

ANIL KUMAR JAIN Vs. STATE

07.04.2025

Present: Sh. Rajiv Kumar Sharma, Sh. Sandeep Koli and Sh. Deepak Kumar, Ld counsels for the petitioner.
Sh. Ravi Sharma, Ld counsel for respondent no. 2.
Sh. Keshav Shandilya, Ld counsel for respondent no. 3.
Sh. Raja Singh from SDM office, Rajouri Garden.

Valuation report has not been filed on behalf of the collector. Concerned Collector is again directed to file the valuation report before NDOH.

Objections along with Vakaltnama has been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for the respondent no. 3 seeks some time to file objections.

Citation for general public has been published in Newspaper “The Statesman” dated 17.01.2025 and the citation has been affixed on the notice board of the collector on 13.01.2025 and the notice board of the court on 14.01.2025.

State has been served on 14.01.2025.

An application under Section 17 CPC has been filed on behalf of the respondent no. 2. Copy supplied.

Ld counsel for respondent no. 2 submits that the value of the property in question is more than 2 crore so, this court has no pecuniary jurisdiction to try the present petition and only, Hon’ble High court of Delhi has pecuniary jurisdiction to try the present petition.

Ld counsel for parties admitted that the property in question lies within the jurisdiction in this court.

Put up for further proceedings on **07.07.2025**.

(SHIV KUMAR)
DJ-02 (W)THC:Delhi
07.04.2025