Rs.1,28,520, two illegal knives, one TVS Ntorq Scooty bearing regn. no. DL1SAF 7764, one bag along with complainant's document were recovered. During further investigation, it is revealed that the applicant/accused is the main planner of the present incident. It is

Contd....

alleged that the accused works in Karol Bagh and was well aware of the movements of complainant. Further allegations are that he provided his scooty to the other co-accused persons for committing robbery. It is pertinent to mention here that the accused/applicant and also informed the co-accused persons about the complainant, when he sat in TSR and also provided the details of the TSR to co-accused persons & same helped the other co-accused persons in committing the alleged offence. Further allegations against the accused/applicant is that he has obtained amount of Rs.50,000/- as his share from the robbed money in the present case and same is yet to be recovered from him.

As per the reply of IO, custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused for further investigation. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the conduct of the applicant/accused and keeping in view facts & seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Contd.....

-4-

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

**Bail Matters 1817/2025
STATE Vs. SHANU
FIR no. 383/2025
PS Anand Parbat**

29.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Shanu for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed on behalf of the IO.

Considering the same, let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application on 06.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025**

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1753/2025
STATE Vs. SAPAN KUMAR GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sapan Kumar Gupta for grant of anticipatory bail.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant has joined the investigation of the present case.

IO is not present today. No reply has been filed on behalf of the IO.

Considering the same, let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application on 07.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1710/2025
STATE Vs. HARPREET SINGH
FIR no. 267/2019
PS Hari Nagar

29.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. K.K. Manan, Ld. Sr. Advocate for the accused along
with Ld. Counsel Ms. Udit Bali and Ms. Yakshi Kataria
IO Inspector Mukesh Meena in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Harpreet Singh for grant of bail.

Facts of the case are narrated by Ld. Counsel. Inspector
Mukesh Meena submits that investigation qua the accused/
applicant is almost completed and final report shall be filed
within few days. Let the pending investigation be concluded at
the earliest, as per rules.

At request, put up for arguments on present application
on 07.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025

SC 298/2017
STATE Vs. MD. AZAD etc.
FIR no. 18/2017
PS Moti Nagar

29.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Mohd. Shahbuddin for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused.
IO Insp. Anuj Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy of the same be supplied.

Put up for consideration on the present application on 04.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/29.10.2025**

Urgent Cases)

Final Argument

1 SC 18/2022 STATE Vs. MONA DEVI 724/2021 (Mundka)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 145/2022 STATE Vs. RITIK ETC 493/2021 (Patel Nagar)

3 SC 200/2025 STATE Vs. ANIL KUMAR ALIAS MONU

AND ORS.

221/2025 (NIHAL

VIHAR)

4 SC 376/2025 STATE Vs. BADAL PANDIT AND OTHERS

323/2025 (Rajouri

Garden)

5 SC 451/2025 STATE Vs. ADITYA ALIAS HONEY AND

ANR

129/2025 (Paschim

Vihar West)

6 Cr Rev / 354/2025 DPL INFRASTRUCTURES PVT LTD.

AND

ORS. Vs. PAWAN CHAWLA / ()

FRESH APPLICATION

7

Charge

8 SC 483/2019 STATE Vs. SANJAY CHAUDHARY @ JAT

780/2015 (Patel Nagar)

9 SC 238/2025 STATE Vs. ROHIT AND ANR 589/2024 (Patel

Nagar)

10 SC 292/2025 STATE Vs. CARLLISTUS IFEANYI 92/2025

(NIHAL

VIHAR)

11 SC 476/2025 STATE Vs. MANJEET AND OTHERS

468/2025 (Ranhola)

Prosecution Evidence

12 SC 42/2017 STATE Vs. MALTI 377/2016 (Khyala)

13 SC 491/2020 STATE Vs. VICKY 219/2020 (Anand

Parbat)

14 SC 435/2021 STATE Vs. GHANSHYAM 111/2021 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

15 SC 458/2021 STATE Vs. AFJAL 415/2021 (Moti Nagar)

16 SC 302/2023 STATE Vs. KANHAIYA 136/2023 (Rajouri

Garden)

17 SC 314/2025 STATE Vs. SURAJ PAL 222/2025 ()

Statement of Accused

18 SC 57963/2016 STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH @ KALI @
SONU 49/2016 (Tilak Nagar)

Final Arguments

19 SC 58148/2016 STATE Vs. VICKY @ VIKRAM 34/2014
(Anand Parbat)

For Bail

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

29

30

31

32

STATE Vs. Akash Singh

FIR no. 685/2025

PS Ranhola

u/s 21 (b) NDPS Act

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
IO/SI Rajat Khaiwal is present with police file.
Accused produced from police custody.
Sh. Deepak Ghai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

The present matter is pertaining to recovery of 9.34 grams of smack. At this stage, IO filed an application stating that the sampling of the abovesaid contraband is done and now the actual weight of the contraband comes as 2.8 grams of smack.

The contraband below 5 grams of smack is of small quantity. The offences pertaining to small quantity are bailable in nature.

Considering the nature of offence, accused is admitted to bail on furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bond/surety bond furnished and same are accepted.

The Ahlmad of the court is directed to send the record pertaining to present case to the court of concerned Ld. JMFC through the office of Ld. CJM West, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.

Copy of the order be given dasti to both the parties.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

STATE Vs. Rahul @ TD etc.
FIR no. 76/2018
PS Nihal Vihar
u/s 308/34 IPC

28.10.2025

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for calling status report from concerned Jail Superintendent

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

By way of the present application, applicant has submitted that the applicant has furnished personsl bond in the present case on 14.10.2025. However, the concerned Jail Authorities had not released the applicant/accused from Jail.

Report received from the concerned Jail Superintendent. As per the report, accused/applicant is in JC in case FIR no. 91/2024 PS Crime Branch u/s 307/186/353/34 IPC pending in the court of Sh. Deepak Wason, Ld. ASJ, Dwarka Court, Delhi.

Considering the facts of the case, application stands disposed off accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

Bail Matters 1591/2025
STATE Vs. DEEPU
FIR no. 283/2025
PS Patel Nagar

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
None for the IO.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of anticipatory bail.

Record reveals that the chargesheet has been filed in the present case.

Ld. Counsel for both the parties submits that chargesheet has been filed in the present case only for the co-accused.

IO is not present. Be awaited for the IO.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

1 PM

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the complainant.
None for the applicant.
IO SI Vinod Kumar in person.

IO has filed reply, wherein IO has strongly opposed the

Contd....

-2-

present application. However, none is present on behalf complainant or the applicant.

Considering the same, put up for consideration on the present application on 31.10.2025. Till then interim order to continue.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

SC 154/2022
STATE Vs. LADLA @ FARHAN AND ETC.
FIR no. 999/2021
PS Rajouri Garden

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.
None for complainant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Raja Babu for grant of bail.

Arguments have already been heard on behalf of both the parties. No clarifications are required

By way of this application, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant submits that accused/applicant was arrested in the present case on 02.12.2021 and is in custody for more than 03 years 10 months without any fault on his part. Ld. Counsel contends that accused/applicant is innocent and is falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel argued that after the conclusion of investigation, charge-sheet has already been filed and the custody of accused/applicant is no more required for any purpose. Ld. Counsel further contends that after the framing of charge in the present case, material witnesses have already been examined. Ld. Counsel further argued that as per the case of the prosecution, the allegations against the accused/applicant is that he was standing at the scene of crime during the alleged incident. Ld. Counsel contends that as per the call detail record of accused

Contd....

and CCTV footage, he was not present at the alleged spot of crime. Ld. Counsel further contends that the allegations against the accused/applicant are neither of catching hold of the deceased victim nor are of causing any stab injury to the deceased victim nor any incriminating substance or weapon was recovered from the accused/applicant. Ld. Counsel further submits that previous bail application of accused/applicant was dismissed on 31.05.2025 and thereafter the new charge of circumstance is the grant of bail to co-accused Chand Ali by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dt. 25.09.2025. Ld. Counsel further contends that the role attributed and the allegations against the said co-accused Chand Ali and the accused/applicant are similar. Ld. Counsel further contends that as per the testimony of PW1, the accused/applicant came at the spot after the happening of the incident and the accused/applicant was not identified by the PW2 during his testimony. Ld. Counsel further contends that in the testimonies of other PWs, no other incriminating evidence is brought on record. Ld. Counsel further contends that till date only 05 witnesses out of 36 witnesses have been examined and the trial will take a long time in its conclusion. With these submissions, Ld. Counsel prays for grant of bail in favour of accused/applicant on the ground of parity with co-accused Chand Ali.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the State as well as Ld. Counsel for the victim had strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal on the ground that the allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature. Ld. Chief PP as well as Ld. Counsel for the victim had argued that the allegations against the accused/applicant are pertaining to section 302/34 IPC & 147/148/149 IPC and the accused/applicant had played an active role in the commission of offence. Ld. Counsel for victim had further argued that the other material witnesses are yet to be examined and contended that this is not appropriate stage for grant of bail in favour of accused/applicant. Ld. Counsel for victim further argued that he intends to challenge the bail order of co-accused by Hon'ble High Court before Hon'ble Apex Court and prays for rejection of present bail application.

Brief facts of the case are that the complainant along with his friend Dablu @ Badal were hanging out near Madrashi Park, TC Camp, Delhi, suddenly co-accused Salam @ Channa grabbed the deceased Badal from back side, whereas accused Ladla @ Farhan stabbed the deceased friend in his neck. It is further alleged that other accused persons i.e. applicant/accused, Raja Babu & Sahil were also accompanying the accused Ladla @ Farhan and Salam at the time of commission of offence and had surrounded the deceased and curtailed the possibility of escaping

Contd....

of the deceased from the spot. Thereafter, all the accused persons ran away from the spot.

The accused/applicant is claiming ground of parity with the co-accused persons. Record reveals that the co-accused persons namely Chand Ali was granted bail by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dt. 25.09.2025. It is pertinent to mention here that the role of the applicant is similar to the co-accused person Chand Ali, who had been granted bail by Hon'ble High Court. Moreso, as per the testimony of the eyewitnesses PW1 and PW4 and other witnesses, it is evident that the co-accused Salim was holding the hands of the victim from behind and the another co-accused Ladla stabbed the victim with knife on his neck. It is further evident from the testimony recorded in the present case that the accused/applicant and the co-accused Chand Ali were not present on the spot but were standing at the corner of the street near their houses. Admittedly, as per the CCTVs installed near the place of the incident, the applicant was not seen in the CCTV Footage. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case as well as the parity of grounds with co-accused Chand Ali, the applicant/accused is also admitted to bail on ground of parity upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

Contd.....

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- ii) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- iii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iv) That accused shall keep his mobile phone on at all times.
- v) That accused shall appear on each and every date of hearing.
- vi) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same immediately by way of an affidavit.
- vii) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.
- viii) That accused shall report the PS every week to mark his attendance.
- ix) In case of any registration of any other FIR/DD Entry/complaint against the applicant/accused in future then the state/complainant is at liberty to seek redressal by preferring application for the cancellation of the bail of the accused.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an

Contd....

-6-

expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025**

M 362/2025
STATE Vs. SURESH CHAND GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Suresh Chand Gautam produced from JC
Ld. Counsel for the accused.
Both sureties of accused Suresh Chand Gautam namely
Roshni and Ashish Kumar Gautam are present

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed by the IO for the issuance of coercive action against the accused Suresh Chand Gautam.

Appearance of both the sureties of the accused is effected upon the issuance of court notices.

The separate application filed on behalf of the accused Suresh Chand Gautam also received. Same is gone through. Record further reveals that the surrender of the accused Suresh Chand Gautam was accepted on 25.10.2025. Hence, no further direction is required to be passed on the abovesaid application. Accordingly, same is dismissed.

Record reveals that both the abovesaid sureties were unable to produced the accused before the court with in stipulated time. Both the sureties submits that they failed to produce the accused before the court and contended that now the accused is already in custody and prays for leniency.

Contd....

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the conduct of the sureties and the subsequent surrender of the eaccused before the court, prayer of leniency is accepted. Hence, a fine of Rs.1,500/- only each has been imposed upon both the sureties for their non-compliance and default. Fine paid vide receipt no. 0974665 and 0974666.

Application of the IO stands disposed off accordingly.

Record reveals that the co-accused is remanded to JC in present case till 07.11.2025. Hence, the accused Suresh Chand Gautam is also remanded to JC till 07.11.2025 for further proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

SC 342/2022
STATE Vs. SWETA
FIR no. 400/2022
PS Khyala

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW Sanjay Kumar and HC Vijay are present. Considering their appearance, B/Ws issued against them are cancelled.

Sanjay Kumar is examined as PW2, cross examined and discharged. HC Vijay is discharged unexamined for today.

Issue fresh summons to the PW HC Vijay for PE for 03.03.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

**SC 50/2021
STATE Vs. SUMAN @ NATHO
FIR no. 674/2020
PS Paschim Vihar East**

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel Sh. Deepak Ghai.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW HC Sukhram Pal is present. He is
examined as PW6 and discharged.

Issue fresh summons to the ASI Satvir Singh for PE for
09.03.2026.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025**

SC 774/2022
STATE Vs. DEEPAK
FIR no. 587/2022
PS Paschim Vihar East

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Deepak and Bhupinder @ Jokhad produced from
JC
Accused Manoj Sharma is present on bail
Sh. Ankit Kumar Lohan, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Bhupinder @ Jokhad
Sh. Ashutosh Bharadwaj, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Deepak and Manoj

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW Rahul, Data Entry Operator RTO
Office is present. He is examined as PW7 and discharged.

Process of summons issued to Registering Authority,
Sampla and PW Pawan Kumar received back unserved.

Issue fresh summons to the concerned person from
Registering Authority, Sampla mentioned at S.No. 16 with
complete details of vehicle number and PW Pawan mentioned at
S. No. 12 at his fresh address for PE for 23.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1710/2025
STATE Vs. HARPREET SINGH
FIR no. 267/2019
PS Hari Nagar

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. K.K. Manan, Ld. Sr. Counsel for the accused through
VC
Ms. Yakshi Kataria, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/
accused in person.
IO Inspector Mukesh Meena through VC.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Harpreet Singh for grant of bail.

At request of joint request, put up for consideration on the
present application on 29.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

Bail Matters 1703/2025
STATE Vs. MANISH
FIR no. 249/2025
PS Anand Parbat

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. C.B. Garg, Sh. Yash Parkash Yadav, Sh. Rohit Nain,
Sh. Gaurav Maan, Sh. Akshit Sharma and Ms. Sakshi
Yadav, Ld. Counsels for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Dilip Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Manish for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. TCR received. Arguments heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 02.05.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and the recovery shown by the prosecution is planted and fabricated. It is further submitted that the arrest of the accused/applicant is illegal, arbitrary and without due process. It is further submitted that the co-accused Rahul @ Mirza and Ayush @ Ishu have already been granted bail in the present case. With submissions prayer is made for grant of bail on the ground of parity.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and prays for its dismissal.

Brief facts of the case are that the present case was registered on the complaint of complainant/victim, wherein she alleged that on 30.04.2025 two boys came and knocked the door of her house and queried about rented accommodation. It is further submitted that when the complainant/victim unbolted the main door, then they showed her pistol and in the meanwhile two other boys also came there from behind. It is further alleged that all the accused persons tied her hands & mouth and looted approximately Rs.25 Lakhs and a One Plus 7 mobile phone.

During the investigation, on the basis of CCTV footage, involvement of four persons is revealed. Later on, the Crime Branch had apprehended five accused persons on 02.05.2025 and recovered the looted cash of Rs.11.45 Lakhs and articles purchased from the looted cash. Thereafter, on their disclosure accused persons were arrested in the present case. As per the reply of IO, the recovery of Rs.3.25 Lakhs from the looted amount and one country made pistol was effected from the Rahul @ Mirza. The allegations against the accused/applicant is that he has shown the scene of crime to the other co-accused persons.

Contd....

Admittedly, the applicant/accused was not present at the scene of crime at the time of incident. Further, there is no evidence that applicant/accused has obtained any money as his share out of the alleged looted amount. Investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case and trial of the case shall take considerable time to conclude. Nothing incriminating was recovered from the applicant/accused. Moreso, accused/applicant is not involved in any other criminal case. Considering the above said facts and keeping in view the submissions, the present application is allowed, in the interest of justice. The applicant/accused is admitted on bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. JMFC/ Ld. Link JMFC/Ld. Duty JMFC on the following conditions :-

- i. That the applicant shall join investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer concerned.
- ii. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about its change, if any.
- iii. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- iv. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- v. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.

Contd....

- vi. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Accordingly, the present applicant stands disposed off.

Nothing stated herein-above shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information. TCR be sent back to the concerned Court.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

**Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1804/2025
STATE Vs. ARSHAD KHAN & ORS.
FIR no. 198/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

28.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Arshad for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application on 04.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025**

SC 522/2025
Bail Matters 1707/2025
STATE Vs. SANJEEV RANJAN AND ORS.
FIR no. 493/2025
PS Ranhola

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused for grant of statutory bail.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks some more time for
arguments on the present application.

At request, put up for arguments on the present application
on 04.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1728/2025
STATE Vs. HARMEET SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

28.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Harmeet Singh for grant of bail.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application and also filed her statement in this regard. Same is taken on record.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the statement of Ld. Counsel as well as submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/28.10.2025

Final Arguments

1 SC 41/2014 57038/2016 STATE Vs. MD. IMRAN AND ORS
316/2014 (Ranjit
Nagar)

Misc./ Appearance

2 SC 740/2021 STATE Vs. CHARAN SINGH TYAGI AND
ORS
0589/2020 (Paschim
Vihar West)

FRESH APPLICATION

3

Charge

4 SC 144/2020 STATE Vs. SHYAM RAWAT 95/2019 (Hari
Nagar)

5 SC 450/2024 STATE Vs. KHOKAN BARMAN AND ORS.
93/2024 (Rajouri
Garden)

6 SC 160/2025 STATE Vs. AVINASH AND ANR 201/2025
(NIHAL
VIHAR)

Prosecution Evidence

7 SC 558/2017 STATE Vs. KAPIL SAKLA ETC 381/2013
(Paschim
Vihar West)

8 9 SC 744/2021 STATE Vs. IRFAN SAIFI @ BONA 108/2021
(Crime
Branch-West Delhi)

10 11 12 SC 238/2024 STATE Vs. SARWAN KUMAR 438/2023
(Kirti Nagar)

For Bail

13

14

15 16 17 18 19 20

SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI
FIR no.100/2018
PS Hari Nagar

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant through VC.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of PS Hari Nagar and sought some more time to verify the medical documents of the mother of the applicant.

Considering the submissions and keeping in view the facts of the case, let fresh notice of the present application be issued to the concerned SHO PS Hari Nagar for filing of proper report and further consideration on the present application for 18.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

SC no. 579/2024
STATE Vs. Happy @ Vishal Etc.
FIR no. 286/2022
PS Anand Parbat

16.10.2025 State.

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sagar for cancellation of NBWs issued against him.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Applicant in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Considering the facts mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submissions, NBWs of the accused/applicant are cancelled subject to fine of Rs.1,000/-. Fine paid.

Put up for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e.
18.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Concerned SHO be intimated accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

SC 675/2021
STATE Vs. DULARI AND ORS.
FIR no. 815/2021
PS Khyala

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Vishal Soni absent.
Accused Dulari and Abdul Jahid in person on bail.
Ld. Counsel for the accused Abdul Jahid
Process Server HC Ajay Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

Process server HC Ajay Kumar submitted that despite his best efforts, accused Vishal Soni could not be found, upon which he executed process u/s 84 BNSS. Statement of process server HC Ajay recorded separately.

I am satisfied that the process under section u/s 84 BNSS (Old Section 82 Cr. P.C.) against the accused was properly executed at the addresses of the accused, where from it is clear that accused Vishal Soni is absconding or has concealed himself. Accordingly, the accused Vishal Soni is declared as Proclaimed Absconder.

Record reveals that earlier the matter was pending for PE.

Issue fresh summons to the PWs mentioned at S. no. 1 and 10 for PE for 04.02.2026. Also issue notice to the MHC(M) to produce the case property, if any, for NDOH.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

SC 262/2025
STATE Vs. VINOD RAY AND OTHER
FIR no. 263/2025
PS Ranhola

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
PSI Parmil in person on behalf of PS Ranhola

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vinod Ray for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested in the present case on 08.04.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the previous application for bail moved on behalf of the applicant/accused was dismissed on 03.06.2025, prior to filing of the charge sheet. It is further submitted that now the investigation has already been and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. It is further submitted that co-accused has already been granted bail in the present case. It is further submitted that the contraband recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS

contd...

Act in the present case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail. Ld. Chief PP has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 07.04.2025 on the basis of secret information applicant/accused was arrested and 38 Avil Vials and 22.36 grams of Buprenorphine tablets were recovered from his possession. However, after further investigation of the present case, supplementary chargesheet is also filed, whereby the quantity of the contraband is described as 9.286 grams of Buprenorphine, which is of intermediate quantity. Therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of the NDPS Act.

Applicant/accused was arrested in the present case on 08.04.2025. Investigation of the case has already been completed & chargesheet has already been filed and nothing more is to be recovered from the accused. Co-accused is already on bail. Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions & period of incarceration, applicant/ accused is

contd...

admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
2. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1729/2025
STATE Vs. FAIZAL ALIAS HAIDER ALI
FIR no.218/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Faizal @ Haider Ali for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for the consideration on the application on 25.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

**Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1730/2025
STATE Vs. SAHROJ
FIR no.218/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Sahroj for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main
counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for the consideration on the application
on 25.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025**

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1754/2025
STATE Vs. SURESH CHAND GAUTAM
FIR no.537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Manish in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Suresh Chand Gautam for grant of interim bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and prays for passover. Due to heavy cause list today passover is not possible.

At request, put up for the consideration on the application on 17.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

SC 522/2025
Bail Matters 1707/2025
STATE Vs. SANJEEV RANJAN
FIR no.493/2025
PS Ranhola

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant for grant of statutory bail.

Ld. Counsel for the accused prays for sometime for tendering arguments.

At request, put up for consideration/arguments on the pending bail application on 28.10.2025. This date is given at the request of Ld. Counsel.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

Bail Matters 1606/2025
STATE Vs. CHANDAN ALIAS ROHIT
FIR no.424/2025
PS Moti Nagar

16.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Mansi Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant
IO PSI Arun in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Chandan for grant of anticipatory bail.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant has already joined the investigation of the present case.

IO affirms the abovesaid submissions of Ld. Counsel and filed his fresh reply. Let the copy be supplied.

Ld. Counsel prays for adjournment for arguments after going through the reply filed by the IO. At request, put up for arguments on the pending application on 29.10.2025. Till then interim order to continue.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

SC 513/2025
STATE Vs. DHANJAY KUMAR AND ORS.
FIR no.1009/2024
PS Paschim Vihar West

16.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Dhanjay for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for the consideration on the application on 27.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/16.10.2025

(Urgent Cases)

Final Argument

1 SC 18/2022 STATE Vs. MONA DEVI 724/2021 (Mundka)

Misc./ Appearance

2

3 SC 407/2025 STATE Vs. KAMAL SINGH 441/2025 (NIHAL VIHAR)

4 SC 441/2025 STATE Vs. DILIP KUMAR 315/2025 (Tilak Nagar)

Charge

5 SC 78/2023 STATE Vs. ANKESH LAKRA 608/2022 (Mundka)

6 SC 4457/2024 STATE Vs. MOHIT 627/2024 (Rajouri Garden)

7 SC 286/2025 STATE Vs. ASIF AND ANR 167/2025 (Hari Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

8 SC 544/2017 STATE Vs. REENA AND ORS 201/2017 (Khyala)

9 SC 75/2018 STATE Vs. ASHEEM KOCHAR 581/2017 (Tilak Nagar)

10 SC 744/2021 STATE Vs. IRFAN SAIFI @ BONA 108/2021 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

11 SC 17/2022 STATE Vs. KRITPAL @ SUNNY 951/2021 (Paschim

Vihar West)

12 SC 514/2022 STATE Vs. SUJIT KUMAR SINGH 402/2022 (Ranhola)

13 SC 565/2023 STATE Vs. SOHAIL KHAN AND ORS. 599/2023 (Rajouri

Garden)

Final Argument

14 SC 94/2017 STATE Vs. VICKY ETC. 763/2015 (Paschim Vihar East)

For Bail

15

16 SC 237/2024 STATE Vs. Pramila Lakra (FEMALE) 909/2023 (Ranhola) Ld. Counsel Sh. Sagar, Sh. Somdutt, Sh. Harsimonjeet

Singh

17

18

19

20 Challan not filed Bail Matters 1694/2025 STATE Vs. VIVEK KUMAR 271/2025 (Paschim

Vihar East) Ld. Counsel Sh. Neeraj Kumar Shah

21

22

23

24

25

26 Challan not filed Bail Matters 1763/2025 STATE Vs. PRATAP SINGH 225/2025 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

27 Challan not filed Bail Matters 1766/2025 STATE Vs. MEHRAJ KHAN 225/2025 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

28

SC 282/2020
STATE Vs. PRADEEP KUMAR
FIR no.175/2020
PS Hari Nagar

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused on bail with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Remaining final arguments heard. Clarification sought.
Vide separately pronounced judgment in the open court, accused person is acquitted for offences u/s 21 (a) and (b) of NDPS Act.

Bail bonds/surety bonds already filed on behalf of the accused, in compliance of provisions of Section 481 BNSS, are accepted, as per rules.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI
FIR no.100/2018
PS Hari Nagar

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Inspector Shri Kishan in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for grant of bail.

Inspector Shri Kishan submits that he is presently posted in PMMC, PHQ and not present posted in PS Hari Nagar. He further submits that he has only filed the chargesheet against the co-accused Salman Tyagi. Record reveals that the proceedings have already been abated against Salman Tyagi.

Considering the submissions and keeping in view the facts of the case, let fresh notice of the present application be issued to the concerned SHO PS Hari Nagar for appearance and filing of reply and further consideration on the present application for 16.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1695/2025
STATE Vs. SUMIT
FIR no. 482/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. C.B. Garg, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sumit.

Reply has already filed on behalf of the IO. Copy already supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that no notice u/s 50 of NDPS Act was given by the police officials to the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and applicant is no more required for the purpose of further investigation. Ld. Counsel further submits that no independent witnesses were joined at the time of the alleged recovery of contraband from the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is intermediate quantity, therefore, rigours of section 37 of the NDPS Act is not

Contd....

attracted in the present case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant/ accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witnesses and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail.

Brief facts of the case are that on 12.09.2025 the applicant/accused was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and 1272 Grams of Ganja was recovered from applicant/accused. Thereupon, after due compliance the present case was registered and further investigation was carried out. It is relevant to mention here that during investigation proceedings, notice u/s 50 NDPS Act was duly served upon the applicant/accused.

Investigation of the case is at crucial stage. Custodial interrogation of the accused/applicant is necessary to ascertain the source of contraband and to unearth the complete conspiracy in the present case. Investigation of the case is yet to be concluded. Chargesheet is yet to be filed. The allegations against the accused/ applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice,

Contd....

tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit the offence again.

Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1755/2025
STATE Vs. MANISH KUMAR GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.
IO SI Manish with case file.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 BNSS for grant of regular bail filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Manish Kumar Gautam.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. Ld. Counsel further submits that no independent witnesses were joined at the time of the alleged recovery of contraband from the accused/applicant. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is just above the small quantity, therefore, rigours of section 37 of the NDPS Act is not attracted in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has

Contd....

been implicated in the present case only to harass the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that there is a delay in lodging the present FIR. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant/ accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witnesses and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on bail.

Brief facts of the case are that on 08.10.2025, the applicant/accused along with co-accused Suresh were apprehended on the basis of suspicion and 1.080 Kg of Ganja was recovered from applicant/accused & 2.075 Kg of Ganja was recovered from the co-accused. Thereupon, after due compliance the present case was registered and further investigation was carried out. During investigation, both the accused persons had disclosed that the source of the contraband is Chhapan @ Sapan. Co-accused Chhapan @ Sapan is yet to be arrested. Investigation of the case is at initial stage. Admittedly, the accused/applicant is also involved in other criminal cases.

Therefore, considering the facts & circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the nature & gravity of the

Contd....

-3-

allegation against the applicant/accused, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1753/2025
STATE Vs. SAPAN KUMAR GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden
15.10.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sapan Kumar Gautam for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.
IO SI Manish with case file.

File perused. Heard.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant has no role in the alleged offence. It is further submitted that the applicant is ready and willing to join the investigation of the present case as and when directed by the IO, but is having apprehension of his arrest in present false case. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

IO has submitted that despite giving of notice for joining the investigation, accused has not joined the investigation and evading his appearance. Admittedly, the role of applicant is emerged in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. At this stage, except the disclosure statement & his previous involvement, there is no other

Contd.....

incriminating evidence against the applicant till date.

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case as well as keeping in view the submissions, applicant is directed to join the investigation of the present case as per the requirement of the IO. In the meanwhile, interim protection from arrest is given to the applicant/accused till NDOH.

IO is directed to file a fresh reply after further investigation, upon joining of the investigation by the applicant and for further consideration of the present application on 29.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1754/2025
STATE Vs. SURESH CHAND GAUTAM
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aditya Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused through VC.
IO SI Manish in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Suresh Chand Gautam for grant of interim bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

IO has sought some more to verify the factum of death of the mother in law of the applicant/accused.

At request, IO is at liberty to file fresh reply upon the verification of factum of the death of the mother in law of the applicant/accused and for further consideration on 16.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Bail Matters 1725/2025
STATE Vs. ABDUL WAHAB
FIR no. 588/2024
PS Moti Nagar

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Meenakshi Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Abdul Wahab for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.
Arguments heard. TCR Received. Same is gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant is
innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is
further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested in the
present case on 27.03.2025 as he himself has surrendered and
since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that mother of the
applicant is suffering from chronic kidney disease and he is sole
bread earner for his entire family and there is nobody in the
family to take care of his mother. It is further submitted that the
first bail application of the accused was dismissed on 15.05.2025.
It is further submitted that investigation has already been
completed in the present case and after framing of charge now
the present matter is at the stage of PE. Ld. Counsel further
submits that conclusion of trial will take more considerable time.

Contd....

With these submission prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are under section 118 (2) BNS and same are serious in nature.

Record reveals that the first bail application of the accused was dismissed vide order dated 15.05.2025. Thereafter, the investigation of the case was completed and chargesheet was filed. The accused is facing trial for the offence u/s 118 (2) BNS and the matter is at the stage of PE. Record further reveals that the victim/complainant has already been examined in the present case. As per the version of the complainant in his testimony it is alleged that on 23.10.2024, at about 04:30 PM, he went to purchase snacks and was going to Pusta, Najafgarh Nala towards Karampura. When he reached near turn at about 200 meter away from temple, he saw accused and a girl were doing obscene, so he requested them not to do such act and went towards Karampura. It is further alleged that after sometime, he returned at same place and saw them again and stopped them for doing such acts. Upon this, accused abused him and took out knife, but he managed to take the knife and threw the same in *nala* and informed some one in temple. It is further alleged that when the complainant took out his phone to inform the police then the accused gave blade blow on his right hand and left cheek and ran

Contd....

away from the spot. However, cross examination of complainant is yet to be concluded. Perusal of record further reveals that despite service of summons upon the complainant on consecutive two dates of hearing, he has not appeared in court for his cross examination. Admittedly, the accused is not involved in any other case. Admittedly, the accused has himself surrendered before the court. Accused is in JC since 28.03.2025. The conclusion of trial shall take further considerable time.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submission of both the parties and period of incarceration, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Trial Court/Ld. Link JMFC/Ld. Duty JMFC and subject to the following conditions :

- x) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- xi) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- xii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- xiii) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
- xiv) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Contd....

- xv) That the accused/applicant or his family members will not contact/communicate the complainant in any manner, directly or indirectly.
- xvi) That the accused /applicant will not go in the vicinity of complainant.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly. TCR be sent back to the concerned court.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025**

**Bail Matter 1710/2025
STATE Vs. Harpreet Singh
FIR no. 267/2019
PS Hari Nagar**

15.10.2025

Present bail application has been received by way of transfer from Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, Delhi

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. K.K. Manan Ld. Counsel for the applicant along with
Ms. Udit Bali, Sh. Mayank Arora, Ms. Yakshi Kataria, Ms.
Nirali Vashisht, Ms. Sajili Vashisht and Sh. Angad Singh
Narula, Advocates.
IO is absent.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard. Appearance of IO is essential for clarifications regarding the role of the applicant/accused as well aspect of investigation.

Issue notice to the concerned IO/SHO for appearance & further consideration on the present application on 17.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025**

**Bail Matters 1703/2025
STATE Vs. MANISH
FIR no. 249/2025
PS Anand Parbat**

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO is absent

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Manish for grant of bail. TCR received.

Part arguments heard.

IO is not present today & clarification are required from
him regarding role of the accused/applicant.

Issue notice to the concerned IO/SHO for further
consideration on the present bail application for 17.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025**

SC 395/2025
STATE Vs. MOHAMMAD MEHRAJ MANSORI
FIR no. 286/2025
PS Anand Parbat

15.10.2025

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/ accused Mohammad Mehraj Mansori for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested in the present case on 12.06.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed on the present case and the conclusion of trial will take more considerable time. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that no other case under the NDPS Act is pending against the accused/applicant and the conviction in FIR no. 421/2022 PS Anand Parbat is under challenge. It is further submitted that the signature of the applicant on the notice u/s 50 of the NDPS Act &

Contd....

other documents are forged and does not belong to applicant/accused. Ld.Counsel further submits that CCTV camera has been installed at the place of alleged incident, however, police has failed to file any CCTV footage of the incident. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of the NDPS Act. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature and prays for the dismissal of the present application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case on 12.06.25, the applicant/accused was apprehended and 1.842 Kg of ganja was recovered from his possession. The investigation of case is completed and accused/applicant is chargesheet for the offence u/s 20 of NDPS Act. The recovery from the applicant/accused is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of NDPS. Investigation of the present case has already been completed. Applicant/ accused is in JC since 12.06.2025. FSL result is still not received. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Accordingly, without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this

Contd.....

case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail on ground of parity upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- ii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iii) That accused shall keep his mobile phone on at all times.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same immediately by way of an affidavit.
- v) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Bail Matters 1516/2025
STATE Vs. HONEY GOEL
FIR no. 365/2024
PS Moti Nagar

15.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC
None for the complainant.
IO is also absent.

File perused. Heard.

This is 2nd application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Honey Goel for grant of interim bail.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that Cheques given on behalf of the accused to the complainant on last date of hearing, for an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- and Rs.2,50,000/- have already been cleared and complainant had also given compounding statement before the Ld. Trial Court. Ld. Counsel seeks some time for filing of certified copy of compounding statement.

IO is also not present today.

Let notice be issued to the concerned IO/SHO as well as complainant for further verification/affirmation of the abovesaid submission & for further consideration on the present application for 25.10.2025. Till then interim order to continue.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/15.10.2025

Misc./ Appearance

1 SC 16/2015 57462/2016 STATE Vs. RAM NARAYAN ETC .
20/2015 (NIHAL

VIHAR)

2 CA / 291/2025 HIRA LAL Vs. SMT. KRISHNA /0 (Rajouri
Garden)

Charge

3 SC 87/2023 STATE Vs. ROHAN MALIK @ BILLI AND
ANR

187/2022 (Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

4 SC 487/2024 STATE Vs. KAPIL BAJAJ 289/2023 (Khyala)
Prosecution Evidence

5 SC 482/2017 STATE Vs. KARAN AND ANR. 292/2017
(NIHAL

VIHAR)

6 SC 279/2020 STATE Vs. GULSHAN KUMAR @ VICKY
589/2020 (Rajouri

Garden)

7 SC 553/2021 STATE Vs. VIKAS KASHYAP 219/2021
(Ranhola)

8 SC 564/2023 STATE Vs. LALI 467/2023 (Khyala)

9 SC 616/2023 STATE Vs. FRIDAY 752/2023 (NIHAL
VIHAR)

10 SC 239/2024 STATE Vs. UCHE UWADIEGU 1272/2023
(Paschim

Vihar West)

Final Arguments

11

For Bail

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

STATE Vs. Chander Kishore Yadav
FIR no. 485/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

14.10.2025

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/ brother of the accused Chander Kishore Yadav for seeking directions to the concerned Jail Superintendent to allow him to communicate with the accused.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant

File perused. Heard.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present application. Statement of Ld. Counsel recorded separately.

Considering the same, present application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

SC 78/2023
STATE Vs. ANKESH LAKRA
FIR no. 608/2022
PS Mundka

14.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Takshay and Sh. Akash, Ld. Counsels for the
applicant/accused

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Amit Yadav @ Rahul @ Vicky for grant of
interim bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments
heard. Record gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the father of the
applicant/accused had expired on 05.10.2025 and the presence of
the applicant/accused is necessary to attend rituals i.e. Shraddh,
Ganga Snan, Pind Daan, Tarpan, Asthi Visarjan preparations,
mourning gatherings and other ceremonies. It is further submitted
that the abovesaid ceremonies will be started from 15.10.2025
onward. It is further submitted that some rituals are to be
performed exclusively by the applicant. It is further submitted
that a *havan* along with *Prati Bhoj* and *Baithak* is to be conducted
on 17.10.2025 and 20.10.2025. With these submissions, prayer is
made for grant of interim bail for 14.10.2025 to 06.11.2025.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present interim bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

In the present case, chargesheet has been filed for the offences u/s 302/307/120B/34. It has been held by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in *“Athar Pervez vs State” (Crl. Ref. 1/2015, decided on 26.01.2016)* that the power to grant interim bail is to be sparingly used, when intolerable grief and suffering in the given facts may justify temporary release. It has been further held that while rejecting or accepting an application for grant of “interim” bail, the trial Court will keep in mind the strict provisions of the Act and only when there are compelling reasons which would justify and require the grant of “interim” bail, should the application be allowed. It was further held that the Court must take into account whether or not the accused/convict is likely to commit or indulge in similar violations.

The death of the father of the applicant/accused has been duly verified by the IO. Accordingly, without going into merits of the case, on humanitarian grounds, the applicant/ accused is admitted to interim bail for three days i.e. from 15.10.2025 to 17.10.2025 from his release on furnishing of personal bond in

Contd....

sum of Rs.50,000/- along with two sureties in the like amount on the following conditions:

1. That the accused shall provide his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO on which accused may be contacted, if required or necessary. Accused shall ensure that the said number shall be kept active and switched on all the times.
2. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on interim bail.
3. That accused shall not tamper with the evidences in any manner.
4. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same.
5. That accused will surrender before the authorities concerned after the expiry of interim bail i.e. on 18.10.2025.

Nothing stated herein above shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for intimation and record.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

Challan not filed.
Bail Matters 1684/2025
STATE Vs. GOVIND SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

14.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ms. Anshula Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO ASI Aditya in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Govind Singh for grant of anticipatory bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that mere ownership of a vehicle cannot make the registered owner liable for the act. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the applicant is having apprehension of his arrest in present case. It is further submitted that applicant has already joined the investigation of the present case and is ready and willing to join the investigation of the present case in future also. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state

Contd....

has submitted that as per the reply of the IO, in the present case the vehicle in which contraband was recovered is in the name of applicant/ accused. It is further submitted that the applicant has already joined the investigation of the case and has stated that the vehicle was not in the use of the applicant and same was entirely under the control and use of his brother Anil Kumar Singh.

Brief facts of the case are that the present case co-accused persons Pratap Singh and Mehraj Khan were apprehended along with a Swift Dzire car and from the car contraband of ganja was recovered. During investigation, on the disclosure of the co-accused persons, another co-accused Anil was apprehended and at his instance 2.029 Kg of Ganja was recovered from diggi of one car i.e. KIA Sonnet Car no. DLBC BA 5387. The said KIA Sonnet Car is in the name of applicant Govind Singh. Applicant has already joined the investigation of the present case. No recovery of contraband is stated to be recovered from the applicant.

Considering the totality of the facts that the applicant/ accused has already joined the investigation of the present case and has fully cooperated in the investigation, present application for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed in the interest of justice, as it is apparent that his custodial interrogation/arrest is not required for any purpose. In the event of his arrest, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in

Contd....

sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety in the like amount, to the satisfaction of concerned Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer on the following terms and condition:

1. That the applicant shall join investigation as and when called by the Investigating Officer concerned.
2. That the applicant shall intimate the learned Trial Court by way of an affidavit and to the Investigating Officer regarding any change in residential address.
3. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
4. That the applicant shall appear before the Ld. Trial Court as and when the matter is taken up for hearing.
5. That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of Ld. Trial Court.

Application stands disposed off. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

SC 155/2022
STATE Vs. JITENDER AND ORS
FIR no.1136/2021
PS Mundka

14.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
All accused persons are present in person except accused
Vimal.

File perused. Heard.

Issue NBWs against the accused Vimal and notice to his
surety for 20.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

SC 93/2018
STATE Vs. SONU & ORS.
FIR no. 332/2017
PS Khyala

14.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
All accused are present in person
Ld. Counsel for all the accused persons

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for final arguments.

Further final arguments heard.

Put up for remaining final arguments on 25.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

Challan not filed.
Bail Matters 1728/2025
STATE Vs. HARPREET SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

14.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant.
Inspector Anil Malik and IO ASI Aditya in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Harpreet for grant of bail.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for consideration on the present application on 25.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

SC 286/2025
STATE Vs. ASIF AND ANR
FIR no. 167/2025
PS Hari Nagar

14.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Ritik for grant of bail.

Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is a young boy of 22 years and his entire future is at stake due to this false case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the previous bail application was dismissed on 07.07.2025 and more than three months have been elapsed since the dismissal of the earlier bail application. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not attracted in the present case. It is further submitted that earlier applicant/accused was granted interim bail in the present case and he surrendered himself within the stipulated

Contd....

period. It is further submitted that the FSL Report has also been received. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is having clean antecedents and he never involved in any criminal activity. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Brief facts of the case are that on 24.04.2025 applicant along with the co-accused Asif were apprehended on the basis of secret information and a white colour bag containing 1.107 Kg of Ganja and 530 grams of hybrid ganja were recovered from their possession. The amount of contraband recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out in the present case. Applicants in in the custody in the present case since 25.04.2025. Investigation of the case has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. FSL Result also received. Moreover, record also reveals that on earlier occasion, applicant/accused was granted interim bail and the applicant has also surrendered himself within the stipulated period. Meaning thereby, accused has never misused the liberty

Contd....

of interim bail granted to him. Further, the accused/applicant is not having involvement in any other criminal case.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions & period of incarceration, applicants/accused persons are admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of ₹30,000/- each with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicants shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the applicants will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
3. That applicants shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That applicants shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025

**SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI
FIR no.100/2018
PS Hari Nagar**

14.10.2025

File taken up on an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

**Pr: Ld. Substituted Addl. PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed on behalf of the IO.

Let fresh notice be issued to the concerned IO/SHO for filing of reply to the present application for 15.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/14.10.2025**

FRESH APPLICATION

**1 M 351/2025 STATE Vs. CHANDER KISHORE YADAV
485/2025 (Rajouri
Garden)**

Charge

**2 SC 547/2021 STATE Vs. RAVINDER @ TITU AND
OTHERS
974/2020 (Punjabi**

Bagh)

**3 SC 690/2021 STATE Vs. SOHAIL AND ORS 565/2021
(Khyala)**

**4 SC 94/2023 STATE Vs. DEEPAK @ BACUCHA @
MOHAN 600/2022 (Patel Nagar)**

**5 SC 482/2023 STATE Vs. AZEEM 317/2023 (Rajouri
Garden)**

Prosecution Evidence

**6 SC 58110/2016 STATE Vs. GULSHAN AND ORS. 287/2016
(Paschim
Vihar West)**

7 SC 58232/2016 STATE Vs. KHALID etc. 85/2016 (Khyala)

**8 SC 71/2022 STATE Vs. RAKESH MISHRA AND ORS
697/2021 (Rajouri
Garden)**

9

**10 SC 60/2024 STATE Vs. RITIK LAL @ GOLU AND
OTHERS 824/2023 (Khyala)**

**11 SC 231/2024 STATE Vs. MD ASFAG @ Chura 127/2024
(Anand
Parbat)**

Final Argument

**12 SC 57885/2016 STATE Vs. MAHAVIR ETC 307/2013
(Uttam
Nagar)**

13

For Bail

14 15 16 17 18 19

SC 287/2023
STATE Vs. PABBAR GIRI AND Etc.
FIR no.157/2023
PS Ranhola

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Pappu Rai and Geegal Kumar in person with Ld.
Counsel
Accused Pabbar Giri in person, now on bail.
Sh. Suraj Prakash Sharma and Sh. Akash Sharma, Ld.
Counsels for the accused Pabbar Giri.

File perused. Heard.

Record reveals that in the present case charges have already been framed against the accused Pappu Rai and Geegal Kumar.

An application for discharge filed on behalf of accused Pabbar Giri is also pending on record. Reply filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

At this stage, Ld. Counsels for the accused Pabbar Giri submits that they wish to withdraw their vakalatnama. Considering the submissions, Ld. Counsels for the accused Pabbar Giri are discharged.

Accused Pabbar Giri has sought some time for engagement of new counsel. At request, put up for arguments on point of charge on behalf of the accused Pabbar Giri for 19.01.2026

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC no. 537/2025
STATE Vs. Chukwu Ebuka Umeh & Ors.
FIR no. 80/2025
PS Crime Branch

13.10.2025

Fresh chargesheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused produced from JC

File perused. Heard.

The materials available on record are prima facie sufficient to take cognizance for offences alleged in the chargesheet against the accused persons. Accordingly, I take cognizance for the offences mentioned in the present case against accused.

Copies of the chargesheet and annexed document supplied to the accused against due acknowledgment.

Put up for scrutiny of documents and consideration on point of charge for 21.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC no. 538/2025
STATE Vs. Vivek & Ors.
FIR no. 271/2025
PS Paschim Vihar East

13.10.2025

Fresh chargesheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Vivek is stated to be in JC till 17.10.2025.
Accused Sandeep is stated to be on bail.

File perused. Heard.

Put up for consideration on 17.10.2025

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

**SC 78/2023
STATE Vs. ANKESH LAKRA
FIR no.608/2022
PS Mundka**

13.10.2025

**Matter is taken on the receiving of order dated 11.10.2025
passed by Sh. Tanmay Batham, Ld. Duty JMFC.**

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Akash, Ld.Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

**This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused Amit Yadav @ Rahul @ Vicky for grant of interim bail.**

**Let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of
reply to the present application for 14.10.2025.**

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025**

SC 522/2025
Bail Matters 1707/2025
STATE Vs. SANJEEV RANJAN
FIR no.493/2025
PS Ranhola

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Amit Sharma in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant for grant of statutory bail.

Reply received from bail section in terms of previous order.

Proxy Counsel submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for consideration on the application on 16.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

Bail Matters 1686/2025
STATE Vs. RAJWANT SINGH
FIR no.225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aman Akhtar, Sh. Faraz Khan & Sh. Manish Dhankani
Ld. Counsels for the applicant/accused.
ASI Aditya on behalf of PS Crime Branch with case file.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajwant Singh for grant of bail.

Copy of reply to the application filed on behalf of the IO already supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld.Counsel that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused persons. It is further submitted that the allegations against the accused are baseless, fabricated and not supported by any cogent evidence. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused is arrested in the present case on 03.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. Ld. Counsel further submits that no recovery was effected from the accused/applicant and the alleged recovery from co-accused persons is of intermediate quantity. Ld. Counsel further submits there is unexplained delay of 24 hours in lodging of the present

Contd.....

FIR and contends that the disclosure by the co-accused person is not admissible against the accused/applicant. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature. Ld. Chief PP for the state further submitted that as per the report of the IO, accused/applicant is actively involved in the offence in the present case as he was part of well organised international network in drug networking.

Present case was registered on 27.08.2025 after the recovery of 3.708 Kg of OG Ganja from the possession of accused Pratap and Mehraj Khan. It is a case of prosecution that on 26.08.25 a secret information was received regarding the supply of OG Ganja in and around Tilak Nagar/Park Hospital/Golden Mansion. Thereupon, after due compliances a raiding team was prepared and at around 3 PM police intercepted a Silver Swift Dzire (DL-4C AS 9695) near Hotel Golden Mansion. The occupant of abovesaid car were identify by the secret informer as Pratap (Driver) and Mehraj Khan. Thereafter, on inspection of boot/diggy of abovesaid car five polythene packets were recovered and the said substance tested positive for Marijuana. Further, on the basis of leads given by accused

Contd.....

Mehraj, police team also inspected another black KIA Black Sonet (DL-14CF 0533) car parked in the parking of Hotel Golden Mansion and also recovered another two similar packets, which tested positive for Marijuana.

During further investigation and on the basis of disclosure of the abovesaid co-accused persons namely Mehraj Khan and Pratap it is revealed that they were engaged in the supply of OG Ganja by one Anil who used to visit Bangkok to bring contraband. It is further revealed that the said Anil be friended them with one Ishaan who lives in Dubai and used to get contraband supply through foreigners. During further investigation, the abovesaid Anil was also arrested in the present case and during his PC Remand further recovery of 2.029 OG Ganja was effected in present case.

It is relevant to mention here that accused/applicant was arrested in the present case on 03.09.2025 at the instance of co-accused Pratap. During further investigation, it is revealed that accused/applicant along with another person visited the Bangkok on 21.08.2025 and returned on 26.08.2025 and delivered the present contraband to accused Pratap in front of Gandhi Cycles Shop Tilak Nagar, Delhi. In his disclosure statement role of one another accused is also revealed who thereafter was arrested in the present case and upon analysis of his whatsapp chat it is revealed that the said persons was in the regular contact of co-

Contd....

accused Ishan, who is running the contraband nexus from Dubai. It is relevant to mention here that there were audio recordings and messages in his mobile phone.

During further investigation, mobile phone of the accused was also analysed and it was found that he had saved the number of abovesaid co-accused Ishaan as Visa and was sending details along with picture during their travel to Bangkok. Further, there has been ticket which were shared to him and also the number of the Ishaan has been shared to him. It is pertinent to mention here that all the conversation took place amongst them through Whatsapp.

Allegations against the accused are of criminal conspiracy in the alleged offence with the co-accused persons. The conspiracies are always made in secrecy, hence, it is very tough to obtain explicit evidence for the same. The investigation of present case is at the crucial stage. The allegations against the accused/applicant are of part of well organised international network, which is engaged in transporting contraband in India for monetary gain. Moreover, there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit the similar offence again. Hence,

Contd.....

-5-

considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 593/2019
STATE Vs. GEOFFREY BOATENG
FIR no. 135/2019
PS Khyala

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Convict on bail with Ld. Counsel Sh. Anirudh Singh
Rajawat.

File perused. Heard.

Arguments on point of sentence heard. Order on sentence
announced separately. Fine of Rs.4,000/- paid vide receipt no.
0974663.

Bail bond/surety bond u/s 481 BNSS (old 437A Cr.P.C.)
already filed on record on behalf of the convict is accepted, as
per rules.

File be consigned to record room after due compliance as
per rules.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 58148/2016
STATE Vs. VICKY @ VIKRAM
FIR no. 34/2014
PS Anand Parbat

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused on bail with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for final arguments.

Bail bond/surety bond are filed on behalf of both the accused persons in compliance of provisions of Section 481 BNSS (old Section 437-A Cr.P.C.). Same is taken on record.

Further final arguments heard.

Put up for remaining arguments/clarifications on 29.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

**SC no. 433/2022
STATE Vs. Ismael
FIR no. 428/2022
PS Tilak Nagar**

13.10.2025

**Matter is taken up today and 11.10.2025 and 12.10.2025
are holidays.**

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused absent.**

File perused. Heard.

NBWs issued against the accused received back unserved.

**Considering the facts, issue fresh NBWs against the
accused and notice to his surety for 19.12.2025.**

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025**

SC 368/2021
STATE Vs. Kamal Kumar Narula
FIR no. 758/2019
PS NIHAL VIHAR

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused on bail with Ld. Proxy Counsel
Ld. Counsel for the PW Gagandeep

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE and for cross examination of PW1.
PW1 Gagandeep is present. However, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
accused persons submits that main counsel is not available today
and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for purpose fixed for 20.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 348/2018
STATE Vs. LALLAN
FIR no.219/2018
PS Rajouri Garden

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from Tonk Jail, Rajasthan (but on bail
in this case)
Sh. Raj Kumar, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for SA.

At request, put up for SA on 15.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

STATE Vs. Ranjan Kumar
FIR no. 30.2025
PS Tilak Nagar

13.10.2025

This is an application for releasing of Oppo Reno 11 Green mobile.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present application of the accused with liberty to move fresh application, as per rules.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, present application is disposed off as withdrawn. However, liberty is given to the applicant/accused to move fresh application, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC no. 206/2018
STATE Vs. Tinimo Efere @ Wowo
FIR no. 33/2018
PS Crime Branch

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant through VC

File perused. Heard.

An application has been filed for seeking permission to travel to Assam from 20.11.2025 to 27.11.2025 to attend the marriage of his brother in law namely Yash Gaur.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused submitted that the the marriage ceremonies of his brother in law are going to be held from 20.11.2025 to 27.11.2025 at Borgos Resort, Kaziranga National Park, Assam, in which presence of the applicant is necessary. With these submission prayer is made for permission to go to Assam to attend marriage functions.

Perusal of record reveals that the applicant was granted bail by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 22.08.2022, subject to one of the condition that the applicant shall not go beyond the NCR Region, without the permission of the Learned Trial Court. Accused is regularly appearing in the court and never missed any date of hearing.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, application is allowed and the applicant/accused is

Contd....

-2-

permitted to visit Assam for period from 20.11.25 to 27.11.2025. However, he is directed to intimate the court within two weeks after his return to Delhi.

Applicant stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 257/2021
STATE Vs. HIMANSHU @ LANGRA
FIR no.360/2020
PS Anand Parbat

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Applicant/Surety in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/surety Bishan Kumar for cancellation of warrants of attachment.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

It is submitted on behalf of the surety that he could neither appeared in the court nor was able to produced the accused on the date of hearing as his son was suffering from illness and was admitted in Hospital. It is further submitted that only upon the intervention of the applicant, accused Himanshu was arrested by the police and later on was produced before the court. With these submissions prayer is made for cancellation of warrants of attachment.

As per the reply of IO, the above said submissions of the applicant regarding the arrest of the accused Himanshu in present case and the aspect of the assistance of the applicant is affirmed.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping view the submissions, present application is partly allowed. The

Contd.....

-2-

attachment amount of the surety is reduced to Rs.4,000/-.

Amount paid vide receipt no. 0974664. Surety is discharged.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 39/2025
STATE Vs. POOJA ARORA
FIR no.794/2024
PS Rajouri Garden

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused on bail with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW HC Narender is present. He is
examined as PW1.

Another summon issued to the HC Karan received back
with the report that he is on CL.

Considering the same issue fresh summons to the PW HC
Karan in terms of previous order for PE for 07.02.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 370/2023
STATE Vs. MARIYAM
FIR no.614/2022
PS Moti Nagar

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused on bail with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW Retired ASI Sailesh Celestina
Minj is present. She is examined as PW1.

Process of summons issued to the Inspector Rajender
received back with request. Same is allowed for today.

Issue fresh summons to the PW Inspector Rajender in
terms of previous order for PE for 13.02.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

Bail Matters 1742/2025
STATE Vs. AJAY SINGH TANWAR
FIR no. 461/2025
PS Moti Nagar
u/s 376 (2) (n) IPC

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Manoj Chauhan, Sh. Ujjwal Singh Parmar & Ms. Neha
Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Lovleen in person with case file.
Victim in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused for grant of regular bail.

Reply to the application filed by the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is argued on behalf of the accused that the accused is innocent and is falsely implicated in the present case. Ld. Counsel contends that complainant was introduced to the applicant by her cousin and the complainant herself sent a friend request to the applicant on Instagram and same was accepted by the applicant. Ld. Counsel further contended that the applicant/accused never made any false promises for the sexual relations and the allegation of physical relationship on pretext of marriage are wholly unfounded. Ld. Counsel claimed that the accused/applicant and complainant are both voluntarily stayed in live in relationship for four months at Rohini and their parents

Contd....

were also having knowledge of the same.

Ld. Counsel contends that accused was in love with the complainant and intends to marry her and claimed that parents of both the parties were discussing the issue of their marriage, but same could not be resulted in positive outcome due to family differences. Ld. Counsel further argued that as per the version of the FIR the complainant herself traveled with the applicant to Jaipur and were stayed in Hotels, which indicates consent on her part rather than any inducement or coercion. Ld. Counsel further argued that the accused has not committed any offence as alleged in the present case and the allegations of present FIR are concocted, frivolous and far from reality. Ld. Counsel contends that there is unexplained delay of over two years in lodging the FIR against the accused. Ld. Counsel argued that the accused is arrested in present case on 29.09.2025 and since then he is JC and is no more required for any further custodial interrogation. With these submissions, Ld. Counsel prays for grant of regular bail and relied upon the case laws Pramod Suryabhan Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr. (2019) 9 Supreme Court Cases 608, Maheshwar Tigga Vs. State of Jharkhand (2020) 10 Supreme Court Cases 108 and Naveen Yadav Vs. State of NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no. 2776/2025 dated 26.09.2025 by Hon'ble High Court.

Contd.....

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are grave and serious in nature. Moreover, the victim has also opposed the present bail application and reiterated her allegations against the accused and claimed that accused has repeated made physical relation with her on false pretext of marriage even after failure of marriage talks between their families. Ld. Chief PP as well as victim has prayed for the dismissal of the present application.

Present case was registered on the version of complainant on 29.09.2025. In her complaint victim alleged that she became acquainted with accused/applicant on 16.09.2022 at her house and thereafter they started talking each other and later on started meeting each others. The victim has alleged that accused/applicant established physical relation with her on the pretext of marriage on several occasions at different places. However, later on he refused to perform marriage with her after August 2024 and stopped talking with her. It is further alleged that thereafter accused again called the victim telephonically and felt sorry for his conduct and again promised to her and made physical relations in a hotel near Ramesh Nagar Metro Station on 16.09.2025. Thereafter, accused again ignored the victim and again refused to marry her. It is relevant to victim has reiterated

Contd.....

her version of complaint in her statement u/s 183 BNSS. It is relevant to mention that victim has also supported her version at the time of her medical examination. As per the report of IO, the relevant investigation regarding the hotels and the places where the accused has stayed with the prosecutrix are yet to be verified. The investigation of the case is at crucial stage. Moreover, the case laws relied upon by Ld. defence counsel are not applicable to the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case.

Allegations against the accused are serious in nature. Moreover, there are apprehensions that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case or may commit the similar offence again. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 274/2025
STATE Vs. RAKESH KALRA & ORS.
FIR no. 99/2025
PS Kirti Nagar

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Tushar Lamba and Sh. Parth Dixit, Ld. Counsels for
the applicants
IO SI Rajnikant in person
Victim/complainant not present.

File perused. Heard.

These are three applications u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicants/accused persons namely Rakesh Kalra, Vinod Kalra @ Kaka and Jugal Kalra for grant of bail.

Reply of the application filed by the IO already supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Case file gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicants/accused persons have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicants/accused persons are having clean antecedents. It is further submitted that applicant and the complainant are close relatives. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. It is further submitted that now the complainants have no grievance with the accused persons. It is further submitted that the matter has been settled between the

Contd....

virtue of Deed of Memorandum of Understanding dated 26.09.2025. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the applicant/accused had beaten the complainant and his brother and they received multiple stitches and fracture due to the assault by the accused persons. Ld. Chief PP for the state has further submitted that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature and prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application.

The accused persons are chargesheeted for the commission of offence u/s 110/3(5) BNS. Present case is registered on the version of the complainant, that on 14.03.2025, he & his brother had a verbal altercation with the accused persons and the applicants/accused persons had thrown plants-pots on them, which hit them on their hands and heads. It is further alleged that the accused persons/applicants had brutally beaten the victims and the injuries received by one of them are serious. Perusal of record reveals that previous bail applications were dismissed by Sessions Court. Now new ground is raised on behalf of the applicant that the complainant and the victim has no grievance against the accused and they have settled their matter with accused persons vide deed of Memo of Understanding dated

Contd....

26.09.2025. Perusal of the said deed reveals that the same is draft only and same is even not signed by either by the complainant or the victim. Moreover, victims and complainant are also absent. Hence, no new ground is made out for grant of bail.

In the present case allegations against the accused persons are under section 110/3(5) BNS, which attracts severe punishment. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused persons namely Rakesh Kalra, Vinod Kalra @ Kaka and Jugal Kalra, at this stage. Hence, the present three applications are dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 64/2017
STATE Vs. AJEET @ RAJAN AND ANR
FIR no.406/2016
PS Ranjit Nagar

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Ajeet in person
Accused Sahil absent.
Ld. Counsel for both the accused persons.

File perused. Heard.

An application for exemption from personal appearance
moved on behalf of the accused

Heard. Considering the submissions, application is allowed
for today only.

Put up for the appearance of accused Sahil for 18.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 574/2022
STATE Vs. JITENDER @ BALENDER
FIR no. 462/2022
PS Punjabi Bagh

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Jitender in person with Ld. Proxy Counsel
Accused Saurav in person with Ld. Proxy Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for remaining arguments on point of charge
on behalf of accused Saurav.

Ld. Proxy Counsel submits that main counsel is not
available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for remaining arguments on point of
charge qua accused Saurav for PE for 17.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 99/2023
STATE Vs. RAHUL @ T.D. ETC
FIR no. 76/2018
PS NIHAL VIHAR

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Rahul @ TD produced from JC.
All other accused are present in person
Ld. Proxy Counsel for all the accused persons.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for arguments on charge.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the accused persons submits that
main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for purpose fixed for 19.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 258/2024

**STATE Vs. NONGMAITHEM JASHOBANTA SINGH AND
ORS.**

FIR no. 260/2023

PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused Nongmaithem Jashobanta
Singh
Accused Thiyam Rabikanta Singh in person with Ld.
Counsel
Accused Rudransh Gupta in person with Ld. Counsel Sh.
R.P. Sarwan along with Sh. Chirag Saini and Aastha
Gupta, Advocates.

File perused. Heard.

An application for exemption from personal appearance
moved on behalf of the accused Nongmaithem Jashobanta Singh.

Heard. Considering the submissions, application is allowed
for today only.

Case is fixed for arguments on point of charge on behalf of
accused Rudransh. Part arguments heard.

Put up for remaining arguments on point of charge on
11.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 289/2024
STATE Vs. KOSHAL KUMAR @ PUPPY AND ORS.
FIR no. 253/2020
PS Anand Parbat

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proceedings against the accused Kaushal Kumar have
already been abated.
All other accused persons are present on bail with Ld.
Counsel.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

No PW is present today.

Previous order be complied again for PE on 29.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

SC 418/2024
STATE Vs. ABOUBAKAR DIOUF @ HARRY
FIR no. 228/2024
PS NIHAL VIHAR

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

Process of summons issued to PW Deepak Kumar received
back with request. Same is considered for today.

Considering the same, let fresh summons be issued in
terms of previous order for PE for 19.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

Bail Matters 1692/2025
STATE Vs. MADAN MOHAN BABBAR
FIR no. 371/2025
PS Moti Nagar

13.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused for grant of bail.

At this stage, it is submitted by Ld. counsel that the present matter has already committed to Ld. Sessions Court and now same is pending for adjudication before the court of Sh. Nipun Awasthi, Ld. ASJ, West District, Tis Hazari Court.

Ld. Chief PP as well as Ld. Counsel for both the parties prays for sending the present bail application to the concerned court of Ld. ASJ for its proper adjudication.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the abovesaid submissions, let the present application be put up before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts on 14.10.2025 with a request to transfer the present bail application to the court, where the case file is pending for trial & adjudication.

Contd...

-2-

Parties are directed to appear before Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge, West District, Tis Hazari Courts on 14.10.2025 for appropriate directions/further proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/13.10.2025

Misc./ Appearance

1

Charge

2

3

4

5

Prosecution Evidence

6

7

8

9

10

Statement of Accused

11

Final Arguments

12

Order on Sentence

13

For Bail

14

15

16

17

19

**STATE Vs. 1. Manish S/o Ram Bharose
2. Suresh S/o Ram Bharose.**

FIR no. 537/2025

PS Rajouri Garden.

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
IO SI Manish in person
Both accused produced from PC after fresh arrest.
Ld. Counsel for the accused persons

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the IO SI Manish for seeking one day PC remand of the accused Manish and Suresh.

IO has further submitted that one day PC remand of the abovesaid accused persons is necessary to find out the source of the contraband in the present case as well as to trace other persons, who are involved in this crime.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the nature of offence, the accused Manish and Suresh are remanded to police custody for one day subject to their medical examination, as per rules, for fair investigation. Accused persons be produced on 10.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for needful compliance.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

**Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1686/2025
STATE Vs. RAJWANT SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

09.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajwant Singh for grant of anticipatory bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld.Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Part arguments heard.

**Put up for remaining arguments/clarifications, if any, on
13.10.2025.**

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

SC 110/2023
STATE Vs. GULZAAR
FIR no. 252/2022
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Anjum @ Chuniya absent, however her counsel is present. Accused Vishal @ Poda absent, however, his counsel is present.
Accused Gulzaar produced from JC.
Accused Tushar absent. His Surety Rakesh is also absent, however his counsel is present.
Ld. Counsel for the accused persons

File perused. Heard.

Ld. Counsel for surety Rakesh filed an application for exemption from personal appearance moved on behalf of the surety Rakesh on the ground that he is out of station. Ld. Counsel further submits that he wants to deposit further amount of Rs.10,000/- on behalf of the surety as a fine. Same is received vide receipt no.0974661. Considering submissions and averments made in the application, same is allowed for today only.

Two applications for exemption from personal appearance moved on behalf of the accused Anjum @ Chuniya and Vishal @ Poda by their respective counsels. Considering submissions and averments made in the applications, same are allowed for today only.

Contd....

-2-

At request, put up for appearance of surety Rakesh and for deposit of remaining fine/further proceedings on 18.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 476/2025
STATE Vs. MANJEET AND OTHERS
FIR no. 468/2025
PS Ranhola

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
IO SI Udit in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Madan Lal for grant of bail.

Reply has already been filed. Copy already supplied. Remaining arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Record gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused was arrested on 06.09.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submits that the applicant is the registered pharmacist. It is further submitted that the alleged shop, from where the recovery of contraband is shown was in the exclusive possession of co-accused Manjeet Singh. Ld. Counsel further contends that the said premises was obtained by the co-accused Manjeet on lease and he himself was running the medical shop. It is contended that the applicant/accused has no concern with the running of the shop of the co-accused and his medical licence had already expired. It is

Contd.....

further submitted that no contraband was recovered from the possession or at the instance of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the alleged contraband i.e. syrup was purchased on valid invoice from Dubey pharma vide GST Invoice dated 14.07.2025. It is further claimed that the said cough syrup is not restricted as the same is not covered under the provisions of NDPS Act. It is further submitted that the total weight of the recovered Alprazolam from the co-accused Manjeet Singh is stated to be 7.44 gms, which is just above the small quantity and falls under the Intermediate quantity. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case and he is no more required for further investigation in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant is having clean antecedents and he is not involved in any other criminal case. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has affirmed the abovesaid contention on behalf of the applicant that during the course of investigation all the relevant bills of alleged contraband of codeine were collected and upon their verification they were found genuine. However, Ld. Chief PP has strongly

Contd.....

opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the other allegations against the accused are of conspiracy with co-accused from whose possession recovery of intermediate quantity of contraband i.e. Alprazolam 7.44 grms was effected & prays for its dismissal.

Brief facts of the case are that on the basis of secret information received on 15.07.2025, a raid was conducted on shop no.1, Ground Floor, Plot no. 16 and 17 Ranhola Extension, Nangloi, Delhi and 21 sealed bottles (100 ml each) of Rexley-T Cough syrup containing Codeine Phosphate and 93 tablets of Alprazolam 0.5 mg weighing 7.44 gm were recovered. The co-accused Manjeet was found present in the abovesaid shop and was also apprehended in the present case. It is relevant to mention here that the accused/applicant was not found present in the abovesaid shop at the time of abovesaid raid of the police.

During investigation, it is revealed that the abovesaid medicines were supplied by Dubey Pharma. During further investigation, a raid was also conducted at Dubey Pharma and all relevant bills, matching with the batch number of alleged contraband i.e. cough syrups were obtained and upon verification same were found genuine. Meaning thereby, the recovered cough syrups were duly purchased by payments vide proper invoices/bills.

Contd.....

Admittedly, the licence of the accused/applicant was valid till January 2022. Admittedly, the accused/applicant joined the investigation upon issuance of notice u/s 67 NDPS Act and has duly cooperated in the investigation. Admittedly, neither any notice u/s 50 of NDPS was given to the applicant/accused at the time of his arrest nor any contraband was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. Admittedly, the photo of banner of Krishna Medicos of the shop which was being run from the abovesaid premises is having a mentioning of mobile number and the said number is of the co-accused Manjeet Singh. Admittedly, no communication is shown between the applicant/accused since January 2022 and neither any call detail record, nor any whatsapp/telegram nor any digital communication is shown nor any money trail is shown between the applicant/accused and the co-accused Manjeet Singh. Admittedly, the co-accused Manjeet Singh is already on bail.

Further, the amount of Alprazolam tablets recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out qua the applicant/accused in the present case. Applicant is in custody since 06.09.2025. Investigation of the case has already been completed and nothing more is to be recovered from the accused.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the

Contd.....

submissions & period of incarceration, applicant/ accused is admitted to bail on parity ground upon furnishing of bail bond in a sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/ witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 250/2025
STATE Vs. MUNIP MAHTO AND ANR
FIR no. 246/2024
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

09.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Baljeet for extension of interim bail for a period of further 3 days.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the applicant was granted interim bail for a period of one week vide order dated 27.09.2025 on the ground of death of his mother. LD. Counsel further submits that accused/applicant was released from JC on 04.10.2025 and is supposed to surrender himself on 10.10.2025. It is further submitted that the teharvi & Barsi ceremony of his mother has been fixed for 08.10.2025 and 09.10.2025 respectively and prays for extension of interim bail of accused fr further 3 days.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present application for extension of interim bail and prayed for the dismissal of the same.

Contd.....

Perusal of record reveals that applicant/accused was granted bail for one week for death of his mother on humanitarian grounds. Admittedly, the alleged rites and rituals of the deceased mother of the applicant/accused are fixed for 08.10.2025 and 09.10.2025. The accused is on interim bail till 10.10.2025. Considering the facts of the case it is apparent sufficient time has already been granted to the accused for the needful last rites and rituals. Hence, no ground is made out for the extension of the interim bail of the accused. Accordingly, application stands dismissed. Accused/applicant is directed to surrender himself within stipulated time after the expiry of the interim bail.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 418/2025
STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH
FIR no. 47/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld.Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO ASI Aditya Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vijay Singh for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

Ld. counsel for the applicant submits that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the accused/applicant was arrested on 25.02.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery is planted one and the present case is absolutely a false case and is created and concocted by the police. It is further submitted that Panchnama was not conducted before the Independent Gazetted Officer and there is non-compliance of section 50 of the NDPS Act. It is further submitted that the investigation started against the applicant/accused is illegal and improper as accused has not committed any offence. It is further submitted that applicant/accused never involved in any case and is having clean antecedents. It is further submitted that

Contd....

accused was only driver of the alleged vehicle and is employed on salary of Rs.15,000/- per month. It is further submitted that one of the co-accused namely Vijay @ Biju is already on bail and claims parity. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and the conclusion of trial shall take further considerable time in its conclusion. With these submissions prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P. P. for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that huge quantity of contraband has been recovered in the present case, which is a commercial quantity and therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act is applicable. Ld. Addl. P.P has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 24.02.2025, a secret information was received that one Vijay S/o Sampat Singh, R/o Rajasthan (accused/applicant) would come to Delhi in a white Toyota Innova bearing no. RJ-14 TF 0146 between 8 - 10 PM to deliver ganja in Rohini area. In pursuance thereof a trap was laid and applicant/accused was intercepted at 8:35 PM, near Raja Garden Flyover, Outer Ring Road, Delhi. After due compliance and upon serving notice u/s 50 NDPS Act, search was made and contraband of huge quantity of ganja i.e. 156.742 Kg was recovered from the abovesaid Innova Car. Admittedly, the alleged contraband in the present case is recovered from applicant/

Contd....

accused. The contention of parity of bail raised on behalf of the applicant/accused is not tenable as no contraband was recovered from the possession of said co-accused or at his instance.

Further, the menace of selling of contraband has become rampant and the young generation is being seriously indulged in consumption of the contraband and in this regard, Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled as “*Union of India Vs. Ram Samujh & Ors.*” 1999 (9) SCC 429, has elaborated that offences under NDPS Act are even more serious and grave than Murder cases. The Apex Court observed, “It is to be borne in mind that the aforesaid legislative mandate is required to be adhered to and followed. It should be borne in mind that in a murder case, the accused commits murder of one or two persons, while those persons who are dealing in narcotic drugs are instrumental in causing death or in inflicting death blow to a number of innocent young victims, who are vulnerable; it causes deleterious effects and a deadly impact on the society; they are a hazard to the society; even if they are released temporarily, in all probability, they would continue their nefarious activities of trafficking and/or dealing in intoxicants clandestinely. Reason may be large stake and illegal profit involved. This line of reasoning has been reiterated in the pronouncement in “*State of Kerala Vs. Rajesh*” in Criminal Appeal No.(S). 154157 of 2020 (arising out of SLP (Crl.) No(s).7309-7312 of 2019)

Contd.....

decided on 24.01.2020 by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

It is trite principle of law that while granting bail the court has to keep in mind (a) The nature and gravity of the accusation and the exact role of the accused must be properly comprehended before arrest is made; (b) the antecedents of the applicant including the fact as to whether the accused has previously undergone imprisonment on conviction by a court in respect of cognizable offence; (c) the possibility of the applicant to flee from justice; (d) the possibility of the accused's likelihood to repeat similar or other offences; (e) Where the accusations have been made only with the object of injuring or humiliating the applicant by arresting him or her; (f) Impact of grant of bail particularly in cases of large magnitude affecting a very large number of people; (g) The court should consider reasonable apprehension of tampering of the witnesses or apprehension of threat to the complainant and any other aspects of the case should be taken into consideration while granting bail.

Therefore, considering the facts & circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the nature & gravity of the allegation against the applicant/accused, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the applicant/accused at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

Contd.....

-5-

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 388/2021
STATE Vs. SANKAR AND ANR.
FIR no. 421/2021
PS Rajouri Garden

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Sankar in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

NBWs issued against the accused Sankar received back unexecuted. Surety of accused Sankar is absent despite service of notice.

At this stage, an application filed on behalf of the accused Sankar for cancellation of NBWs issued against him. Considering the facts mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submissions, NBWs of the accused/applicant are cancelled, subject to fine of Rs.500/-. Fine paid. He is warned to be careful in future.

Earlier the matter was fixed for PE. Issue summons to the PW ASI Sanjay Kumar for PE along with MHC(M) to produce the case property, if any, through concerned SHO for 21.01.2026

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Concerned SHO be intimated accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1707/2025
STATE Vs. SANJEEV RANJAN
FIR no. 493/2025
PS Ranhola

09.10.2025

This is an application 187(2) of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sanjeev Ranjan for grant of statutory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Pranay Abhishek Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused though VC.
IO SI Amit Sharma in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that despite lapse of statutory time for filing of the chargesheet same was not filed by the IO till the filing of the present application.

On the other hand, IO submits that he has already filed the chargesheet yesterday evening. On the contrary Ld. Counsel claims that the present application was filed in the afternoon at around 1 PM and same is filed prior to the filing of the present chargesheet.

Considering the submissions and keeping in view the facts of the case, let the report be called from the Incharge Bail Section

Contd.....

-2-

regarding the exact time of filing of the present statutory bail application.

At request, put up for arguments/further consideration on the present application on 13.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC no. 46/2023
STATE Vs. Vimlesh
FIR no. 0621/2022
PS Tilak Nagar.

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.
IO SI Rajender Dhaka in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application for imposing the condition of the bail of the applicant/accused Vimlesh.

Appearance of IO is effected upon issuance of bailable warrants. Considering his appearance, B/Ws are cancelled.

Reply filed by the IO. Let copy be supplied.

Bail order passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi gone through. Arguments heard.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is granted regular bail in the present case by Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 25.09.2025 and prays for imposition of reasonable conditions for bail in parity with co-accused persons, who are already on bail.

Perusal of the order passed by Hon'ble High Court reveals that applicant/accused was granted bail to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Judge concerned. Hon'ble High Court has pleased to direct the Trial Court/Duty Judge to impose bail conditions.

Contd....

Record reveals that the other two accused persons are also on bail in the present case. Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions on behalf of both the parties as well as the aspect of parity, the applicant/accused is also admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety of the like amount with following conditions:

- i) That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
- ii) That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
- iii) That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
- iv) That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
- v) That accused shall give his mobile number to the IO and will keep it operational.
- vi) That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court and the accused shall deposit his passport, if any, with the court.

With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 298/2017
STATE Vs. MD. AZAD etc
FIR no. 18/2017
PS Moti Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Prem Kumar is already PO.
Accused Mohd. Shamshad and Guddu @ Akbar with Ld.
Counsels Sh. Sajid Ahmed and Sh. Mohd. Faizan
Accused Azad with Ld. Counsel Sh. Manoj Kumar Duggal
Mohd. Shahbuddin @ Sonu in person
IO Inspector Shyoram Singh also present.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

PW HC Sandeep Kumar and HC Yogesh are present. HC Sandeep is examined as PW30 and HC Yogesh is examined as PW30. They are cross examined and discharged.

Issue fresh summons to the PW mentioned at S. No. 17 and 25 through IO for PE for 18.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

**SC 469/2025
STATE Vs. ABHISHEK KUMAR
FIR no.355/2025
PS Moti Nagar**

09.10.2025

File taken up on an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Abhishek Kumar for grant of bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

At request, put up for consideration on the present application for 10.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

SC 590/2022
STATE Vs. JAMES AND ANR
FIR no. 83/2022
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused produced from JC.
Sh. Anirudh Singh Rajavat, Ld. Counsel for the accused
Emmanuel.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. No PW is present today.

Accused James submits that his counsel is not available
today.

Previous order be complied again for PE for 23.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

At 1PM

At this stage, PW HC Ravinder appeared and he is
apprised off the proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 469/2025
STATE Vs. ABHISHEK KUMAR
FIR no.355/2025
PS Moti Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Record reveals that FSL result of the contraband not filed
on record.

Issue fresh notice to the concerned SHO/IO for ensuring
the filing of FSL report on or before NDOH.

Put up for filing of FSL report/consideration on point of
charge for 25.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

Cr. REV/354/2025
M/S DPL INFRASTRUCTURES PVT. LTD. & ORS
VS. PAWAN CHAWLA

09.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Counsel for the revisionist.

File perused. Heard.

List of documents filed. Arguments heard.

Considering the facts of the case, let notice of the present revision be issued to the respondent on filing of PF/RC for 29.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 274/2025
STATE Vs. RAKESH KALRA & ORS.
FIR no. 99/2025
PS Kirti Nagar

09.10.2025

These are three bail applications filed on behalf of the applicants/accused namely Rakesh Kalra, Vinod Kalra and Jugal Kalra.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicants.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

IO is not present today.

Issue notice to the concerned IO to appear in person for consideration on the present bail applications for 13.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 154/2022
STATE Vs. LADLA @ FARHAN AND ETC.
FIR no.999/2021
PS Rajouri Garden

09.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Raja Babu for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Let copy be supplied.

At request, put up for arguments on the present application for 18.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

**SC 531/2018
STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI
FIR no. 100/2018
PS Hari Nagar**

09.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for grant of bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.**

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for filing reply for consideration on the present application for 14.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

SC 519/2023
STATE Vs. ARUN ETC.
FIR no. 162/2023
PS Moti Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused on bail

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW Islam Ali is present today. IO not present.

Accused submits that their counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, PW Islam Ali is discharged unexamined.

Previous order be complied again for 14.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 760/2022
STATE Vs. AASHISH @ JEETU
FIR no. 558/2022
PS Rajouri Garden

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. LAC Sh. Abhijeet Bhagat.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. No PW is present today.

Previous order be complied again for 25.02.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

At 12:45 PM

At this stage, PW HC Manjeet appeared and he is apprised off the proceedings.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

**SC 206/2018
STATE Vs. VINAY SHARMA
FIR no.33/2018
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
All accused are present in person
Sh. Anoop Kumar Gupta, Ld.Counsel for the accused
Tinimo.
Ld.Counsel for the accused Vinay and Piyush
Ld.Counsel for the accused Parveen.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. No PW is present today.

Previous order be complied again for 23.01.2026.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

At 12:40 PM

At this stage, PW HC Anil appeared and he is apprised off the proceedings.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

SC 571/2024
STATE Vs. AKASH ETC
FIR no. 860/2022
PS Khyala

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Akash produced from JC, but on bail in this
case.
All other accused persons are on bail

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for arguments on point of charge.

Accused persons submits that their main counsel is not
available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for purpose fixed for 15.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

**SC 315/2025
STATE Vs. RAVI
FIR no.178/2025
PS Mundka**

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC.
Ld. Counsel for the accused.

File perused. Heard.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of the accused. Same is
taken on record.

Time is sought by Ld. Counsel for accused for going
through the chargesheet and for tendering arguments on charge.

At request, put up for arguments on charge on 04.12.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

**SC 271/2025
STATE Vs. ERIC
FIR no.150/2025
PS Ranjit Nagar**

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Perusal of record reveals that FSL result of the contraband
not received till date.

Issue fresh notice to the concerned SHO/IO for ensuring
the filing of FSL report on or before NDOH.

Put up for filing of FSL report/arguments on point of
charge for 17.12.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

SC 408/2019
STATE Vs. VIKRANT NEHRA @ JIVA
FIR no. 272/2018
PS Ranjit Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Ravi Kumar Vaidh absent
Accused Parvinder produced from JC through VC.
All other accused are present in person

File perused. Heard.

Notice issued on the last date of hearing to the Ld. Counsel for the accused Ravi Kumar duly served.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the accused Ravi Kumar appeared through VC & submits that he has no whereabouts of the accused.

Record reveals the previous NBWs issued against the accused Ravi Kumar Vaidh remained unexecuted. Considering the conduct of accused Ravi Kumar, issue proceedings u/s 84 BNSS against him through concerned SHO for 22.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

SC 57229/2016
STATE Vs. KALIM ETC.
FIR no. 399/2013
PS Vikas Puri

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proceedings against the accused Kalim has already been
abated.

All other accused persons are present in person except
accused Ajay.

Ld. Proxy counsel for the all accused persons

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for final arguments.

An application for exemption from personal appearance
moved on behalf of the accused Ajay on medical ground.

Heard. Allowed for today only subject to the filing of
medical documents on NDOH.

Put up for purpose fixed for 14.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

Bail Matters 1726/2025
STATE Vs. RAKESH KUMAR
FIR no. 372/2025
PS Moti Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Robin Khatana in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel as well as IO that chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the submissions, let TCR be called from concerned court for further consideration on the present application for 17.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

**Bail Matters 1725/2025
STATE Vs. ABDUL WAHAB
FIR no. 588/2024
PS Moti Nagar**

09.10.2025

This is application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Abdul Wahab for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO ASI Deepak Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel as well as IO that chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the submissions, let TCR be called from concerned court for further consideration on the present application for 15.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025**

Bail Matters 1606/2025
STATE Vs. CHANDAN ALIAS ROHIT
FIR No.424/2025
PS Moti Nagar

09.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Mohan Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused
through VC
Ms. Mansi Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused
in person
IO is not present.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Chandan for grant of bail.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that applicant has
already joined the investigation of the present case.

Status report not filed by the IO.

Issue B/Ws in sum of Rs.2000/- against the IO and notice
to the concerned SHO for appearance in person along with case
file and explain the conduct of the IO for 16.10.25. Till then
interim order to continue.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/09.10.2025

Final Arguments

1

Misc./ Appearance

2

3

4

5

6

Charge

7

8

Prosecution Evidence

9

10

11

12

13

For Bail

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SC 238/2024
STATE Vs. SARWAN KUMAR
438/2023
PS Kirti Nagar

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ms. Poonam, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused
through VC.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the applicant/
accused Sarwan Kumar for grant of interim bail.

Reply filed by the IO. Let the copy be supplied.

Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

Ld. Counsel submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has no role in the commission of alleged offence and he is JC since last two years. It is further submitted that the Chacha of the accused/applicant has been expired on 29.09.2025. It is further submitted that the mundan ceremony is to be performed on 08.10.2025 and Bhoj ceremony is to be performed 10.10.2025, at the native place of the applicant/accused at Jamalpur Kurthi Thana Lati Post, Arwal Distt., Bihar-804421 and the presence of the applicant/accused is required to perform the abovesaid rituals. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly

Contd....

opposed the present bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal on the ground that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious & heinous in nature and the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out in case applicant/accused is admitted on interim bail.

As per the reply of the IO, the factum of the death of the Chacha of the applicant is affirmed. However, they were stated to have gone to their native place. In the present case, the accused is facing trial for the commission of offence u/s 307 IPC. The allegations against the accused are that he inflicted stab injury upon the complainant/victim on his chest by the use of knife and same is grievous one. It is relevant to mention here that in his testimony, the victim has reiterated and affirmed the accusation against the applicant. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature and as per report of IO, there is apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on interim bail, he may abscond. Hence, considering the facts of the case, the present application is dismissed.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

**SC 18/2022
STATE Vs. MONA DEVI
FIR no.724/2021
PS Mundka**

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for final arguments.

Rebuttal arguments heard.

Put up for remaining arguments/clarifications, if any, for
16.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025**

SC 57524/2016
STATE Vs. JANKI DEVI ETC.
FIR no. 1267/2015
PS Rajouri Garden

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Proceedings against the accused Janki has already been
abated.
Accused Amar Singh and Dinesh @ Disha are present with
Ld.Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. Process of summons issued to the
concerned DCP received back with request. Same is considered.

The concerned Doctor from DDU Hospital is absent
despite service. It is already 2:30 PM.

Issue fresh summons in terms of previous orders for
13.01.2026.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

2:42 PM

At this stage, Dr. Ajay Sharma, CMO, Indira Gandhi
Hospital, Dwarka has appeared. He is apprised of the
proceedings and NDOH. He be summoned accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC no. 274/2025
STATE Vs. Rakesh Kalra & Ors.
FIR no. 99/2025
PS Kirti Nagar

08.10.2025

This is an application filed on behalf of the accused persons for issuance of necessary directions to the concerned Jail Superintendent, Central Jail no. 4, Tihar, Delhi.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel that the during the pendency of the present case, complainant and the accused persons have arrived on an amicable settlement and a memorandum of understanding dated 26.09.2025 has been prepared, whereby they have agreed to cooperate for quashing of the present FIR and for bail. With these submissions, Ld. Counsel prays for directions to the concerned Jail Authority to attest the abovesaid MOU dated 26.09.2025.

On the other hand Ld. Chief PP has strongly opposed the abovesaid application on the ground of its maintainability.

Perusal of entire application reveals that no relevant provision has been mentioned vide which the present relief has been sought. Moreso, the concerned Jail Superintendent cannot be directed to be a witness of any agreement or MOU between

Contd....

-2-

the parties of the litigation. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

STATE Vs. Shankar
FIR no. 534/2025
PS Rajouri Garden

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
IO SI Rohit in person with case file

File perused. Heard.

This is an application filed on behalf of the IO SI Rohit for seeking one day PC remand of the accused Shankar.

IO has submitted that in the present case contraband of 1132 grams of ganja was recovered from the possession of the accused Shankar. IO has further submitted that one day PC remand of the accused is necessary to find out the accused Sunny from whom, accused Shankar purchased the contraband of ganja.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the nature of offence, the accused is remanded to police custody for one day subject to his medical examination, as per rules, for fair investigation. Accused be produced on 09.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for needful compliance.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

**Bail Matters 1695/2025
STATE Vs. SUMIT
FIR no. 482/2025
PS Rajouri Garden**

08.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Sumit @ Perry Anna for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. C.B. Garg, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Jay Prakash in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks some time for arguments on the present application.

At request, put up for arguments on the present application for 15.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025**

SC 364/2025
STATE Vs. OM PRAKASH
FIR no. 373/2025
PS Ranhola

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is 2nd bail application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Om Prakash for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Record gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused was arrested on 31.05.2025 and since then he is in JC. It is further submitted that the first bail application was dismissed on 08.08.2025. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 of the NDPS Act. It is further submitted that all the witnesses in the present case are police officials, hence, there is no chance of tempering of prosecution witnesses. It is further submitted that the co-accused Sidhant has already been granted anticipatory bail. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

Contd....

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature.

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case on 31.05.25 at about 08:30 PM, applicant/accused was apprehended and 3660 Grams of ganja was recovered from his possession. The amount of contraband recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out in the present case. Accused is in JC since 31.05.2025. The investigation of case has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. FSL result is still awaited. The conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions & period of incarceration, applicant/ accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.

Contd....

3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 459/2021
STATE Vs. PRADEEP KOHLI
FIR no. 85/2021
PS Paschim Vihar West

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused produced from JC.
Ld. Counsel for accused.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE. PW6 SI Amit Maan is present and further partly examined in chief. Remaining examination in chief is deferred for want of case property and device for playing CCTV footage.

Issue fresh summons to the PW6 for his remaining examination in chief for 10.12.2025. Also issue notice to the MHC(M) to produce the case property and to the IO to produce the device for playing CCTV footage for NDOH.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 443/2025
STATE Vs. NAZIM ALIAS NAJIM AND ANR.
FIR no. 436/2025
PS Punjabi Bagh

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Nazim and Nazir are produced from JC.

File perused. Heard.

Status report filed on behalf of the IO, whereby it is informed that further investigation qua suspect Rajender Kumar is under progress.

Record further reveals that the FSL result of the contraband has not been received in the present case.

Considering the facts of the case, issue notice to the concerned SHO/IO for ensuring the filing of the FSL Result as well as to conclude the further investigation against the other suspects on or before NDOH i.e. 12.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 262/2025
STATE Vs. VINOD RAY AND OTHER
FIR no. 263/2025
PS Ranhola

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Samarjeet @ Vishal on bail with Ld. Counsel
Accused Vinod Ray produced from JC.
Ld. Counsel for the accused Vinod Ray.

File perused. Heard.

Fresh vakalatnama is filed on behalf of accused Vinod Ray.

Record reveals that supplementary chargesheet was filed after the last date of hearing. Copy supplied to both accused persons.

Ld. Chief PP submits that FSL result of the contraband is still not received till date. Considering the submissions and keeping in view the facts to the case, let notice be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for ensuring the filing of FSL result on or before 03.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC no. 78/2023
STATE Vs. Ankesh Lakra & Anr.
FIR no. 608/2022
PS Mundka

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
HC Sunil Kumar in person on behalf of PS Mundka

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Amit Yadav @ Rahul @ Vicky for grant of custody bail. Reply filed on behalf of the PS Mundka.

Arguments heard. Case file gone through.

Present application is filed for custody bail on the ground of death of the father of the applicant/accused and for attending cremation rites and rituals.

As per the reply of the IO, the cremation rites and rituals of the deceased father of the applicant has already been performed on 06.10.2025. Hence, the purpose of the present application is now futile. Accordingly, application stands dismissed as infructuous.

Copy of the order be given dasti.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 116/2023
STATE Vs. EMMANUEL
FIR no. 263/2022
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Emmanuel produced from JC
Accused Surrender in person with Ld. Counsel Sh. A.N.
Singh.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

PW Ct. Anil is present. Considering his appearance his
B/Ws are cancelled.

Accused Emmanuel submits that his main Counsel is not
available today. Considering the submissions, PW Ct. Anil is
discharged unexamined.

Issue fresh summons to the PW Ct. Anil for PE for
22.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 301/2023
STATE Vs. SUMIT KUMAR SINGH
FIR no. 499/2023
PS NIHAL VIHAR

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

PW HC Praveen is absent despite service of summons.

Issue B/Ws in sum of Rs.2,000/- for PE for 25.02.2026.

Also issue notice to the MHC(M) to produce the case property, if any, for NDOH.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 288/2022
STATE Vs. MANKHUSH
FIR no. 354/2022
PS Tilak Nagar

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused is already PO.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE u/s 299 Cr.P.C.

PW Sanjay has sent request.

Considering the same, let fresh summons be issued to the
PW Sanjay for PE for 21.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 695/2017
STATE Vs. POOJA & ORS.
FIR no.122/2017
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused Pooja is absent.
Accused Vijay Verma in person
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the accused Pooja

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

An application for exemption from personal appearance moved on behalf of the accused Pooja.

Heard.

Considering the submissions, exemption of the accused Pooja is allowed for today only.

Accused Vijay submits that his counsel is not available today and prays for adjournment. At request, PW ASI Yogender and SI Jai Prakash are discharged unexamined.

Issue fresh summons to the PW ASI Yogender and SI Jai Prakash for PE in terms of previous order for 23.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 142/2025
STATE Vs. AASIF AND Etc.
FIR no. 651/2020
PS Ranhola

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
All accused in person
Sh. P. K. Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the accused no.1 & 2
Ld. Proxy counsel for the accused no. 3 & 4

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for arguments on point of charge.

Part arguments on point of charge heard.

At request, put up for remaining arguments on point of charge for 06.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

Cr Rev / 311/2025
MOOL CHAND Vs. KRISHAN PAL

08.10.2025

Pr: Ld. Counsel for the revisionist.

File perused. Heard.

Considering the submissions and averments made in the petition, issue notice of the present revision petition to the respondent on filing of PF/RC for 26.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

SC 658/2023
STATE Vs. MANJEET SINGH
FIR no. 827/2023
PS Rajouri Garden

08.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused not produced from JC.

File perused. Heard.

On the last date of hearing production warrants were issued against the accused. Report received from the concerned Jail Superintendent that the accused Manjeet has already been released from jail on 29.04.2025 and thereafter, he has not re-admitted in the jail.

Despite repeated calls none has appeared on behalf of the accused. It is already 2:30 PM. Considering the facts of the case, issue NBWs against the accused and notice to his surety for 06.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

**Bail Matters 1703/2025
STATE Vs. MANISH
FIR no. 249/2025
PS Anand Parbat**

08.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Manish for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused
IO SI Dilip Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

It is submitted by IO that chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the submissions, let TCR be called for 15.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025**

**Bail Matters 1694/2025
STATE Vs. VIVEK KUMAR
FIR no. 271/2025
PS Paschim Vihar East**

08.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vivek Kumar for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Neeraj Kumar Shah, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused.
IO SI Krishan in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Ld.Counsel prays for adjournment for going through the reply and for arguments on the present bail application.

At request, put up for arguments on the present application on 16.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025**

Bail Matters 1702/2025
STATE Vs. REHAN KHAN
FIR no. 537/2025
PS Anand Parbat

08.10.2025

This is an application u/s 482 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rehan Khan @ Rehan for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
None for the applicant/accused.
IO ASI Yad Ram in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by the IO that the applicant/accused has already been arrested in the present case on 06.10.2025. Hence, the present application is infructuous. Present application is disposed off as infructuous.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/08.10.2025

Urgent Cases)

Final Argument

1

Misc./ Appearance

2

3

4

Charge

5

6

Prosecution Evidence

7

8

9

10

11

12

For Bail

13

14

15

16

17

18

**SC 610/2024
STATE Vs. DUPPA
FIR no. 626/2024
PS Ranhola**

06.10.2025

This is an application filed on behalf of accused Duppa for giving intimation to concerned Jail Superintendent regarding furnishing and acceptance of bail bond by accused on 06.10.2025.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

In the present case applicant/accused was released conditionally for seven days on furnishing of personal bond vide order dated 26.09.2025 and was directed to file surety bond. Now the accused/applicant has filed the surety bond and same is accepted, upon its verification. Meaning thereby, now there is no need of surrender of the applicant/accused.

Considering the same, let a intimation be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent that now the accused/applicant is on regular bail in the present case.

Copy of the order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

SC no.
STATE Vs. Balkesh Nangia & Ors.
FIR no. 59/2025
PS Crime Branch

06.10.2025

Fresh Chargesheet filed. It be checked and registered.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
IO in person
Accused Harish produced from JC.
Other accused are stated to be on bail.
Sh. Pranay Abhishek, Ld. Counsel for all the accused
persons

File perused. Heard.

The materials available on record are prima facie sufficient to take cognizance for offences alleged in the chargesheet against the accused persons. Accordingly, I take cognizance for the offences mentioned in the present case against accused persons.

Copies of the chargesheet and annexed document supplied to the accused Harish against due acknowledgment.

Issue summons to the other accused persons namely Balkesh Nangia and Manav along with notice to their sureties for 15.11.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

SC 538/2022
STATE Vs. MUKESH KUMAR SINGH
FIR no. 251/2022
PS Rajouri Garden

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused absent.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for PE.

PW ASI Kuldeep and HC Ram Manohar are present.
Accused is absent despite several calls since morning. Hence,
both the witnesses are discharged unexamined.

Issue NBWs against the accused and notice to his surety
for 17.12.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

Crl. Revision no.

Kotha Naga Venkata Syam Prasad Vs. M/s Agrico Organics Ltd.

06.10.2025

Fresh Crl. Revision received. It be checked and registered.

Pr: Sh. Jivesh Tiwari & Ms. Samiksha, Ld. Counsels for the revisionist.

File perused. Heard.

Considering the submissions and averments made in the petition, issue notice of the present revision petition to the respondent on filing of PF/RC for 06.11.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

**SC 688/2018
STATE Vs. VIJAY
FIR no.313/2016
PS Ranjit Nagar**

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Convict in person with Ld. Proxy Counsel.

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for arguments on point of sentence.

Ld.Proxy Counsel for the convict submits that main
counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

At request, put up for arguments on point of sentence on
27.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

SC no. 78/2023
STATE Vs. Ankesh Lakra & Anr.
FIR no. 608/2022
PS Mundka

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Amit Yadav @ Rahul @ Vicky for grant of custody bail.

**Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused through VC.**

File perused. Heard.

Considering the averments of the present application, let notice be issued to the concerned IO/SHO for filing reply upon verification of the alleged expiry of father of the applicant and for further consideration on the present application for 08.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1685/2025
STATE Vs. RAJESH @ BUNTY
FIR no. 406/2025
PS Tilak Nagar

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajesh @ Bunty for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO SI Sunil Mor in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied. Arguments heard. Clarifications sought. Record gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that applicant/accused has no hand in the commission of alleged offence and is in JC since 25.09.2025. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the accused/applicant and the alleged recovery, if any, is planted one. It is further submitted that the alleged recovery in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, there is no bar u/s 37 NDPS Act. It is further submitted that in the present case all the witnesses are police officials, hence, there is no question of threatening of witnesses by the accused, if released on bail. It is further submitted that the investigation is

Contd....

almost completed and chargesheet is likely to be filed shortly in the present case and conclusion of trial will take more considerable time to conclude. It is further submitted that the applicant is suffering from severe heart problem and emphasised upon medical documents of the accused annexed with the application. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail. Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ accused has relied upon the case laws passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi titled as Gajender Bahadur Vs. The State Govt. of NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no.3655/2022 dated 31.01.2023, Dhruv Chopra Vs. State NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no. 361/2024 dated 05.04.2023, Mike Vs. The State (Govt. of NCT of Delhi) dated 03.04.2024, Md. Irshad Vs. State NCT of Delhi in Bail Appln. no. 994/2022 dated 05.05.2022 and case law passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Petition for Special leave to Appeal (Crl.) no. 8900/2022 case titled as Jitendra Jain Vs. NCB & Anr. & case titled as Naeem Ahmed @ Naim Ahmad Vs. Govt. of NCT of Delhi in Criminal Appeal no. 1247/2024.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the applicant on the ground that the allegations against the accused are serious in nature. IO has not disputed the medical documents of the applicant/accused as the same contention has been raised at the time of every remand of the accused.

Contd....

Brief facts of the case are that in the present case on 24.09.2025 at about 4:00 PM, applicant/accused was apprehended on the basis of suspicion and contraband of 35 Grams of Smack was recovered from his possession. The amount of contraband recovered in the present case is of intermediate quantity, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is not made out in the present case. Applicant is in custody since 25.09.2025. Investigation of the case is almost completed. From the medical documents of the applicant/accused it is revealed that he got cardiac arrest (Cardiac failure) and was revived with defibrillator and ventilator and post revival he was on pacemaker. Further, the applicant/accused remained in ICU for 2 weeks and was advised to get a Automatic Implantable Cardioverter – Defibrillator. Further, the applicant/accused is already on bail in another criminal cases. Admittedly, the applicant/accused is not a previous convict.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the submissions, applicant/ accused is admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond in a sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the following conditions :

1. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
2. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.

Contd.....

3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.
4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
6. That the applicant shall not leave the country without prior permission of the court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

**SC 398/2021
STATE Vs. RAM KALYAN & ORS.
FIR no. 62/2021
PS Anand Parbat**

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Vishal @ Avishkar for grant of interim bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
Inspector Adesh Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that marriage of the real sister of the applicant/accused is fixed for 17.10.2025 and the marriage ceremonies are going to be performed from 15.10.2025. It is further submitted that being real brother, presence of the the applicant/accused is very essential to perform social rituals in the said marriage. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of interim bail for 45 days.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused and prays for the dismissal of the same.

In the present case, the allegations against the applicant

Contd....

accused are for the offences u/s 302/506/323/34. The allegations against the accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature, which attracts severe punishment. Moreover, the possibility of tempering of evidence and influencing of witness and fleeing away from the justice cannot be ruled out, in case applicant/accused is admitted on interim bail. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused is dismissed.

Nothing discussed here-in-above shall tantamount to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

Application is disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

**SC 58148/2016
STATE Vs. VICKY @ VIKRAM
FIR no. 34/2014
PS Anand Parbat**

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Both accused on bail with Ld. Counsel

File perused. Heard.

Case is fixed for DE.

Ld. Counsel for the accused persons submits that he do not
want to lead DE.

Considering the submissions, DE stands closed.

Part final arguments heard.

Put up for remaining arguments on 13.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

**SC no. 166/2020
STATE Vs. Suraj @ Bonna etc.
FIR no. 79/2019
PS Anand Parbat**

06.10.2025

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rahul Gupta for seeking permission for the renewal of passport

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Considering the facts of the case and keeping in view the averments made in the application, this court has no objection for the consideration on the application of the applicant for the renewal of passport by the concerned passport office, as per rules.

Application stands disposed off accordingly. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

SC 282/2020
STATE Vs. PRADEEP KUMAR
FIR no.175/2020
PS Hari Nagar

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused in person with Ld. Counsel Sh. Deepak Ghai.

File perused. Heard.

Further arguments heard. Written submissions filed by Ld.
Counsel. Same are taken on record.

Put up for remaining arguments/clarifications on
10.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

**SC no. 46/2023
STATE Vs. Vimlesh
FIR no. 0621/2022
PS Tilak Nagar**

06.10.2025

This is an application for imposing the condition of the bail of the applicant/accused Vimlesh.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant.

File perused. Heard.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant submits that main counsel is not available today and seeks adjournment.

Let notice of the application be issued to the concerned SHO/IO for filing of reply & for consideration on the present application on 08.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

Challan not filed
Bail Matters 1684/2025
STATE Vs. GOVIND SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Govind Singh for grant of anticipatory bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person with case file.

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is innocent and has nothing to do with the alleged offence. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that mere ownership of a vehicle cannot make the registered owner liable for the act. It is further submitted that the applicant is having apprehension of his arrest in present case. It is further submitted that applicant is ready and willing to join the investigation of the present case in future. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has submitted that as per the reply of the IO, in the present case the vehicle in which contraband was recovered is in the name of applicant/accused. It is further submitted that notice has already been issued to the applicant/accused, however, he has not joined the

Contd....

investigation of the case, till date.

Brief facts of the case are that the present case co-accused persons Pratap Singh and Mehraj Khan were apprehended along with a Swift Dzire car and from the car contraband of ganja was recovered. During investigation, on the disclosure of the co-accused persons, another co-accused Anil was apprehended. And his instance 2.029 Kg of Ganja was recovered from diggi of one car i.e. KIA Sonnet Car no. DLBC BA 5387. The said KIA Sonnet Car is in the name of applicant Govind Singh. Thereafter, notice was issued to the applicant to join the investigation, who has now shown his willingness to join the investigation of the present case. No recovery of contraband is stated to be recovered from the applicant.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case as well as keeping in view the submissions, applicant is directed to join the investigation of the present case as per the requirement of the IO. In the meanwhile, interim protection from arrest is given to the applicant/accused till NDOH.

IO is directed to file a detailed reply after further investigation upon joining of the investigation by the applicant.

Put up further consideration of the pending bail application on 14.10.2025. Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

Bail Matters 1660/2025
STATE Vs. SHIVAM
FIR no. 258/2025
PS Anand Parbat

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Chitra Vidyarthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/
accused.

File perused. Heard.

This is 5th application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Shivam for grant of bail.

TCR received. Same is gone through. Arguments heard.
Case file gone through.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that applicant/accused is
innocent and has been wrong & falsely implicated in the present
case. It is further submitted that the applicant has nothing to do
with the alleged offence and the allegations against the applicant
in the present case are vague. It is contended that in the present
case accused persons were apprehended on the basis of secret
information, however, there is no CCTV footage was found. It is
further submitted that the no weapon of offence has been
recovered in the present case. It is further submitted that the
applicant/accused was arrested on 17.05.2025 and since then he
is in JC. It is further submitted that no specific role has been
attributed to the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that the
applicant is a bright student and had secured good marks in 12th

Contd....

standard examination. It is further submitted that the applicant is a patient of Tuberculosis and has been undergoing treatment for last two years. It is further submitted that the applicant has never been involved in any other criminal case. It is further submitted that the investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has already been filed. With these submissions, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Addl. PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused and prays for its dismissal. Ld. Addl. PP further submits that the allegations against the applicant/accused are serious & heinous in nature.

The present case was registered on the complaint of complainant/victim that on 16.05.2025 in the morning co-accused Satyam abused him on phone and asked him to meet in the evening. It is further alleged that on the same day, in the evening at around 9:30 PM, complainant was standing in the street and in the meanwhile co-accused Satyam came there carrying kulhadi in his hand along with applicant/accused. Thereafter, applicant/accused caught hold the complainant and co-accused Satyam attacked on the complainant on his right hand with kulhadi. Thereafter, they ran away from the spot along with weapon of offence.

In the present case chargesheet has been filed for the offence u/s 118(1)/3(5) of BNS. The allegations against the

Contd....

accused/applicant are serious & heinous in nature and there are apprehension that in case applicant/accused is enlarged on bail, there are every chances of his fleeing from course of justice, tamper evidence or may coerce/influence witnesses of the case. Hence, considering the facts of the case and seriousness of the allegations against the applicant/accused, no ground is made out for grant of bail to the accused, at this stage. Hence, the present application is dismissed being devoid of merit.

Nothing discussed herein-above shall have any bearing upon the merits of the case.

TCR be sent back to the concerned court, as per rules.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

Bail Matters 1692/2025
STATE Vs. MADAN MOHAN BABBAR
FIR no. 371/2025
PS Moti Nagar

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Madan Mohan Babbar for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO in person

File perused. Heard.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

As per the reply of the IO, chargesheet has already been filed in the present case.

Considering the same, let TCR be called for 13.10.2025.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

**Challan not filed.
Bail Matters 1686/2025
STATE Vs. RAJWANT SINGH
FIR no. 225/2025
PS Crime Branch-West Delhi**

06.10.2025

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Rajwant Singh for grant of bail.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aman Akhtar and Sh. Faraz Khan, Ld. Counsels for the applicant/accused.

File perused. Heard.

Reply not filed by the IO.

Considering the same, let notice be issued to the concerned IO/SHO for filing of reply for consideration on the present application for 09.10.2025.

**(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025**

SC 129/2025
STATE Vs. NWABUF
FIR no. 558/2024
PS Tilak Nagar

06.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Sh. Aniruddha Singh Rajavat, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused through VC.
IO ASI Raj Kumar in person

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of
the applicant/accused Nwabuf for grant of bail.

Reply filed on behalf of the IO. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Clarifications sought.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant is
innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is
further submitted that there is non-compliance of section 50 of
the NDPS Act. Ld. Counsel further submits that no independent
witnesses were joined at the time of the alleged recovery of
contraband from the accused/applicant. Ld.Counsel further
submits that neither photography nor videography of the alleged
recovery of contraband was done. It is contended that
applicant/accused was illegally arrested and he was not informed
about his ground of arrest in writing, hence, there is non-
compliance of provisions of arrest. It is further submitted that the
investigation has already been completed and chargesheet has
already been filed in the present case. With these submissions,

Contd....

prayer is made for grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief P. P. for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application on the ground that huge quantity of contraband has been recovered in the present case, which is a commercial quantity and therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS Act is applicable. Ld. Addl. P.P has prayed for the dismissal of the present bail application of the accused.

In the present case, chargesheet has been filed for the offence u/s 22/25 of NDPS Act and section 14 of Foreigners Act. Perusal of record reveals that in the present case, commercial quantity of contraband was recovered in the present case, therefore, bar u/s 37 of NDPS is made out in the present case. Allegations against the accused are serious in nature. Co-accused is still absconding. Charges are yet to be framed in present case.

Further, the contention raised by the Ld. Counsel for non-joining of independent witnesses, non-making of photography/ videography and other related aspects can only be explained and tested during the examination of the relevant witnesses. Moreso, the contention raised by Ld. Counsel that neither accused nor his family member were communicating in writing the grounds of his arrest does not hold water as the reasons of arrest are duly mentioned in arrest memo of the applicant/ accused. Moreso, in view of the case law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in CRIMINAL APPEAL NOS. 3528 - 3534 OF 2025 (Arising from

Contd.....

SLP (Crl.) Nos. 516 – 522 of 2025) titled as STATE OF KARNATAKA VERSUS SRI DARSHAN ETC., also held that the mere absence of written grounds does not *ipso facto* render the arrest illegal, unless it results in demonstrable prejudice or denial of a fair opportunity to defend. Further, no other material has been placed on record to establish that any prejudice was caused due to the alleged procedural lapse.

The allegations against the applicant/accused are serious in nature. Further, the possibility cannot be denied that the applicant/accused may flee again, if admitted to bail. Considering the matter in totality, the facts and circumstances of this case, gravity of offence, the nature of serious allegations leveled against the applicant, this Court is not inclined to allow this application of the applicant/accused, at this stage. Accordingly, the present bail application stands dismissed.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

A copy of this order be given dasti, as prayed for. Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/06.10.2025

Final Arguments

1 SC 8/2015 57302/2016 STATE Vs. VIKRAM @ VICKY @
MENDA

AND ANR.

636/2014 (Ranjit

Nagar)

Order on Sentence

2

Misc./ Appearance

3 SC 582/2018 STATE Vs. VINAY CHAHAL AND ORS

1049/2015 (Patel

Nagar)

4 SC 441/2025 STATE Vs. DILIP KUMAR 315/2025 (Tilak

Nagar)

5 SC 513/2025 STATE Vs. DHANJAY KUMAR AND ORS.

1009/2024 (Paschim

Vihar West)

Charge

6 SC 136/2025 STATE Vs. PHOOLLO 101/2025 (Moti Nagar)

Prosecution Evidence

7 SC 271/2017 STATE Vs. KAMAL KUMAR 151/2013 (Rajouri

Garden)

8 SC 320/2017 STATE Vs. RAHUL 04/2017 (Paschim Vihar

West)

9 SC 140/2019 STATE Vs. SUNIL PUNIA 835/2015 (Paschim

Vihar West)

10 SC 744/2021 STATE Vs. IRFAN SAIFI @ BONA 108/2021

(Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

11 SC 100/2022 STATE Vs. SANDHYA AND ORS 127/2020

(Crime

Branch-West Delhi)

12

13 SC 335/2024 STATE Vs. ARINZE HILARI ALI 1497/2023

(NIHAL

VIHAR)

Final Arguments

14

15

For Bail

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SC no. 675/2021
STATE Vs. Dulari & Ors.
FIR no. 815/2021
PS Khyala

04.10.2025

File taken up on an application filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Dulari for cancellation of NBWs issued against him.

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Applicant in person with Ld. Counsel
File perused. Heard.

Considering the facts mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submissions, NBWs of the accused/applicant are cancelled subject to payment of fine of Rs.500/-. Fine paid. He is warned to be careful in future.

Put up for purpose fixed on date already fixed i.e. 16.10.2025.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Concerned SHO be intimated accordingly.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.10.2025

SC 238/2025
STATE Vs. ROHIT AND ANR
FIR no. 589/2024
PS Patel Nagar

04.10.2025

Pr: Sh. Subhash Chauhan, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant.

File perused. Heard.

This is an application u/s 483 of BNSS filed on behalf of the applicant/accused Akshay @ Akash for grant of bail.

Arguments have already been heard. Clarification sought.

By way of this application, it is submitted by Ld. Counsel that accused/applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the allegations against the applicant that the co-accused Rohit had handed over two polythenes containing contraband to the applicant/accused are false and fabricated. It is further submitted that it is clear from the story of prosecution that the alleged secret information was pertaining to the co-accused Rohit only and there was nothing incriminating in the said secret information against the applicant/accused. It is further submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that the applicant is the sole owner of the alleged premises in question or was in exclusive possession of the alleged premises in question from where the alleged contraband is stated to be recovered. It is further submitted that nothing

Contd....

incriminating was recovered from the person of the applicant/accused and the alleged contraband of intermediate quantity shown from the applicant/accused is planted one. It is further submitted that accused was not at all connected with the present case and is in custody since 05.12.2024. It is further submitted that the nothing more is to be recovered from the applicant/accused and custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is no more required for any other purpose. With these submission, prayer is made for grant of bail.

On the other hand, Ld. Chief PP for the state has strongly opposed the present bail application of the accused on the ground that the allegation against the accused are serious in nature. With these submissions, prayer is made for dismissal of present bail application of the accused.

Brief facts of the case are that on 05.12.2025, a secret information was received that one man namely Rohit S/o Ramesh is selling narcotics substance/drugs from his house and is having huge quantity of contraband at his house situated at Gali no.7, Prem Nagar, Patel Nagar, Delhi. Meaning thereby, there was no information or allegation against the applicant/accused in the abovesaid secret information received by the police in any manner that he is having any contraband and is dealing with any contraband. After the alleged due compliances, a raiding party was prepared. Thereafter, police team reached in the aboveaid

Contd....

gali for needful action. It is relevant to mention here that at the place the secret informer affirmed the house number of the co-accused Rohit and again informed that the co-accused Rohit will reach at his house at about 4 PM.

It is further alleged that at around 4 PM, two persons came there and beat staff HC Nagender identified the accused Rohit. It is relevant to mention here that the accused/applicant is neither identified by the secret informer nor identified by the abovesaid HC Nagender as suspect. It is further alleged that the co-accused Rohit was having two small polythene and gave the same to the accused/applicant. Thereafter, both the accused persons were apprehended and 64.92 grams Ganja was recovered from the possession of co-accused Rohit & 143.08 grams of smack from the abovesaid two polythenes were recovered from accused/applicant. It is further relevant to mention here that during further investigation and during search of the abovesaid premises, further recovery of 128 grams was effected.

It is pertinent to mention here that in the present case the alleged recovery from the accused/applicant is 143.08 grams of smack. The recovered contraband is intermediate quantity. It is relevant to mention the abovesaid recovery from the applicant/accused is stated to be effected in a street where the police team was waiting for the co-accused Rohit. It is further relevant to mention here that the subsequent recovery allegedly

Contd....

shown in the present case is from the abovesaid premises of co-accused Rohit. It is further relevant to mention here that as per chargesheet neither the accused/applicant is in exclusive possession of the abovesaid premises nor the accused/applicant is owner of the abovesaid premises. The investigation has already been completed in the present case and chargesheet has already been filed.

Accused is in JC in the present case from 05.12.2024. Further, charges are yet to be framed against the accused persons. Thereafter, the conclusion of trial shall take more considerable time. Considering the fact that the amount of contraband received from the possession of the accused/applicant as well as the alleged contradictions raised in the relevant aspects of investigation & without reflecting much on the merits of the case, at this stage and keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances of this case, the applicant/accused is admitted to bail upon furnishing of personal bond in sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety of the like amount and subject to the same conditions :

1. That the accused will not jump the bail and will appear in the court regularly.
2. That the applicant shall provide his mobile number to the Investigating Officer and intimate about any change.
3. That accused shall not indulge into similar offence or any other offence in the event of release on bail.

Contd.....

4. That accused shall not tamper/influence any evidence/witness in any manner.
5. That in case of change of residential address, accused shall intimate the court about the same within a week.
6. That accused shall not leave the country without permission of Court.

Nothing stated hereinabove shall tantamount to be an expression of final opinion on the merits of the case. With above observations, application stands disposed off accordingly.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed for.

Copy of the order be also sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent.

(SATVIR SINGH LAMBA)
ASJ/SPECIAL JUDGE (NDPS),
WEST DISTRICT, DELHI/04.10.2025

1 SC 481/2023 STATE Vs. BITHAI RAI 247/2023 (Khyala)
Misc. cases/purpose
2 SC 134/2017 STATE Vs. ISRAEL ECHAM 179/2016 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)
Charge
3 SC 29/2025 STATE Vs. NITESH AND ANR 145/2024 (Crime
Branch-West Delhi)
4 SC 418/2025 STATE Vs. VIJAY SINGH 47/2025 (Crime
Branch-
West Delhi)
Prosecution Evidence
5 SC 531/2018 STATE Vs. SALMAN TYAGI 100/2018 (Hari
Nagar)
6 SC 715/2019 STATE Vs. SURJIT SINGH @ MITHUN
343/2019 (Khyala)
7 SC 113/2021 STATE Vs. SONU 569/2020 (Tilak Nagar)
8 SC 470/2022 STATE Vs. ROHIT @ GABBAR 396/2022
(Rajouri
Garden)
9 SC 138/2024 STATE Vs. SINDHU DEVI AND ORS. 671/2023
(Rajouri
Garden)
10 SC 543/2024 STATE Vs. MUSLIM @ MULLA 542/2023
(Rajouri
Garden)
Statement of Accused
11 SC 348/2018 STATE Vs. LALLAN 219/2018 (Rajouri
Garden)
For Bail
12
13 Bail Matters 1591/2025 STATE Vs. DEEPU 283/2025 (Patel
Nagar)