

03.01.2023

Present: Sh. B.P. Vaishnav and Ms Birjesh Sharma, Ld. counsels for the petitioner.
Sh. Arun Nischal, Ld. counsel for Respondent nos. 3, 4, 5 & 7 (A) 7(B) & 7 (C).

State has been served. Citation has been carried out in the newspaper dated 12.11.2022.

Valuation report has not been filed by Tehsildar, Patel Nagar on the ground that inspection of the premises could not be carried out due to opposition by Smt. Manjeet Kaur who is wife of respondent no. 4 Sh. Paramjeet Singh. The concerned SDM is directed again to carry out the valuation based on the circle rates, in case the inhabitant of the property does not co-operate in facilitating the inspection of the property. However, the SDM should again make an effort to inspect the premises with police aid.

Except for Respondent no. 6, the private respondents have been served. Objections have been filed on behalf of R-3, 4, 5 & 7 (A,B & C). Copy of the same has been supplied to Ld. counsel for the petitioner who prays some time to respond to the same.

Ld. counsel also prays some time to serve respondent no. 6 through her e-mail as he has already served respondent no. 6 on the same e-mail while filing his written statement in the partition suit filed by respondent no.6 before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. Dasti notice for respondent no. 6 be given, as prayed.

Put up again 11.04.2023 for further proceedings.

(Ajay Gulati-I)
ADJ-02, WEST
03.01.2023