

13.12.2025

Present: Sh. Vinay Kant, ld. proxy counsel for the DH.  
DH in person.  
Sh. Nitish Kumar, ld. counsel for the objector.  
Sh. Anupam Sharma, ld. counsel for the DDA  
Sh. B.R. Meena, AE on behalf of MCD, Narela Zone  
Sh. Yash Verma, JSA, A&C/Narela Zone, MCD

Status report has been filed on behalf of MCD by submitting that only DUSIB can provide the details of ownership of the property in question and only utility service have been handed over the MCD. It is further submitted that DDA has made false and wrong submission regarding transferring the entire record of the property in question to the MCD.

Application for exemption from personal appearance has been filed on behalf of Deputy Commissioner, MCD. Heard. Allowed and application stands disposed off accordingly.

Ld. counsel for the DH submits that he has no document regarding ownership of the JD upon the property in question. He further submits that during communication with the JD, the JD had submitted that he is the owner of the property in question.

As per status report of the MCD, the record regarding the property in question is available with DUSIB.

Let court notice be issued to the DUSIB to provide the ownership detail of the property in question.

An application under order 21 rule 41(1) & (2) CPC has been moved on behalf of the DH.

Contd. 2