

CNR No:HRFB010167562025 **CASE No:SC-3538-2025**

STATE OF HARYANA Vs SUNNY

Presence: Ms. Komal Singh, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State of Haryana.

Accused **Anil @ Anda**, on bail, represented by Sh. Lal Singh Perswal, Advocate.

Accused **Ashish @ Marinda**, on bail, represented by Sh. P. K. Tyagi, Advocate.

Accused **Virender @ Lala**, on bail, represented by Sh. Mahesh Yadav, Advocate.

Accused **Sunny**, on bail, represented by Sh. M. S. Bhadana, Advocate.

Accused **Dinesh**, in judicial custody, represented by Sh. Rakesh Kumar Saran, Advocate.

Submissions made on the charge, to be framed upon the accused, named above.

2. Considering the FIR, challan and documents submitted, therewith and the submissions of the accused and the prosecution in that regard, this Court is satisfied that there is sufficient ground for presuming that the accused has committed the offence triable by this Court.

3. In view, of the settled law, as laid down in *Amit Kapoor Vs. Ramesh Chander and Anr. (2012) 9 SCC 460* and *Dinesh Tiwari Vs. State of U.P. and Anr. (2014) 13 SCC 137*, for framing a charge under Section 228 of the Cr.P.C., the judge is not required to record detail reasons as to why such charge is framed.

4. Therefore, let, the Charge-sheet be framed upon the accused named above, for the alleged commission of the offences punishable under Sections 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 117(2), 109 and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

File be taken up, after some time.

Date of order: 24.02.2026

(Jyoti Lamba),
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Faridabad, UID No. HR-0235

Presence: Ms. Komal Singh, Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State of Haryana.
Accused **Anil @ Anda**, on bail, represented by Sh. Lal Singh Perswal, Advocate.
Accused **Ashish @ Marinda**, on bail, represented by Sh. P. K. Tyagi, Advocate.
Accused **Virender @ Lala**, on bail, represented by Sh. Mahesh Yadav, Advocate.
Accused **Sunny**, on bail, represented by Sh. M. S. Bhadana, Advocate.
Accused **Dinesh**, in judicial custody, represented by Sh. Rakesh Kumar Saran, Advocate.

File taken up, again.

5. Vide a separate order of even date, the charge-sheet for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 351(3), 117(2), 109 and 61(2) of the BNS, 2023, framed upon the accused, to which he pleaded, 'not guilty' and claimed trial. Separate statements in that regard, in vernacular language recorded, as well.

6. The parties to the case were asked if, they wished to carry out admission of any document/evidence under Section 294 of the Cr.PC., but to no avail.

7. Considering the mandate of Day To Day Trial in serious offences of such like nature, as issued by the *Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in State of UP vs. Shambhu Nath Singh and ors., AIR 2001 SC 1403 and earlier in Rajdeo Sharma vs. State of Bihar 1999(7) SCC 504*, the Case Management Hearing is held, at this stage along with Ld. Public Prosecutor for the State of Haryana and the Ld. Defence Counsel.

8. Accordingly, the prosecution witnesses to be examined in the present case henceforth, shall be as follows, on the dates given in the Column ahead:

Sr. No.	Sr. number of the prosecution witness(s), as per list	Date of evidence
1.	At Sr. No. 1 (complainant)	11.05.2026

9. The Ahlmad concerned is directed, to issue summonses, to the prosecution witnesses, accordingly.

Date of Order: 24.02.2026
*Anju**

(Jyoti Lamba)
Addl. District and Sessions Judge,
Faridabad
UID No . HR00235

