

Civ DJ 1014/24

HARJEET SINGH Vs. MANMOHAN SINGH

04.08.2025

Present: Plaintiff with ld counsel Sh. Adarsh Kumar.

Defendant no.1 with ld counsel Sh. Ashu Bhatia.

Defendant no.2 with ld counsel Sh. Sanjay Mishra.

None for defendant no.3 & 4, although they were present on last date of hearing.

Sh Prabhakar Shukla and Ms. Kalpana, ld counsel for defendant no.5, 7 & 8.

Perusal of record shows that steps have not been taken for service of defendant no.6 in terms of previous order, so plaintiff is directed to take steps in terms of previous order.

It is submitted on behalf of plaintiff that defendant no.1 has not filed written statement within prescribed period. One application has been moved under Order 8 Rule 10 read with Section 151 CPC for striking out the defence of defendant no.1. Ld. counsel submits that due to typographical error in title of application, provision was mentioned as Order 8 Rule 1 CPC instead of Order 8 Rule 10 CPC. Counsel for defendant no.1 submits that written statement was filed within prescribed period *on-line*, however, copy was supplied to counsel for plaintiff after period of limitation. Ld. counsel for defendant no.1 is directed to file the copy of printout

whereby written statement was filed *on-line*.

Plaintiff is directed to file replication of the written statement of remaining defendants.

In the meanwhile, interim order be continued.

Put up on **01.12.2025**.

(Dharmender Singh)
District Judge-01 (West), THC,
Delhi/04.08.2025