

Civ DJ 911/25

SAVITRI KALRA W/O SH VIJAY KUMAR KALRA Vs. AMIT
KALRA S/O SH VED PARKASH KALRA

06.03.2026

Present: Ms. Astha Khurana, Ld counsel for plaintiff.

Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Ld counsel for defendant no.1 and 2
with defendant no.1.

Sh. Abhishek Sharma, Ld counsel for defendant no.3
(VC).

Sh. Anil Pruthy, Ld counsel for defendant no.4 (VC).

In this matter, two applications u/o 6 Rule 17 CPC have
been moved on behalf of plaintiff. One is seeking amendment in the
suit and another application is seeking amendment in the application
u/o 39 Rule 1&2 CPC.

In application u/o 6 Rule 17 CPC qua suit, it is submitted
that there is typographical error regarding description of property of
the plaintiff in the first paragraph of plaint. It is submitted that plaintiff
is occupant of the ground floor of the subject property, however, due to
inadvertence, it is mentioned as first floor. This Court is of the
considered view that suit is at initial stage and no prejudice shall be
caused to opposite side if amendment is allowed. So, said amendment
is allowed. Amended plaint is taken on record.

Qua the application u/o 39 Rule 1&2 CPC in application
u/o 6 Rule 17 CPC, it is submitted that in said application, prayer

Contd/-

regarding the removal of CCTV Cameras was not included. Prayer has been made to allow the plaintiff to include the said prayer in the application. This Court is of the considered view that as suit is at initial stage and no prejudice shall be caused to opposite side if said application is allowed. So, said application is also allowed. Amended application u/o 39 Rule 1&2 CPC is also taken on record.

Arguments heard on application u/o 39 Rule 1&2 CPC.
Put up for clarification, if any, qua the same on **04.04.2026**.

(Dharmender Singh)
District Judge-01(West)
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi/06.03.2026