

SMT SUNITA ARORA Vs. LALITA SACHDEVA

08.08.2025

Present: Sh. Manish Sharma, ld. counsel for the plaintiff.
Sh. Sunil Khanna ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1 to
D-3.
D-5 already proceeded ex-parte.

Summons of D-4 has not been received back.

Ld. counsel for D-1 to D-3 submits that he has not been supplied copy of application filed u/o 26 rule 9 CPC by ld. counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that he is not pressing his application filed u/o 26 rule 9 CPC and the same may be dismissed being not pressed.

In view of the above said submission of ld. counsel for the plaintiff, the above said application filed u/o 26 rule 9 CPC stands **dismissed being not pressed.**

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that plaintiff is not in touch with D-6 for the last 20 years and he has no address of D-6, so plaintiff may be allowed to serve D-6 through publication.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff further submits that earlier the D-6 was residing in Delhi and he requests the court to allow his application filed u/o 5 rule 20 CPC.

In view of the above said submissions, application filed u/o 5 rule 20 CPC stands allowed. Plaintiff is allowed to serve the summons upon D-6 by way of publication in the newspaper “ The Statesman” and Hindi newspaper “Veer Arjun”. Publication charges be deposited directly with the news agency. Process be given dasti for the said purpose.

Let fresh summons of the suit for settlement of issues be issued to defendant no. 4 on filing of PF as well as through speed post and approved courier service. Steps be taken within 10 days.

As an additional mode of service, ld. counsel for the plaintiff is allowed to serve D-4 through Whatsapp and e-mail. Process be given dasti for the said purpose.

Put up for further proceedings on **28.11.2025**.

(SHIV KUMAR)
DJ-02 (W)THC:Delhi
08.08.2025